Abstract. Temporal properties of words are defined by physiological, psychical, and language-specific factors. Lexical representations are assumed to be stored either in a morphologically decomposed form or in a conceptually non-decomposed form. We assumed that the duration of words with and without suffixes would refer to the route of their lexical access. Measured durations of Hungarian nouns with various lengths produced by 10 speakers in spontaneous utterances revealed significant differences, depending on the words’ morphological structures. Durations of monomorphemic nouns were shorter than those of multimorphemic nouns, irrespective of the number of syllables they contained. Our interpretation is that multimorphemic words are accessed decompositonally in spontaneous speech, meaning that stem activation of the semantic representation is followed by activation of one or more suffixes. Durational differences of monomorphemic and multimorphemic words were not stable across word lengths. The number of suffixes did not influence the words’ temporal patterns.
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1. Introduction

Temporal patterns of spontaneously-produced words can be analysed by their durations measuring the whole words or considering their building morphemes. Although the number of syllables can have a decisive effect on word durations, various other factors influence the temporal patterns of words, primarily in the area of word frequency (e.g., Jescheniak and Levelt 1994, Losiewicz 1995, Fougeron and Keating 1997, Pan and Hirschberg 2000, Bell et al. 2002, Greenberg et al. 2003, Aylett and Turk, 2004, Bell et al. 2009, Gahl 2008, Jacewicz et al. 2010, Yang et al. 2013, and Mačutek et al. 2017). Word
type (content words, function words), morphological structure, context-related, speaker-related factors, communication situation, topic, etc., may all change word durations – even within the same speaker’s word productions. Infrequent words are assumed to be of longer duration, because highly less common lexical items take longer to access than more common forms (Howes 1967 and Milin et al. 2017). Short words are far more likely to occur within an utterance than longer words, as has been demonstrated in spoken language (e.g., Greenberg 1999 and Bell et al. 2002). Durations of regular and irregular word forms have been widely discussed in the literature (e.g., Yang 2005 and Stockall and Marantz 2006). Gahl (2008) assumes that variation of speech tempo in spontaneous speech reflects ease of lexical access. In addition, those words that are easy to retrieve are often reduced (for example, five-syllable tulajdonképpen “really” would be pronounced as tonképpen).

In sum, temporal properties of words are defined by physiological, psychical, and language-specific factors. There are phenomena influencing word durations that have been verified to exist in many languages (e.g., phrase-final lengthening and the specific reduction of syllable durations of words as the number of the syllables increases; Menzerath 1954 and Altmann 1993).

While a large number of studies have considered the factors influencing word durations, there is only a small branch of research (e.g., Losiewicz 1995 and Budd et al. 2013) focusing on such phenomena in Hungarian. Languages such as this raise a question regarding the possible differences in lexical access of multimorphemic vs. monomorphemic words. It is assumed that such words might show durational differences when containing the same number of suffixes. This question evidently pertains also to the structure and activation of the mental lexicon (e.g., Levelt 1992).

Lexical representations are assumed to be stored either in morphologically-decomposed form (e.g., Taft and Forster 1975 and Caramazza et al. 1988) or in conceptually non-decomposed form (e.g., Roelofs 1993). The theory of decomposed storage and the decompositional route of lexical access assume that morphological units are represented separately in the mental lexicon (that requires a specific route for accessing them during speech). According to this assumption, whole words are stored and activated according to their representations, while multimorphemic words (words with suffixes, affixes, inflection, or prefixes,
as well as compounds) are accessed according to their morphemic representations. There are experiments (Burani et al. 1984, Zhang and Peng 1992, and Kazanina et al. 2008) providing evidence for the storage of lexical representations in morphologically-decomposed forms. The findings of Caramazza and colleagues (1988) showed that morphologically-nondecomposable nonwords were the easiest to process, while nonwords with partial morphological structure were processed with greater difficulty (based on reaction time and error performance analyses). Marslen-Wilson and his colleagues (1994) gave evidence for morphological decomposition of semantically transparent English word forms but not of semantically opaque forms (these latter forms behaved as monomorphemic words). Russian is a highly inflected language; Russian word recognition was confirmed using a decomposition route during the first stage of word recognition (Gor and Jackson 2013).

