ACTA ET COMMENTATIONES UNIVERSITATIS TARTUENSIS DE MATHEMATICA Volume 1, 1996

Dense subalgebras in noncommutative Jordan topological algebras*

Mati Abel

Wilansky conjectured in [12] that normed dense Q-algebras are full subalgebras of Banach algebras. Beddaa and Oudadess proved in [2] that Wilansky's conjecture was true. They showed that k-normed Q-algebras are full subalgebras of k-Banach algebras for each $k \in (0,1]$ (the case of Banach algebras see [7], Proposition 5.10). Moreover, Pérez, Rico and Rodríguez showed in [8], Theorem 4, that this was true also in the case of noncommutative Jordan-Banach algebras. In the present paper this problem has been studied in more general case. It is proved that all dense Q-subalgebras of topological algebras and of noncommutative Jordan topological algebras with continuous multiplication are full subalgebras. Some equivalent conditions that a dense subalgebra would be a Q-algebra (in subspace topology) in Q-algebras and in nonassociative Jordan Q-algebras with continuous multiplication are given.

1. Introduction

algebra valued

pseudoconvex

m with an ap-. 116 (1992),

jebra C(X,E),

es, Diss. North-

nctions, Diss.

-valued func-

Natur. (8) **58**

a valued func-

Trans. Amer.

gebras, Math.

Iolland, Ams-

gebras, Mem.

orth-Holland,

bras, Lecture

us functions,

ry Studies 7

nctions, Proc.

er. J. Math.

re Notes Ser.

mber 7, 1995

A linear topological space A over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb C$ is called a complex topological algebra (shortly a topological algebra) if in A there has been defined a separately continuous (not necessarily associative) multiplication. It means that for each neighborhood of zero O of A and each $a \in A$ there exists a neighborhood of zero U such that $aU \subset O$ and $Ua \subset O$. In particular, if for each neighborhood of zero O of A there exists another neighborhood of zero U satisfying $U^2 \subset O$, then A is called a topological algebra with continuous multiplication.

A topological algebra A is called an associative (a nonassociative) topological algebra if its multiplication of elements is associative (respectively, not associative). We shall use the short term "topological algebra" instead of "associative topological algebra" in the following text. When a nonassociative topological algebra A satisfies identities ab = ba and $a^2(ba) = (a^2b)a$ for each $a, b \in A$, then A is called a Jordan topological algebra, and when A satisfies identities a(ba) = (ab)a and $a^2(ba) = (a^2b)a$ for each $a, b \in A$, then a

65

^{*} This research was in part supported by Estonian Science Foundation (grant no. 927).

noncommutative Jordan topological algebra. At this, if the given product ab of elements a and b in A is replaced by the so called Jordan product $a \cdot b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$ of elements a and b and preserves the topology of A, we have a new topological algebra A^+ . It is easy to see that A^+ is a Jordan topological algebra for each noncommutative Jordan topological algebra A.

In particular, when A is a noncommutative Jordan algebra without unit, we replace A with $A_{\mathbb{C}} = A \times \mathbb{C}$. Defining algebraic operations in $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ as usual (that is, $(a, \lambda) + (a', \lambda') = (a + a', \lambda + \lambda')$, $(a, \lambda)(a', \lambda') = (aa' + \lambda a' + \lambda' a, \lambda \lambda')$ and $\mu(a, \lambda) = (\mu a, \mu \lambda)$ for each $(a, \lambda), (a', \lambda') \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$), then $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a noncommutative Jordan algebra with unit $(\theta_A, 1)$ $(\theta_A$ denotes the zero elemet in A), which is called an algebra, obtained from A by adding the unit.

Besides, when a nonassociative topological algebra satisfies identities $a^2b = a(ab)$ and $ba^2 = (ba)a$ for each $a, b \in A$, then A is called an alternative topological algebra. It is easy to see that every associative topological algebra is an alternative topological algebra, and every Jordan topological algebra and every alternative topological algebra are noncommutative Jordan topological algebras (see [9], p. 473).

