CORRELATIONS BETWEEN SOMATOTYPES AND NUTRITIONAL INTAKE IN SPORTS STUDENTS

Christoph Raschka, Susanne Kerstin Aichele

Institute of Sports Sciences, Julius Maximilians University Würzburg, Germany

ABSTRACT

This explorative control study investigates the correlations between nutritional intake (macronutrient) and somatotypes and other body constitutional types in 94 sports students (40, 54, the age span 20–46 years). Anthropometric data and computed constitutional and somatotypical parameters correspond to international standards. Nutrient intakes were estimated by the use of 3-day dietary recall records.

Correlations between individual somatotypes or constitutional types after Conrad or Knussmann and the nutritional intake in the physical education students collective were generally weak, with only a few significant relationships identified:

For female physical education students, there are significant inverse relationships between body fat and protein (r=–0.4, p≤0.01) and carbohydrate intake (r=–0.3, p≤0.01), between BMI and protein (r=–0.3, p≤0.01), fat (r=–0.3, p≤0.05), carbohydrate (r=–0.2, p≤0.05) and energy (r=–0.3, p≤0.05) intake as well between endomorphy and protein (r=–0.4, p≤0.01 Parnell; r=–0.3, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter) and carbohydrate intake (r=–0.2, p≤0.05 Parnell; r=–0.4, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter), between mesomorphy and fat (r=–0.3, p≤0.05 Heath/Carter), carbohydrate (r=–0.3, p≤0.05 Heath/Carter) and energy intake (r=–0.3, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter, r=–0.3, p≤0.05 Parnell). Positive relationships exist between the ectomorphy and protein (r=0.2, p≤0.05 Parnell; r=0.3, p≤0.05 Heath/Carter), fat (r=0.3, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter) and energy (r=0.2, p≤0.05 Farnell; r=0.3, p≤0.05 Heath/Carter), fat (r=0.3, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter) and energy (r=0.2, p≤0.05 Farnell; r=0.3, p≤0.05 Heath/Carter), fat (r=0.3, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter) and energy (r=0.2, p≤0.05 Farnell; r=0.3, p≤0.05 Heath/Carter), fat (r=0.3, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter) and energy (r=0.2, p≤0.05 Farnell; r=0.3, p≤0.05 Heath/Carter), fat (r=0.3, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter) and energy (r=0.2, p≤0.05) intake.

For the male physical education students, there are significant inverse relationships between body fat and protein (r=-0.4, p \leq 0.01) as well as fat (r=-0.4, p \leq 0.01), carbohydrate (r=-0.3, p \leq 0.05) and energy intake (r=-0.4, p \leq 0.01), between BMI and fat (r=-0.4, p \leq 0.01) and energy intake (r=-0.3, p \leq 0.05) as well as between endomorphy and protein (r=-0.3, p \leq 0.05 Parnell and Heath/

Carter), fat (r=-0.4, p≤0.01, Parnell and Heath/Carter) carbohydrate intake (r=-0.3, p≤0.05 Heath/Carter) and energy intake (r=-0.3, p≤0.05 Parnell; r=-0.4, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter), mesomorphy (Heath/Carter) and fat (r=-0.4, p≤0.01), carbohydrate (r=-0.3, p≤0.05) and energy intake (r=-0.3, p≤0.01), between pyknomorphy and fat intake (r=-0.3, p≤0.05) and energy intake (r=-0.5, p≤0.01).

Positive relationships exist between the ectomorphy (Parnell and Heath/ Carter) and fat intake (r=0.4 and r=0.4, p \leq 0.05) as well as between Metrik-Index and fat intake (r=0.3, p \leq 0.05). The results indicate that differences may exist between the sexes in these correlations. Further follow-up studies are necessary to clarify these issues.

Keywords: somatotypes, nutritional intake, sports anthropology, correlations

INTRODUCTION

25

In our first exploratory study [12] correlations between individual somatotypes and nutritional intake in a collective of 121 members of a fitness studio (473, mean age 37.4±11.8 years, 749, mean age 41.2±12.6 years) were registered. These relationships were surprising at first glance, but generally weak, with only a few significant relationships identified.