There are several models that have endeavoured to explain word processing in speech (both in recognition and in production). Some have assumed that the encoding of word forms involves mapping a representation of the word onto an articulatory programme. Several studies (e.g., Levelt, 1989, 1992, Roelofs 1997) support the dual-route models that hypothesise both whole word and decompositional routes (see Baayen et al. 1997). These models assume that frequency effects depend on the route used during lexical access; however, the route used depends on the word frequency. The serially ordered lemma and lexeme stages of word production have been confirmed by various experiments and by analysis of TOT-type disfluencies (e.g., Cutler 1988 and Schriefers et al. 1990).

The process of a content word activation consists of a stage of semantic and syntactic activations followed by the second stage of phonological activation (Levelt 1989 and Kazanina et al. 2008). Different levels of representations are connected to different stages of word activation (e.g., Dell 1986). Inflected English word forms were analysed using an ERP-examination (Budd et al. 2013 focusing on regular vs. irregular past-tense forms). The observed ERP responses suggested that some combinatorial processing was characteristic of regular but not of irregular past-tense formation. Irregular forms of verbs are assumed to be represented as list of exceptions in English (e.g., Yang 2005 and Stockall and Marantz 2006).
From the perspective of lexical access, this finding seems to support the existence of the decompositional route of inflected word forms in speech production. Many studies (e.g., Marslen-Wilson et al. 1994, Sonnenstuhl et al. 1999, Rastle et al., 2004, and Stockall and Marantz 2006) provide evidence for decomposition for multimorphemic words; however, lexical access of multimorphemic words is assumed to be heavily influenced by the factor of frequency. This factor (i.e., of word stems, suffixes, and prefixes) might overwrite the assumed decompositional lexical access of some multimorphemic words, resulting in behavior that resembles whole word structure (e.g., Lignos and Gorman 2011). However, frequency is not an attribute of a word but, rather, is a result of its use by an individual. There is a decisive cohort of words that are frequent, irrespective of the individual mental lexicon of the speaker (for example, some function words or frequent content words, such as always, and, is, go, etc.), otherwise, word frequency is heavily dependent on the speaker’s own language use (e.g., the word cigarette can be quite frequent for a smoker, while it is very infrequent for a non-smoker).

The temporal interrelations of suffixed words as opposed to words without any suffix may carry information about the route of lexical access during speech planning followed by possible execution differences in time (Roelofs 1996, Vannest and Boland 1999, Onysko and Michel 2010, and Özdemir et al. 2007). Accepting this assumption, the question arises whether word durations refer to different routes of lexical access. This study focuses on the temporal patterns of words with and without suffixes with various lengths in Hungarian spontaneous speech.

Words of an agglutinating language frequently consist of a complex morphological representation the speaker must activate when speaking. Both the stem and the suffix (or suffixes) must be produced as a whole entity according to the morphological rules of the language at the same time. The routes of activation of such word constructions are subject to considerable debate. A Hungarian-suffixed noun, gyerekeitekröl (“about your children”) has been used as an example to demonstrate how to access this relatively frequent multimorphemic word. We assume that all morphological units of this words should be activated separately (probably serially): the stem gyerek [ɟɛɾɛk] “child”, the suffix ei [ɛi] “plural”, the next suffix tek [tɛk] “yours” and the last suffix röl [ɾøːl] “about”.
Three hypotheses were defined. (i) Words with suffixes would show longer durations as opposed to those of monomorphemic words. (ii) The durational differences of monomorphemic and multimorphemic words would be stable across various numbers of syllables the words consist of. (iii) We assumed that there would be no differences in word durations depending on the number of suffixes multimorphemic words contain.

2. Methodology

Ten young speakers (5 females and 5 males, with a mean age of 28 years, the standard deviation is 5 years) were selected randomly (with the exception of age and gender) from the BEA Hungarian speech database (Gósy 2012). All speakers had normal hearing. None of them had any speech defects. Speakers had either a secondary education or a university degree. All had a similar socioeconomic status; all lived in a large city.