An element a in a noncommutative Jordan algebra A with unit e_A is said to be invertible in A, if there exists an element $a^{-1} \in A$ (the inverse of a) such that

$$aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a = e_A \tag{1}$$

and

$$a^2a^{-1} = a^{-1}a^2 = a. (2)$$

Moreover, an element a in a noncommutative Jordan algebra A is said to be *quasi-inverible* in A, if there exists an element $a_q^{-1} \in A$ (the quasi-inverse of a) such that

$$a + a_q^{-1} - aa_q^{-1} = a + a_q^{-1} - a_q^{-1}a = \theta_A$$
 (3)

and

$$a + a_q^{-1} - 2aa_q^{-1} - a^2 + a^2a_q^{-1} = a + a_q^{-1} - 2a_q^{-1}a - a^2 + a_q^{-1}a^2 = \theta_A.$$
 (4)

In particular, when A is an associative algebra, then $a \in A$ is invertible in A (in the case, when A has unit), if the equality (1) holds, and is quasi-invertible in A, if the equality (3) holds. The set of all invertible elements of A is denoted by InvA and the set of all quasi-invertible elements of A is denoted by QinvA.

A subalgebra B of a noncommutative Jordan algebra A is called a full subalgebra of A if

$$QinvB = B \cap QinvA. (5)$$

In particular, when A is a noncommutative Jordan algebra with unit e_A and $e_A \in B$, then B is a full subalgebra of A if and only if

$$InvB = B \cap InvA. \tag{6}$$

of elements a of elements a.

It is easy to an topological

we replace A $\lambda)+(a',\lambda')=$ $\mu\lambda$) for each algebra with obtained from

 $a^2b = a(ab)$ ogical algebra.
ve topological
al algebra are

is said to be hat

(1)

(2)

juasi-inverible

(3)

$$= \theta_A.$$
 (4)

l (in the case, the equality the set of all

subalgebra of

(5)

 $\text{ nd } e_A \in B,$

(6)

A noncommutative Jordan (patricularly an associative) topological algebra A is called a Q-algebra, if the set $\operatorname{Qinv} A$ is open. When A has unit, then A is a Q-algebra if and only if the set $\operatorname{Inv} A$ is open. In the case, when a subalgebra B of a (not necessarily associative) topological algebra A is a Q-algebra in subspace topology, then B is called a Q-subalgebra of A.

Let now A be a complex (not necessarily associative) algebra and $\operatorname{sp}_A(a)$ be the spectrum of $a \in A$ that is

$$\operatorname{sp}_A(a) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \lambda^{-1} a \not\in \operatorname{Qinv} A \} \cup \{0\},\$$

if A is an algebra without unit, and

$$\operatorname{sp}_A(a) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda e_A \not\in \operatorname{Inv} A \},$$

if A is an algebra with unit e_A . In the both cases the spectral radius $r_A(a)$ of $a\in A$ is defined by

$$r_A(a) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \operatorname{sp}_A(a)\}.$$

2. Dense Q-subalgebras in noncommutative Jordan topological algebras

Let A be a noncommutative Jordan topological algebra, B be a dense Q-subalgebra of A, and $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ ($B_{\mathbb{C}}$) be the algebra obtained from A (respectively from B) by adding the unit. To show that B is a full subalgebra of A, we first have to prove some necessary results.

Proposition 1. Let A be a noncommutative Jordan algebra without unit and B be a subalgebra of A. Then B is a full subalgebra of A if and only if $B_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a full subalgebra of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof. Let B be a full subalgebra of A and $(a,\lambda) \in B_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \operatorname{Inv} A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $-\lambda^{-1}a \in \operatorname{Qinv} A$ and $(a,\lambda)^{-1} = (-\lambda^{-1}(-\lambda^{-1}a)_q^{-1},\lambda^{-1})$. Therefore $(a,\lambda) \in \operatorname{Inv} B_{\mathbb{C}}$ by the equality (5) (the identity $(\theta_A,1)$ of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ belongs to $B_{\mathbb{C}}$). Hence $B_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \operatorname{Inv} A_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \operatorname{Inv} B_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since the converse inclusion holds always, we have

$$Inv B_{\mathbb{C}} = B_{\mathbb{C}} \cap Inv A_{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{7}$$

It means that $B_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a full subalgebra of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let now $B_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a full subalgebra of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $b \in B \cap \operatorname{Qinv} A$. Then $(-b,1)^{-1} = (-b_q^{-1},1) \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore from $(-b,1) \in \operatorname{Inv} A_{\mathbb{C}}$ follows that $(-b,1) \in \operatorname{Inv} B_{\mathbb{C}}$ by the equality (7). Hence $b \in \operatorname{Qinv} B$, because of which the equality (5) holds. Consequently, B is a full subalgebra of A.

Proposition 2. Let A be a topological algebra or a noncommutative Jordan topological algebra with continuous multiplication. Then $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Q-algebra (in product topology) if and only if A is a Q-algebra.

