

Some classes of matrix transforms related to speed-Maddox spaces over ultrametric fields

ANTS AASMA AND PINNANGUDI N. NATARAJAN

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{K} be a complete, non-trivially valued, ultrametric (or non-archimedean) field, and $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ – a sequence in \mathbb{K} with the property $0 < |\lambda_n| \nearrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., the speed of convergence. In the present paper, the concepts of speed-Maddox space, paranormed zero-convergence, paranormed convergence and paranormed boundedness with speed λ (or shortly, paranormed λ -zero-convergence, paranormed λ -convergence and paranormed λ -boundedness) over \mathbb{K} have been recalled. Let μ be another speed in \mathbb{K} . Necessary and sufficient conditions are found for a matrix A over \mathbb{K} to transform the set of all paranormally λ -bounded sequences into the set of all paranormally μ -bounded, all paranormally μ -convergent or all paranormally μ -zero-convergent sequences.

1. Introduction

Let, throughout the paper, \mathbb{K} be a complete, non-trivially valued, ultrametric (or non-archimedean) field. We also suppose that sequences, infinite series and infinite matrices have entries in \mathbb{K} , and indices and summation indices run from 0 to ∞ , unless otherwise stated. Given an infinite matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ and a sequence $x = \{x_k\}$ by the A -transform of x , we mean the sequence $A(x) = \{(Ax)_n\}$, where

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

it being assumed that the series on the right converge. If $(Ax)_n \rightarrow s, n \rightarrow \infty$, we say that x is A -summable or summable A to s . In the present paper,

Received January 28, 2025.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 40C05, 40D05, 40H05, 46S10.

Key words and phrases. Maddox spaces, matrix transforms, paranormed spaces, paranormed boundedness, paranormed convergence, paranormed zero-convergence.

<https://doi.org/10.12697/ACUTM.2025.29.05>

Corresponding author: A. Aasma

we consider the matrix transformations between subsets of certain Maddox spaces defined by speeds of convergence.

Let $p = \{p_n\}$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers, and let

$$c_0(p) = \{x = \{x_n\} : \lim_n |x_n|^{p_n} = 0\},$$

$$c(p) = \{x = \{x_n\} : \lim_n |x_n - l|^{p_n} = 0 \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{K}\},$$

$$m(p) = \{x = \{x_n\} : |x_n|^{p_n} = O(1)\}.$$

Earlier $c_0(p)$, $c(p)$ and $m(p)$ were defined over the field of complex numbers, and called as Maddox spaces (see, for example, [5, 6]). For a bounded sequence p , the Maddox spaces are also linear paranormed spaces. A short overview on these spaces has been given, for example, in [2] and [7]. Further, throughout the paper, we suppose that p is bounded. Then, similarly to Corollary 2.11 of [7] it is easy to prove that

$$c_0(p) \subset c_0, \quad c(p) \subset c, \quad m \subset m(p),$$

where m, c, c_0 , respectively, denote the ultrametric Banach spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences under the ultrametric norm

$$\|x\| = \sup_k |x_k|, \quad x = \{x_k\} \in m, c, c_0.$$

We note that in the ultrametric setup the Maddox spaces are studied by Natarajan (see, for example, [8]).

Let further in the present paper, $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ in \mathbb{K} be a speed of convergence, i.e., a sequence with the property

$$0 < |\lambda_n| \nearrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The *speed-Maddox spaces* over \mathbb{K} were defined as follows (see [13]):

$$(c_0(p))^\lambda = \{x = \{x_n\} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = s \text{ (say) and } \{\lambda_n(x_n - s)\} \in c_0(p)\},$$

$$(c(p))^\lambda = \{x = \{x_n\} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = s \text{ (say) and } \{\lambda_n(x_n - s)\} \in c(p)\},$$

$$(m(p))^\lambda = \{x = \{x_n\} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = s \text{ (say) and } \{\lambda_n(x_n - s)\} \in m(p)\}.$$

We note that these spaces over the field of complex numbers are introduced in [12].

Following [13], a convergent sequence $x = \{x_n\}$ in \mathbb{K} with the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = s$ is said to be

a) *paranormally zero-convergent with speed λ* (or shortly, *paranormally λ -zero-convergent*) if $x \in (c_0(p))^\lambda$,

b) *paranormally convergent with speed λ* (or shortly, *paranormally λ -convergent*) if $x \in (c(p))^\lambda$,

c) *paranormally bounded with speed λ* (or shortly, *paranormally λ -bounded*) if $x \in (m(p))^\lambda$.