Subjects were asked to speak about their family, life, and hobbies and to share their opinion on a specific topic raised by the interviewer (unexpectedly, according to the protocol of the database). About 2.5 hours of Hungarian spontaneous speech material was analysed; the average length of the speech material, per speaker, was 15 minutes.

Nouns with or without suffixes were selected, using the following inclusion criteria (in order to control for the variables as much as possible): (i) Stems consisted of various numbers of syllables from one to three, (ii) suffixed nouns contained one or two suffixes, (iii) all suffixes followed the stem and were the last or the last two syllables of the words, (iv) suffixes were those indicated grammatical relationships, plurals of the nouns as well as various personal and possessive suffixes, (v) all words occurred in the middle of a phrase (in order to avoid phrase-final lengthening). A total of 894 words (522 produced by females and 372 by males) were selected for analysis: 332 of them were monomorphemic and 553 of them were multimorphemic words (Table 1).
Table 1. Distribution of monomorphemic and multimorphemic words in the material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word length (number of syllables)</th>
<th>Distribution of words</th>
<th>Total number of words</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monomorphemic words</td>
<td>Multimorphemic words</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>item</td>
<td>percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>three</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>four</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>333</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples: kapu “gate”, iskola “school”, villamos “tram”, társadalom “society” vs. célja “his/her goal”, lakásnak “for a flat”, gyerekkel “with a child”, véleményem “my opinion”, balesetről “about an accident”; életemet “my life + Acc.”, szüleimmel “with my parents”, üzletekből “from shops”. Individual word frequency could not be controlled reliably, but efforts were made to exclude words that were judged, subjectively, very rare by both authors (irrespective of being mono- or multimorphemic word).

The speech material was carefully hand-labeled using Praat (Boersma and Weenink 2018). Boundary location reliability was assessed at the time of segmentation using the labelers’ confidence as a measure (with an agreement ratio higher than 98%). In cases of disagreement, a third phonetician was asked to decide. The word boundaries were identified in the waveform signal and spectrogram display via continuous listening to the words according to usual acoustic-phonetic criteria for onset and offset of words (e.g., Turk and Shattuck-Hufnagel 2000). Durations of words were defined based on annotations, using a specific script that was written to obtain the values automatically.

To test statistical significance, Shapiro-Wilk, Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests were used as appropriate to the data (SPSS 20.0 version). Measured durations of words were dependent variables, while the number of syllables of the stems and number of the suffixes were the independent factors. The confidence level was set at the conventional 95%.
3. Results

3.1. Durations of monomorphemic and multimorphemic words were analysed in the first phase of data processing, irrespective of the number of suffixes the words contained. Boxplots in Figure 1 represent the distributions of durations of two-, three- and four-syllable words, clustered according to whether they were monomorphemic or multimorphemic as they occurred in the spontaneous samples.
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**Figure 1.** Durations of Hungarian monomorphemic and multimorphemic words (all nouns) according to the syllables they consist of (medians and ranges).

Since the Shapiro–Wilk test demonstrated that several of the distributions deviated from normal patterns, we administered a series of Mann–Whitney U tests between the datasets of monomorphemic and multimorphemic nouns, separately for the two-, three- and four-syllable ones. This indicated \((U = 4119.5, p < .001)\) that the median duration of the two-syllable multimorphemic nouns (median = 407 ms) was significantly larger than that of the monomorphemic ones (median = 335 ms). A second Mann–Whitney U test was performed...
on the three-syllable nouns. Again, a significant difference in word durations was found ($U = 10540.5, p < .001$) in the median durations between the multimorphemic (515 ms) and the monomorphemic nouns (467 ms). Durations of the four-syllable nouns also differed significantly ($U = 4380.5, p < .01$). Median duration of the multimorphemic nouns was 650 ms, while that of the monomorphemic nouns was 614 ms.