In detail, the Plastik-Index after Conrad [2] was positively related to protein intake (r = 0.28) in women, the Metrik-Index was positively related to fat intake (r=0.22) and energy intake (r=0.25). In men the Plastik index after Conrad was positively correlated to water intake (r=0.38). In women Ectomorphy after Parnell was positively correlated to energy intake (r=0.23), Endomorphy after Heath & Carter was inversely related to carbohydrate intake (r=-0.19) and energy intake (r=-0.24). In women Mesomorphy after Heath & Carter was inversely related to carbohydrate intake (r=-0.21) and energy intake (r=-0.22). In women Pyknomorphy after Knußmann was positively correlated to protein intake (r=0.23) and Makrosomia after Knußmann was positively correlated to protein intake. In men Makrosomia after Knußmann was positively correlated to and water intake (r=0.26), carbohydrate intake (r=0.31), energy intake (r=0.34) and water intake (r=0.40).

So the present explorative control study investigates the correlations between nutritional intake (macronutrient) and somatotypes and other body constitutional types in 94 sports students (40^{3} , 54° , age span 20 – 46 years).

98 | C. Raschka, S. K. Aichele

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this study 94 physical education students (40° , mean age 26.2±4.3 years, height 183.4±6.4 cm, weight 80.4±10.8 kg; 54°_{+} , the mean age 24.2.2±2.8 years, height 167.4±5.4 cm, weight 60.9 ±6.9 kg) were examined. Each proband participated voluntarily and the data were used anonymously.

Anthropometric data and computed constitutional and somatotypical parameters in this work correspond to international standards [2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 14]. Nutrient intakes were estimated by the use of self-reported, 3-day dietary recall records of food and beverage consumption. The records (macronutrient) were quantified by means of the TANITA Health & Food Manager software program. The analysis of differences was tested by ANOVA, correlations were tested by the Pearson correlation coefficients.

RESULTS

The distribution of constitutional types after Conrad [2] and the somatotypes after Parnell [9] and Heath & Carter [4, 5] are summarized in Figures 1–3.







The nutritional intake (macronutrient) of both genders and the correlation coefficients after Pearson between constitutional types / somatotypes and nutritional intake are shown in tables 1–3.

100 | C. Raschka, S. K. Aichele

Nutritional Intake	Protein (g/d)	Fat (g/d)	Carbohydrates (g/d)	Energy (kcal/d)	Water (ml/d)
Women (n=54)	72.8±28.7	70.0±35.6	272.5±162.7	2040.4±670.5	3019.3±938.2
Men (n=40)	112.5±42.5***	109.8±42.7**	358.3±113.9***	2970.8±920.4***	3120.6±1055.5

Table 1. Nutritional intake (macronutrient) of both genders

Table 2. Correlations between constitutional parameters and nutritional intake for the female sports students

R	Protein intake	Fat intake	Carbo- hydrate Intake	Energy Intake	Water Intake
Lean Body Mass	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Body Fat Percentage	-0.4**	n.s.	-0.3**	n.s.	n.s.
BMI	-0.3**	-0.3*	-0.2*	-0.3*	n.s.
Weight	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Fat Mass	-0.3**	n.s.	-0.3*	n.s.	n.s.
Metrik-Index after Conrad	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Plastik-Index after Conrad	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Pyknomorphy after Knußmann	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Makrosomia after Knußmann	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Endomorphy after Parnell	-0.4**	n.s.	-0.2*	n.s.	n.s.
Mesomorphy after Parnell	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	-0.3*	n.s.
Ectomorphy after Parnell	0.2*	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Endomorphy after Heath&Carter	-0.3**	n.s.	-0.4**	n.s.	n.s.
Mesomorphy after Heath&Carter	n.s.	-0.3*	-0.2*	-0.3**	n.s.
Ectomorphy after Heath&Carter	0.3*	0.3**	n.s.	0.2*	n.s.

For the female physical education students, there are signifiant inverse relationships between body fat and protein and carbohydrate intake, between BMI and protein, fat, carbohydrate and energy intake as well as between endomorphy and protein and carbohydrate intake, between mesomorphy and fat, carbohydrate and energy intake. Positive relationships exist between the ectomorphy and protein, fat and energy intake.