Proof. Let A be a Q-algebra. Then QinvA is a neighborhood of zero in A (see [5], Lemma 6.4, and [1], Proposition 2). Whereas $(a,\lambda) \to \lambda a$ is a continuous map on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$, then there exists an open balanced neighborhood of zero U in A, and a neighborhood of unit V in \mathbb{C} such that $VU \subset QinvA$. As the inversion of elements in \mathbb{C} is continuous, then V defines an open neighborhood of unit W in \mathbb{C} such that $\lambda^{-1} \in V$ for each $\lambda \in W$. Therefore $-\lambda^{-1}a \in QinvA$ for each $a \in U$ and $\lambda \in W$. Hence $(a,\lambda)^{-1} = (-\lambda^{-1}(-\lambda^{-1}a)_q^{-1},\lambda^{-1}) \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$ for each $(a,\lambda) \in U \times W$. Since $U \times W$ is an open set in $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(\theta_A,1) \in U \times W \subset InvA_{\mathbb{C}}$, then $(\theta_A,1)$ is an interior point of $InvA_{\mathbb{C}}$, because of which $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Q-algebra (see [5], Lemma 6.4, and [1], Proposition 2).

Let now $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a Q-algebra. Then Inv $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is an open set in $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore there exists a balanced neighborhood of zero U in A and a neighborhood of unit V in \mathbb{C} such that $(\theta_A, 1) \in U \times V \subset \text{Inv}A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $(-a, 1) \in \text{Inv}A_{\mathbb{C}}$ for each $a \in U$, then $a \in \text{Qinv}A$ for each $a \in U$. Hence θ_A is an interior point of QinvA, because of which A is a Q-algebra.

Now we shall prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. Let A be a topological algebra or a noncommutative Jordan topological algebra with continuous multiplication. Then every dense Q-subalgebra of A is a full subalgebra.

Proof. Let B be a Q-subalgebra of A. We first assume that A is a topological algebra with unit e_A and $e_A \in B$. Since the inclusion $\operatorname{Inv} B \subset B \cap \operatorname{Inv} A$ always holds, we shall prove only the opposite inclusion. For it let $b \in B \cap \operatorname{Inv} A$. Then there exists an element $b' \in A$ such that $bb' = b'b = e_A$. By assumption, B is a dense subalgebra of A. Therefore there exists a net $(b_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of elements of B such that it converges to b' in topology of A. Then the nets $(bb_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ and $(b_\lambda b)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converge to e_A in topology of B. That is, b is a topologically invertible element in B. It is known (see [10], p. 1309) that the set of all topologically invertible elements of a Q-algebra coincides with the set $\operatorname{Inv} B$. Consequently $b \in \operatorname{Inv} B$, because of which B is a full subalgebra of A.

Let now A be a noncommutative Jordan topological algebra with unit e_A , multiplication of which is continuous, and let $e_A \in B$. Let A^+ and B^+ be Jordan algebras with unit, which we get from A and B by replacing the multiplication of elements in these algebras with the Jordan multiplication of elements, preserving at this the topology of both algebras. It is known (see [6], p. 4) that $\text{Inv}A = \text{Inv}A^+$ for each noncommutative Jordan algebra A. Therefore B is a full subalgebra of A if and only if

$$InvB^{+} = B^{+} \cap InvA^{+}. \tag{8}$$

To show it, let $b \in B^+ \cap \operatorname{Inv} A^+$ and U_b be a linear operator on A^+ defined by $U_b(a) = b \cdot (b \cdot a + a \cdot b) - b^2 \cdot a$ for each $a \in A^+$. Since $b \in \operatorname{Inv} A^+$, then $e_A \in U_b(A^+)$, U_b^{-1} exists and $U_b^{-1} = U_{b^{-1}}$ (see [4], Theorem 13, or [3], Theorem 2.1). Thus U_b is a linear homeomorphism on A^+ . By assumption B^+ is a Q-algebra. Therefore, by Proposition 3 from [1] (in the case of topological algebras see [11], Theorem 4.1), there exists a balanced neighborhood of zero V of B^+ such that $r_{B^+}(b) \leqslant q_V(b)$ for each

m A (see [5], map on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$, shborhood of s continuous, V for each $(a, \lambda)^{-1} = V$ is an open nt of Inv $A_{\mathbb{C}}$,

e there exists \mathbb{C} such that $\in \operatorname{Qinv} A$ for a Q-algebra.

rdan topologof A is a full

gical algebra ys holds, we ere exists an subalgebra of erges to b' in pology of B.