The durations of both the monomorphemic and multimorphemic words showed increases as the number of the building syllables increased: three-syllable monomorphemic words were longer than two-syllable ones by 108 ms (on average), while four-syllable monomorphemic words were longer than three-syllable ones by 135 ms (on average). Similar increases could be found in the case of multimorphemic words; however, the increase was larger in their cases than those found in monomorphemic words (132 ms and 147 ms, on average, respectively). Our data supported that syllables of words are shorter as their number increases in a word, resulting in a specific linear increase of durations of words with various lengths (cf. Cramer 2005).

Comparing the median value differences across words with various syllables, we found that the durational difference between monomorphemic and multimorphemic nouns was the largest in the case of two-syllable words (72 ms), less in the case of three-syllable words (48 ms), and shortest in the case of four-syllable words (36 ms).

3.2. In the second phase of analysis, we wanted to learn whether the number of suffixes influenced word duration. In Hungarian, a three-syllable noun can be structured in one of three ways: (i) there are monomorphemic nouns, (ii) a multimorphemic noun can have a two-syllable stem and a one-syllable suffix, and (iii) a multimorphemic noun can have a one-syllable stem and two one-syllable suffixes. Our analysis focused on three-syllable word durations depending on their three possible structures. Table 2 shows the number of nouns belonging to the above-described word structural groups with examples.
Table 2. Distribution of three-syllable words with different syllables of stems and one or two suffixes (suffixes are in bold).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>monomorphemic, e.g., <em>pillanat</em> “minute”</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two-syllable stem + a one-syllable suffix, e.g.,<em>világhban</em> “in /the/ world”</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one-syllable stem + 2 one-syllable suffixes, e.g.,<em>padodon</em> “on your bench”</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>382</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Kruskal–Wallis test was conducted to examine the differences in the durations of three-syllable nouns depending on the number of suffixes. Results showed that there was at least one pair among the groups with a significant difference ($\chi^2(2) = 14.777, p = .001$). Dunn-Bonferroni tests were carried out to obtain statistical information on the possible differences of the pairs of groups. The post hoc tests provided evidence that the durations of the three-syllable monomorphemic nouns (median = 467 ms) were significantly shorter ($p = .003$) than those of the nouns with two-syllable stems and one suffix (median = 514 ms), and were also significantly shorter ($p = .002$) than the durations of the nouns with one-syllable stems and two suffixes (median = 548 ms). Word durations consisting of one or two suffixes did not differ significantly. The boxplots in Figure 2 illustrate the distribution of the word duration data.
Figure 2. Boxplots represent durations of three-syllable nouns with 0, 1, and 2 suffixes (medians and ranges).

The same analysis was performed concerning the word durations of the four-syllable nouns. The possible word types and the number and percent of the words belonging to the different groups with examples are summarised in Table 3. The boxplots in Figure 3 show the distribution of word durations belonging to different structural groups.

Table 3. Distribution of four-syllable words with different syllables of stems and one or two suffixes (suffixes are in bold).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Four-syllable word types</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>monomorphemic, e.g., <em>hozzáállás</em> “attitude”</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>three-syllable stem + a one-syllable suffix, e.g., <em>funkcióhoz</em> “for a function”</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>52.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two-syllable stem + 2 one-syllable suffixes, e.g., <em>családokban</em> “in families”</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>250</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. Boxplots represent durations of four-syllable nouns with 0, 1, and 2 suffixes (medians and ranges).

For statistical analysis, a Kruskal–Wallis test was used that provided evidence for a difference in word duration between at least one pair of groups ($\chi^2(2) = 8.932$, $p = .011$). Dunn-Bonferroni post hoc tests were carried out for the three pairs of datasets, and revealed that monomorphic words are significantly shorter (median = 614 ms) than both structural word types either with a three-syllable stem and one suffix, or a two-syllable stem and two suffixes (medians = 643 ms and 670 ms, respectively, and $p = .028$ and .004, respectively). The durations of words with one or two suffixes did not differ significantly.