R	Protein intake	Fat intake	Carbo- hydrate Intake	Energy Intake	Water Intake
Lean Body Mass	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Body Fat Percentage	-0.4**	-0.4**	-0.3*	-0.4**	n.s.
BMI	n.s.	-0.4**	n.s.	-0.3*	n.s.
Weight	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Fat Mass	-0.3*	-0.4**	n.s.	-0.4*	n.s.
Metrik-Index after Conrad	n.s.	0.3*	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Plastik-Index after Conrad	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Pyknomorphy after Knußmann	n.s.	-0.3*	n.s.	-0.5**	n.s.
Makrosomia after Knußmann	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	0.3*
Endomorphy after Parnell	-0.3*	-0.4**	n.s.	-0.3*	n.s.
Mesomorphy after Parnell	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Ectomorphy after Parnell	n.s.	0.3*	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Endomorphy after Heath&Carter	-0.3*	-0.4**	-0.3*	-0.4**	n.s.
Mesomorphy after Heath&Carter	n.s.	-0.4**	-0.3*	-0.3**	n.s.
Ectomorphy after Heath&Carter	n.s.	0.4*	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

Table 3. Correlations between constitutional parameters and nutritional intake for the male sports students

For the male physical education students, there are significant inverse relationship between body fat and protein, fat, carbohydrate and energy intake, between BMI and fat and energy intake as well as between endomorphy and protein, fat, carbohydrate and energy intake, mesomorphy and fat, carbohydrate and energy intake, between pyknomorphy and fat intake and enery intake.

Positive relationships exist between the ectomorphy and fat intake as well as between the Metrik-Index and fat intake.

DISCUSSION

6

It is well established that diet influences the body composition and certain anthropometric parameters. There is also evidence of an association between physique and the nutritional status. Tanner et al. [13] and Gordon et al. [3] demonstrated a relationship between total serum cholesterol and somatotype. Both studies revealed that endomorphs had the highest, whereas ectomorphs had the lowest serum cholesterol concentrations; men, but not women, exhibited this relationship. On the other side, there are only limited data evaluating the relationship between somatotypes and nutritional intake. Correlations between individual somatotypes or the constitutional types after Conrad [2] or Knussmann [6] and the nutritional intake in the physical education students collective were generally weak, with only a few significant relationships identified:

For female physical education students, there are significant inverse relationships between body fat and protein (r=-0.4, p≤0.01) and carbohydrate intake (r=-0.3, p≤0.01), between BMI and protein (r=-0.3, p≤0.01), fat (r=-0.3, p≤0.05), carbohydrate (r=-0.2, p≤0.05) and energy (r=-0.3, p≤0.05) intake as well as between endomorphy and protein (r=-0.4, p≤0.01 Parnell; r=-0.3, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter) and carbohydrate intake (r=-0.2, p≤0.05 Parnell; r=-0.4, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter), between mesomorphy and fat (r=-0.3, p≤0.05 Heath/Carter), carbohydrate (r=-0.2, p≤0.05 Heath/Carter) and energy intake (r=-0.3, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter, r=-0.3, p≤0.05 Parnell). Positive relationships exist between the ectomorphy and protein (r=0.2, p≤0.05 Parnell; r=0.3, p≤0.05 Heath/Carter), fat (r=0.3, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter) and energy (r=0.2, p≤0.05 intake.

For the male physical education students, there are significant inverse relationships between body fat and protein (r=-0.4, p≤0.01) as well as fat (r=-0.4, p≤0.01), carbohydrate (r=-0.3, p≤0.05) and energy intake (r=-0.4, p≤0.01), between BMI and fat (r=-0.4, p≤0.01) and energy intake (r=-0.3, p≤0.05) as well as between endomorphy and protein (r=-0.3, p≤0.05 Parnell and Heath/Carter), fat (r=-0.4, p≤0.01, Parnell and Heath/Carter) carbohydrate intake (r=-0.3, p≤0.05 Heath/Carter) and energy intake (r=-0.3, p≤0.05 Parnell; r=-0.4, p≤0.01 Heath/Carter), mesomorphy (Heath/Carter) and fat (r=-0.4, p≤0.01), carbohydrate (r=-0.3, p≤0.05) and energy intake (r=-0.3, p≤0.01), between pyknomorphy and fat intake (r=-0.3, p≤0.05) and energy intake (r=-0.3, p≤0.01).