If $p_n \equiv 1$, then

$$c_0(p) = c_0, \quad c(p) = c, \quad m(p) = m.$$

Consequently in this case the paranormed convergence and the paranormed boundedness with speed reduce to the ordinary convergence and boundedness with speed studied in classical case by Kangro [3, 4] (see also [1], Chapters 8 and 9), and in ultrametric setup by Natarajan [9, 10, 11].

In [13], we started to study matrix transforms related to speed-Maddox spaces in ultrametric setup. Now we continue these studies. If X, Y are sequence spaces, we write $A = (a_{nk}) \in (X, Y)$ if $\{(Ax)_n\} \in Y$, whenever $x = \{x_k\} \in X$. Let q be another sequence of strictly positive real numbers, μ – another speed in \mathbb{K} , and A – a matrix with entries in \mathbb{K} . We shall prove necessary and sufficient conditions for A to transform $(m(p))^\lambda$ into $(c_0(q))^\mu$, $(c(q))^\mu$ or $(m(q))^\mu$, i.e., we characterize the matrix classes $((m(p))^\lambda, (c_0(q))^\mu)$, $((m(p))^\lambda, (c(q))^\mu)$ and $((m(p))^\lambda, (m(q))^\mu)$.

2. Auxiliary results

In this section, we recall a few results, which are used in proving the main results of the paper. For presenting these results throughout this section by $p = \{p_k\}$ and $q = \{q_k\}$ we denote bounded sequences with $p_k > 0$ and $q_k > 0$.

Lemma 1. *A matrix $A \in (m(p), c)$ if and only if*

$$\sup_n \left(\sup_k |a_{nk}| M^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) < \infty \text{ for all } M > 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_k |a_{nk} - a_k| M^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) = 0 \text{ for all } M > 0. \quad (2)$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_n (Ax)_n = \sum_k a_k x_k \quad (3)$$

for every $x = (x_k) \in m(p)$, where

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = a_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4)$$

Proof. We prove only (3), leaving other parts to the reader, since the proof is analogous to the proof of statement 21 of Theorem 4.13 in [7], or to the proof of statement 11 of Theorem, p. 231–234 in [2] for the classical case if $q_k \equiv 1$.

First we note that the existence of the limits in (4) follows from (2). To prove (3), let $x = (x_k)$ be an arbitrary sequence in $m(p)$. Then $|x_k|^{p_k} < M$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ for some $M > 0$, from which it follows that

$$|x_k| < M^{\frac{1}{p_k}}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{ for some } M > 0. \quad (5)$$

Now,

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_{nk} - a_k)x_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x_k \quad (6)$$

for every $x = (x_k) \in m(p)$. As

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_{nk} - a_k)x_k \right| \leq \sup_k |a_{nk} - a_k| |x_k| \leq \sup_k |a_{nk} - a_k| M^{\frac{1}{p_k}}$$

for every $x \in m(p)$ by (5), then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_{nk} - a_k)x_k \right| = 0$$

for every $x \in m(p)$ by (2). Therefore, due to (6), equality (3) holds for every $x \in m(p)$, completing the proof. \square

As the following results can be proved like analogues in the classical case (see [2], statements 7, 11 and 15 of Theorem in p. 231–234, or [7], statements 17, 21 and 25 of Theorem 4.13), we omit the proofs of them.

Lemma 2. *A matrix $A \in (m(p), c(q))$ if and only if condition (1) holds, and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_k |a_{nk} - a_k| M^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right)^{q_n} = 0 \text{ for all } M > 0, \quad (7)$$

where a_k is defined by (4).

Lemma 3. *A matrix $A \in (m(p), c_0(q))$ if and only if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_k |a_{nk}| M^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right)^{q_n} = 0 \text{ for all } M > 0. \quad (8)$$

Lemma 4. *A matrix $A \in (m(p), m(q))$ if and only if*

$$\sup_n \left(\sup_k |a_{nk}| M^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right)^{q_n} < M \text{ for all } M > 0. \quad (9)$$

3. Main results

Throughout this section, let $\lambda = \{\lambda_k\}$, $\mu = \{\mu_k\}$ be speeds of convergence over \mathbb{K} , $p = \{p_k\}$, $q = \{q_k\}$ – bounded sequences of strictly positive real numbers, and $A = (a_{nk})$ – a matrix over \mathbb{K} . For proving the main results, we need one preliminary lemma.