4. Conclusions

The temporal properties of words are both physiologically and psychically defined while also language-specific and psycholinguistic factors influence their objective durations. One of the language use-related factors is lexical access in connection with storage in the mental lexicon. In Hungarian, stems can consist of three or more syllables as a consequence of the agglutinative character of the language, and they
can have one or more suffixes occurring after the stem in fluent speech. Words can be accessed in speech production either decompositionally, according to various morphemes of which the word consists or, alternatively, as a whole entity in cases where the word contains only one morpheme. We found that nouns with one or more suffixes were produced more slowly than those without any suffix. The measured data showed significant differences in durations between monomorphemic and multimorphemic nouns irrespective of their total number of syllables.

Our first assumption, that words with suffixes would show longer durations in comparison to those of monomorphemic words, were confirmed. Suffixed words had significantly longer durations than those without any suffix, irrespective of the number of suffixes. Accepting the view that word durations refer to the route of their lexical access, we can claim that words with and without suffixes have different routes of lexical access. Since special care was taken to avoid (i) pauses following the selected words and (ii) words of generally unusual meaning, the difference in the durations between the monomorphemic and multimorphemic words can be explained primarily by differences in their lexical access. In addition, phonological rules and their encoding across morpheme boundaries have to be taken into account as processes that increase word production complexity. The next example of the suffixed noun *lakás+ban* [lɔkaːʒɔnbɔn] “in /a/ flat” contains the emergence of a voicing rule at the boundary of the stem and the suffix resulting in the voicing counterpart ([ʒ]) of the voiceless consonant ([ʃ]). Phonological encoding might also contribute to an increase of duration during lexical access. Our interpretation is that multimorphemic words are accessed decompositionally in spontaneous speech, meaning that stem activation of the semantic representation is followed by activation of one or more suffixes in a serial or almost serial order. This process requires longer time during lexical access, resulting in longer word durations.

There are monomorphemic words whose durations are longer than those of multimorphemic words, and vice versa, multimorphemic words can be produced that are shorter than monomorphemic ones with the same number of syllables. These data are reflected in overlaps between structurally different word groups (see Figure 1). There are various explanations for these facts: different types of syllables
across word stems and suffixes, speakers’ various articulation tempi, speaker-specific frequency of words, semantic and syntactic context, ‘old’ and ‘new’ information behind the word production, occurrence in the phrase, etc. Various factors defining the duration of a given word in a specific context in spontaneous utterances seem to be undefinable without further delineation.

We assumed that the durational differences of monomorphemic and multimorphemic words would be stable across various numbers of syllables comprising the word. The data did not confirm this hypothesis. The durational differences between the structural types of nouns showed a gradual decrease as the word length (i.e., the number of syllables) increased. The possible explanation for this decrease lies in the relatively large durational differences of words with various lengths. However, durations of multimorphemic words increased to a greater extent than monomorphemic words did with increasing word length, particularly between two- and three-syllable words. This fact, again, seems to support the different routes of lexical access of words with and without suffixes.

Finally, we assumed that there would be no differences in word duration depending on the number of suffixes multimorphemic words contained. The data confirmed this hypothesis. The number of the suffixes multimorphemic words contained turned out to be irrelevant. It seems that the number of the suffixes does not influence significantly the durations of words. The median differences of noun durations between 1 and 2 suffixes was 34 ms in the case of the three-syllable nouns, while it was 27 ms in the case of the four-syllable nouns. The ranges were large in both cases (see Figures 2 and 3). We think that this finding can be explained by various phonetic and phonological patterns of suffixes, on the one hand, and the suffixation strategy of the speakers, on the other. For example, the duration of two words with the same number of syllables can be shorter with two suffixes and longer with a single suffix, e.g., fej+ük+re [fɛjykrɛ] “on their heads” = 470 ms vs. bátyám+nak [baːcaːmnɔk] “for my brother” = 580 ms. In addition, we assume that, after accessing the first suffix, the second one might be easier to activate because the morphological encoding strategy is already in progress.
There are limitations to this study. We measured the durations of nouns; however, we are convinced that verbs would behave very similarly. We could not control properly the speakers’ own mental lexicon, lexical access strategies, or frequency of words of the users (though there is some doubt as to whether these factors can be controlled in a methodologically reasonable way). Despite these difficulties, we think that our study is a good step forward in understanding the routes of lexical access in spontaneous speech, at least in the case of nouns.
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