Positive relationships exist between the ectomorphy (Parnell and Heath/ Carter) and fat intake (r=0.3 and 0.4, p \leq 0.05) as well as between Metrik-Index and fat intake (r=0.3, p \leq 0.05).

In the already presented data from the preliminary study in the introduction clear correlations between diet and physique could be found in 121 members of a fitness studio [12]. These findings for somatotypes are in partial agreement with the results of Bolonchuk et al. [1] who examined somatotypes and nutritional status of 63 men ages 18–40 years who had been recruited for participation in studies to determine nutrient requirements in North Dakota. In this study Endomorphy was inversely related to carbohydrate intake (r=–0.294, p < 0.05). In contrast Mesomorphy was directly correlated with fat intake (r=0.286, p < 0.05; Bolonchuk et al. [1]).

Raschka et al. [12] investigated the correlations between nutritional intake and the body build in 50 ultra long distance runners (42 sportsmen and 13 sportswomen), who participated in a 1000 km-race, daily running 50 km. In this collective Endomorphy after Heath & Carter [4, 5] was negatively correlated to energy intake (r=–0.6, p<0.001, carbohydrate intake (r=–0.5, p<0.001) and fat intake (r=–0.4, p<0.001), and Endomorphy after Parnell [9] was inversely correlated to energy intake (r=–0.4, p<0.001), carbohydrate intake (r=–0.4) and fat intake (r=–0.3, p<0.01).

In summary, there is a series of various studies revealing moderate to weak correlations between the different types of constitution and the supply of macronutrients. The results indicate that differences may exist between the genders in these correlations. Further follow-up studies should clarify these issues.

REFERENCES

- Bolonchuk W., Siders W. A., Lykken G. I., Lukaski H. C. (2000). Association of dominant somatotype of men with body structure, function during exercise, and nutritional assessment. Am J Human Biology 12, 167–180.
- 2. Conrad K. (1963). Der Konstitutionstypus. Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- 3. Gordon E., Tobias P. V., Mendelsohn D., Seftel H., Howson A. (1987). The relationship between somatotype and serum lipids in male and female young adults. Hum Biol 59, 459–465.
- 4. Heath B. H., Carter L. J. E. (1967). A modified somatotype method. Am J Phys Anthrop 27, 57–74.
- 5. Heath B. H., Carter L. J. E. (1990). Somatotyping-development and applications. Cambridge Studies in Biological Anthropology. Great Britain: Redwood Press.
- 6. Knußmann R. (1996). Vergleichende Biologie des Menschen. Lehrbuch der Anthropologie. Stuttgart: Gustav Fischer Verlag.
- 7. Kretschmer E. (1921). Körperbau und Charakter. Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- 8. Martin R., Knussmann R. (1988). Anthropologie. Handbuch. Band I. Stuttgart: Fischer Verlag
- 9. Parnell R. W. (1954). Somatotyping by physical anthropometry. Am J Phys Anthrop 12, 209–239.
- 10. Raschka C. (2006). Sportanthropologie. Köln: Sportverlag Strauß.
- Raschka C., Plath M., Bernhard W., Jung K., Leitzmann C. (1993). Über die Beziehungen zwischen N\u00e4hrstoffzufuhr und Konstitutionstyp, am Beispiel eines Langstreckenl\u00e4uferkollektivs. Homo 44, 278–283.
- Raschka C., Graczyk J. (2013). Correlations between somatotypes and nutritional intake in members of a fitness studio. Papers on Anthropology XXII, 145–152.

- 104 | C. Raschka, S. K. Aichele
- 13. Tanner J. M., Israelson W. J., Whitehouse R. H. (1960). Physique and body composition as factors affecting success in different athletic events. J Sports Med Phys Fit 14, 397–411.
- 14. Tittel K. Wutscherk H. (1972). Sportanthropometrie. Leipzig: Barth.

Adress for correspondence

Priv.-Doz. Dr.med. Dr.rer.nat. Dr.Sportwiss. Christoph Raschka Institute of Sports Sciences, Julius-Maximilians-University, Germany Judenbühlweg 11 D-97082 Würzburg E-mail: christoph.raschka@uni-wuerzburg.de