

## A unified approach to some Tauberian theorems of Hardy and Littlewood

HUBERT TIETZ AND KARL ZELLER

### 1. Introduction

Let  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots$  be a series with real terms and the partial sums  $s_n := a_1 + \dots + a_n$ . If

$$a(x) := \sum a_n x^n = (1-x) \sum s_n x^n =: (1-x)s(x) \quad (0 \leq x < 1)$$

converges and  $a(x) = (1-x)s(x) = o(1)$  as  $x \rightarrow 1-$ , then we write  $A\text{-}\sum a_n = 0$  or  $A\text{-}\lim s_n = 0$ . If  $s_1 + \dots + s_n = o(n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then we write  $C_1\text{-}\lim s_n = 0$ . The following two Tauberian theorems for (the *Abel method*)  $A$  are due to Hardy and Littlewood [2, Theorems 11 and 9], their shortest proofs were found by Wielandt [7] respective Karamata [3].

**Theorem HL1.**  $A\text{-}\sum a_n = 0 \wedge na_n \leq 1 \implies \sum a_n = 0$ .

**Theorem HL2.**  $A\text{-}\lim s_n = 0 \wedge s_n \leq 1 \implies C_1\text{-}\lim s_n = 0$ .

As to the extensive literature on these results see [1], [4], [8] and [6]. In Section 3 of this paper we modify Wielandt's proof of Theorem HL1, and in Section 4 we prove Theorem HL2 in a very similar way. We also use the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem, but we avoid integrals, so our proofs are a little more direct.

---

Received January 22, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 40E10, 40G10.

*Key words and phrases.* Tauberian theorems, Abel method.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\alpha := 1/2$ . We write  $\sum$ ,  $\lim$  and  $\limsup$  instead of

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}, \lim_{x \rightarrow 1-} \text{ and } \limsup_{x \rightarrow 1-}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all functions  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Members of  $\mathcal{F}$  which are most important for us are the *identity*  $j$ , the *step*  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi(x) := 0$  for  $0 \leq x < \alpha$  and  $\varphi(x) := 1$  for  $\alpha \leq x \leq 1$ , and, with a fixed  $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$ , the *ramps*  $r_1, r_2 \in C[0, 1]$  with

$$r_1 \begin{cases} := \varphi \text{ on } [0, \alpha) \cup [\alpha + \varepsilon, 1] \\ \text{linear on } [\alpha, \alpha + \varepsilon) \end{cases}, \quad r_2 \begin{cases} := \varphi \text{ on } [0, \alpha - \varepsilon) \cup [\alpha, 1] \\ \text{linear on } [\alpha - \varepsilon, \alpha). \end{cases}$$

We have  $r_1 \leq \varphi \leq r_2$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $0 \leq \beta < \gamma \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq g \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

a) *If  $g \leq j$  on  $[\beta, \gamma]$  and  $g := 0$  otherwise, then*

$$(1 - x) \sum g(x^n) \leq \gamma - \beta x \quad (0 < x < 1).$$

b) *If  $g \leq j(1 - j)$  on  $[\beta, \gamma]$  and  $g := 0$  otherwise, then*

$$\sum n^{-1} g(x^n) \leq \gamma - \beta x \quad (0 < x < 1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in (0, 1)$  be fixed. If  $\beta > 0$ , then we define  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$  by  $x^k < \gamma \leq x^{k-1}$  and  $x^l < \beta \leq x^{l-1}$ . It follows

$$\text{a) } (1 - x) \sum g(x^n) \leq (1 - x) \sum_{n=k}^{l-1} x^n = x^k - x^l < \gamma - \beta x,$$

$$\text{b) } \sum n^{-1} g(x^n) \leq \sum_{n=k}^{l-1} n^{-1} x^n (1 - x^n) \leq (1 - x) \sum_{n=k}^{l-1} x^n < \gamma - \beta x.$$

If  $\beta = 0$ , then we obtain the same inequalities with  $k$  as above and  $l := \infty$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem HL1