1309) that the set Inv B.

e_A, multiplidan algebras ients in these ology of both tative Jordan

(8)

by $U_b(a) =$ $\in U_b(A^+)$, 1). Thus U_b Therefore, by m 4.1), there $V_b(b)$ for each $b\in B^+$ (here q_V denotes the gauge (Minkowski functional) of V). Let now U be a neighborhood of zero in A^+ such that $V=U\cap B^+$. As U_b is a homeomorphism on A^+ , then $U_b(A^+)=\operatorname{cl}_{A^+}U_b(B^+)$ (the closure of $U_b(B^+)$ in A^+). Since $e_A\in U_b(A^+)$, then $(e_A+U)\cap U_b(B^+)$ is not empty. Hence there exists an element $b_0\in B^+$ such that $U_b(b_0)-e_A\in U\cap B^+=V$. Taking it into account, we have $q_V(U_b(b_0)-e_A)<1$. Thus from $r_{B^+}(U_b(b_0)-e_A)<1$ follows that $U_b(b_0)=(U_b(b_0)-e_A)-(-1)e_A\in\operatorname{Inv} B^+$. Therefore $b\in\operatorname{Inv} B^+$ by Theorem 13 from [4]. Hence, the equality (8) holds and B is a full subalgebra of A.

Finally, let A be a topological algebra or a noncommutative Jordan topological algebra with continuous multiplication. If one of the algebras A or B does not have unit, then instead of algebras A and B we take under consideration the algebras $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $B_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since both algebras have unit and $B_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Q-algebra in product topology (by Proposition 2) and dense in $A_{\mathbb{C}}$, then $B_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a full subalgebra of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ by the first part of this proof. Consequently, B is a full subalgebra of A by Proposition 1.

Theorem 2. Let A be (an associative) Q-algebra or a noncommutative Jordan Q-algebra with continuous multiplication and B be a dense subalgebra of A. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) B is a full subalgebra of A;
- (b) $sp_A(b) = sp_B(b)$ for each $b \in B$;
- (c) B is a Q-algebra in subspace topology.

Proof. Let B be a full subalgebra of A and let $b \in B$. Then $\operatorname{sp}_A(b) \subset \operatorname{sp}_B(b)$ by the equality (5). If $\lambda \in \operatorname{sp}_B(b)$ then from $\lambda^{-1}b \not\in \operatorname{Qinv}B$ follows that $\lambda^{-1}b \not\in \operatorname{Qinv}A$ by the equality (5). Hence $\lambda \in \operatorname{sp}_A(b)$. It means that from (a) follows (b).

Let now $b\in \operatorname{Qinv} B$. Then $1\not\in\operatorname{sp}_A(b)$ by (b). Hence $b\in\operatorname{Qinv} A$. Since A is a Q-algebra, then there exists a neighborhood O of b such that $O\subset\operatorname{Qinv} A$. As $O\cap B\subset\operatorname{Qinv} B$ by (b), then B is a Q-algebra in subspace topology. That is , from (b) follows (c).

The implication (c) \Rightarrow (a) holds by Theorem 1.

References

- 1. M. Abel, Noncommutative Jordan locally pseudoconvex Q-algebras, Algebras Groups Geom. (to appear).
- 2. A. Beddaa, M. Oudadess, On a question of A. Wilansky in normed al- gebras, Studia Math. 95 (1989), 175-177.
- 3. C. V. Devapakkiam, Jordan algebras with continuous inverse, Math. Japon. 16 (1971), 115-125.
- N. Jacobson, Structure and representations of Jordan algebras, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 39, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968.
- 5. A. Mallios, Topological algebras. Selected topics, North-Holland, Ams-terdam, 1986.

- 6. K. McCrimmon, Noncommutative Jordan rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 158 (1971), 1-33.
- 7. T. W. Palmer, Spectral algebras, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 22 (1992), 293-328.
- J. Pérez, L. Rico, A. Rodríguez, Full subalgebras of Jordan-Banach al- gebras and algebra norms on JB*-algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1994), 1133-1143.
- 9. R. D. Schafer, Noncommutative Jordan algebras of characteristic 0, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1955), 472-475.
- A. D. Thatte, S. J. Bhatt, On topolizing invertibility, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 15 (1984), 1308-1312.
- 11. Y. Tsertos, Representations and extensions of positive functionals on *-algebras, Bulletino U.M.I. (7) 8-B (1994), 541-555.
- 12. A. Wilansky, Letter to the editor, Amer. Math. Monthly 91 (1984), 531.

Received October 19, 1995

Institute of Pure Mathematics University of Tartu EE2400 Tartu, Estonia E-mail: abel@math.ut.ee