Lemma 5. *If*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_k|^{p_k} = \infty, \quad (10)$$

then for every $v = \{v_k\} \in m(p)$ there exists $x = \{x_k\} \in (m(p))^\lambda$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k := s$, such that

$$v_k = \lambda_k (x_k - s), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (11)$$

Proof. Let $v = \{v_k\}$ be an arbitrary sequence in $m(p)$. Then $|v_k|^{p_k} = O(1)$ by definition, and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{v_k}{\lambda_k} \right|^{p_k} = 0$$

by (10). This implies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v_k}{\lambda_k} = 0.$$

Defining now $x = \{x_k\}$ by

$$x_k = \frac{v_k}{\lambda_k} + s, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (12)$$

for some $s \in \mathbb{K}$, we obtain that relation (11) holds with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = s$, i.e., $x \in (m(p))^\lambda$. \square

Remark 1. If $\inf_k p_k > 0$, then condition (10) holds.

To formulate the main results of the paper, we need the matrix $B = (b_{nk})$ defined by

$$b_{nk} := \frac{\mu_n(a_{nk} - a_k)}{\lambda_k}, \quad n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

provided that the limits (4) exist. Also, we need the special sequences

$$e_k := \{0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots\},$$

where 1 is in the k -th position only ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), and

$$e := (1, 1, \dots, 1, \dots).$$

We note that $e_k, e \in (m(p))^\lambda$.

Theorem 1. *Let condition (10) be satisfied. Then $A \in ((m(p))^\lambda, (c(q))^\mu)$ if and only if*

$$A(e), A(e_k) \in (c(q))^\mu, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (13)$$

$$\sup_n \left(\sup_k \left| \frac{a_{nk}}{\lambda_k} \right| M^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) < \infty \text{ for all } M > 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_k \left| \frac{a_{nk} - a_k}{\lambda_k} \right| M^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) = 0 \text{ for all } M > 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\sup_n \left(\sup_k |b_{nk}| M^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) < \infty \text{ for all } M > 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_k |b_{nk} - b_k| M^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) = 0 \text{ for all } M > 0, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{nk} = b_k \text{ (say), } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (18)$$

Proof. Necessity. Let $A = (a_{nk}) \in ((m(p))^\lambda, (c(q))^\mu)$. Hence condition (13) holds, since $e, e_k \in (m(p))^\lambda$. As in this case $A(e_k), A(e) \in c$, then condition (4) holds, and

$$\text{there exists } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} = a \text{ (say).} \quad (19)$$

Let $x = \{x_k\}$ be an arbitrary sequence in $(m(p))^\lambda$. Then, defining $\{v_k\}$ by (11), where $s = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k$, we get (12), which implies

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{nk}}{\lambda_k} v_k + s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}. \quad (20)$$

As $\{(Ax)_n\} \in c$, from (20) we obtain by (19) that the matrix

$$A_\lambda := \left(\frac{a_{nk}}{\lambda_k} \right)$$

transforms this sequence $\{v_k\} \in m(p)$ into c . Using Lemma 5, we can conclude that for every $\{v_k\} \in m(p)$ there exists $x = \{x_k\} \in (m(p))^\lambda$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k := s$, such that relation (11) holds. Hence $A_\lambda \in (m(p), c)$. Therefore, by Lemma 1 and condition (19), we have that conditions (14), (15) hold, and

$$\eta := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{\lambda_k} v_k + sa \quad (21)$$

for every $x = \{x_k\} \in (m(p))^\lambda$. Using (20) and (21) we can write

$$(Ax)_n - \eta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_{nk} - a_k)}{\lambda_k} v_k + s \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} - a \right),$$

and so

$$\mu_n((Ax)_n - \eta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{nk} v_k + s \mu_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} - a \right) \quad (22)$$

for every $x \in (m(p))^\lambda$. By assumption, $\{(Ax)_n\} \in (c(q))^\mu$ for every $x \in (m(p))^\lambda$, which implies

$$\{\mu_n((Ax)_n - \eta)\} \in c(q) \quad (23)$$

for every $x \in (m(p))^\lambda$. Moreover,

$$\left\{ \mu_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} - a \right) \right\} \in c(q), \quad (24)$$

because $A(e) \in (c(q))^\mu$. Consequently, from (22) we obtain $B = (b_{nk}) \in (m(p), c(q))$. Therefore conditions (16) and (17) hold by Lemma 2. We note that the existence of the limits b_k in (18) follows from (17).

Sufficiency. We suppose that conditions (13) – (17) hold. Then the limits in (4) exist, condition (19) holds by (13), and relation (20) holds for every $x \in (m(p))^\lambda$, where $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = s$ and v_k is defined by (11). We note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{nk}}{\lambda_k} = \frac{a_k}{\lambda_k}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

by (4). Thus, with the help of Lemma 1 we have by (14) and (15) that $A_\lambda \in (m(p), c)$, and (21) holds for every $x \in (m(p))^\lambda$. In that case also (22) holds for every $x \in (m(p))^\lambda$ by (20) and (21). Further, relation (24) holds by (13), and, using Lemma 2, we can conclude that $B \in (m(p), c(q))$ by (16) and (17). Therefore, from (22) we conclude that (23) is also satisfied for every $x \in (m(p))^\lambda$, completing the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2. *Let condition (10) be satisfied. Then $A \in ((m(p))^\lambda, (c_0(q))^\mu)$ if and only if conditions (14) and (15) hold, and*

$$A(e), A(e_k) \in (c_0(q))^\mu, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (25)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_k |b_{nk}| M^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right)^{q_n} = 0 \text{ for all } M > 0.$$

Theorem 3. *Let condition (10) be satisfied. Then $A \in ((m(p))^\lambda, (m(q))^\mu)$ if and only if conditions (14) and (15) hold, and*

$$A(e), A(e_k) \in (m(q))^\mu, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (26)$$

$$\sup_n \left(\sup_k |b_{nk}| M^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right)^{q_n} < \infty \text{ for all } M > 0,$$

Theorems 2 and 3 may be proved in a similar fashion as the proof of Theorem 1. Therefore we omit them. We only note that for the proof of Theorem 2, instead of Lemma 2 we need to use Lemma 3 and it is necessary to replace (13) by (25), and in the proof of Theorem 3, instead of Lemma 2 we need to use Lemma 4 and it is necessary to replace (13) by (26).

References

- [1] A. Aasma, H. Dutta, and P. N. Natarajan, *An Introductory Course in Summability Theory*, John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, USA, 2017.
- [2] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, *Matrix transformations between the sequence spaces of Maddox*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **180** (1993), 223–238. URL
- [3] G. Kangro, *On the summability factors of the Bohr–Hardy type for a given speed I*, Proc. Est. Acad. Sci. Phys. Math. **18** (1969), 137–146. (Russian)
- [4] G. Kangro, *Summability factors for the series λ -bounded by the methods of Riesz and Cesàro*, Tartu Riikliku Ülikooli Toimetised **277** (1971), 136–154. (Russian)
- [5] I. J. Maddox and M. A. L. Willey, *Continuous operators on paranormed spaces and matrix transformations*, Pacific. J. Math. **53** (1974), 217–228. URL
- [6] I. J. Maddox, *Continuous and Köthe–Toeplitz duals of certain sequence spaces*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **65** (1969), 431–435. URL

- [7] E. Malkowsky and F. Başar, *A survey on some paranormed sequence spaces*, Filomat **31** (2017), 1099–1122. URL
- [8] P. N. Natarajan, *Continuous duals of certain sequence spaces and the related matrix transformations over non-Archimedean fields*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math. **21** (1990), 82–87.
- [9] P. N. Natarajan, *On convergence and summability with speed in ultrametric fields*, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iași. Mat. (N. S.) **68** (2022), 195–202. URL
- [10] P. N. Natarajan, *On boundedness with speed λ in ultrametric fields*, Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform. **37** (2022), 643–649. URL
- [11] P. N. Natarajan, *Matrix transformations between certain new sequence spaces over ultrametric fields*, Filomat **36** (2022), 6359–6367. URL
- [12] P. N. Natarajan and A. Aasma, *Some classes of matrix transforms connected with subspaces of Maddox spaces defined by speeds of convergence*, Filomat **38** (2024), 8039–8046. URL
- [13] P. N. Natarajan and A. Aasma, *Matrix transforms into the speed-Maddox spaces over ultrametric fields*, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iași. Mat. (N. S.), to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS AND FINANCE, TALLINN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
AKADEEMIA TEE 3–456, 12618 TALLINN, ESTONIA

E-mail address: ants.aasma@taltech.ee

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RAMAKRISHNA MISSION VIVEKANANDA COLLEGE,
600004 CHENNAI, INDIA

E-mail address: pinnangudinatarajan@gmail.com