Assume  $A\text{-}\sum a_n = 0$  and  $na_n \leq 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the set of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\sum a_n f(x^n)$  converges for  $0 \leq x < 1$  and  $\lim \sum a_n f(x^n) = 0$ . To prove Theorem HL1 it suffices to show  $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$ . Since  $A\text{-}\sum a_n = 0$ , it follows  $jp \in \mathcal{W}$  for each polynomial  $p$ . Next we show that

$$h \in C[0, 1] \implies f := j(1 - j)h \in \mathcal{W}.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$ .  
 $p_2 - p_1 \leq \varepsilon j(1 - j)$ .

$$\sum a_n$$

From this and so  $f \in \mathcal{W}$  have

$$\varphi - r_1$$

and thus,

$$\sum a_n (\varphi - r_1)$$

From this  $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$ .

Assume  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\sum s_n f(x^n)$  converges for  $0 \leq x < 1$  and  $\lim \sum s_n f(x^n) = 0$ . It follows  $f \in \mathcal{W}$ .

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$ .  
 $p_2 - p_1 \leq \varepsilon j(1 - j)$  with

$$(1 - x)$$

$$(1 - x)$$

From this  $f \in \mathcal{W}$ . For

$$\varphi$$

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$  and choose polynomials  $p_1, p_2$  such that  $p_1 \leq h \leq p_2$  and  $p_2 - p_1 \leq \varepsilon$ . Then  $0 \leq f - j(1-j)p_1 \leq \varepsilon j(1-j)$  and  $0 \leq j(1-j)p_2 - f \leq \varepsilon j(1-j)$ . Using Lemma 2.1.b) with  $\beta = 0$  and  $\gamma = 1$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum a_n(f - j(1-j)p_1)(x^n) &\leq \sum n^{-1}(f - j(1-j)p_1)(x^n) \leq \varepsilon, \\ \sum a_n(j(1-j)p_2 - f)(x^n) &\leq \sum n^{-1}(j(1-j)p_2 - f)(x^n) \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From this and  $j(1-j)p_1, j(1-j)p_2 \in \mathcal{W}$  we get  $\limsup |\sum a_n f(x^n)| \leq \varepsilon$ , and so  $f \in \mathcal{W}$ . For example  $r_1 - j, r_2 - j \in \mathcal{W}$ , hence  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ . We further have

$$\varphi - r_1 \begin{cases} \leq 4j(1-j) \text{ on } [\alpha, \alpha + \varepsilon) \\ = 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad r_2 - \varphi \begin{cases} \leq 4j(1-j) \text{ on } [\alpha - \varepsilon, \alpha) \\ = 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and thus, again by Lemma 2.1.b), we get

$$\sum a_n(\varphi - r_1)(x^n) \leq 4(\alpha + \varepsilon - \alpha x), \quad \sum a_n(r_2 - \varphi)(x^n) \leq 4(\alpha - (\alpha - \varepsilon)x).$$

From this and  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathcal{W}$  we obtain  $\limsup |\sum a_n \varphi(x^n)| \leq 4\varepsilon$ , and so  $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$ .

#### 4. Proof of Theorem HL2

Assume  $A\text{-}\lim s_n = 0$  and  $s_n \leq 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the set of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\sum s_n f(x^n)$  converges for  $0 \leq x < 1$  and  $\lim(1-x) \cdot \sum s_n f(x^n) = 0$ . To prove Theorem HL2 it suffices to show  $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}$  because  $\lim(1-x)/(-\ln x) = 1$ . Since  $A\text{-}\lim s_n = 0$ , it follows  $jp \in \mathcal{K}$  for each polynomial  $p$ . Next we show that

$$h \in C[0, 1] \implies f := jh \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$  and choose polynomials  $p_1, p_2$  such that  $p_1 \leq h \leq p_2$  and  $p_2 - p_1 \leq \varepsilon$ . Then  $0 \leq f - jp_1 \leq \varepsilon j$  and  $0 \leq jp_2 - f \leq \varepsilon j$ . Using Lemma 2.1.a) with  $\beta = 0$  and  $\gamma = 1$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (1-x) \sum s_n(f - jp_1)(x^n) &\leq (1-x) \sum (f - jp_1)(x^n) \leq \varepsilon, \\ (1-x) \sum s_n(jp_2 - f)(x^n) &\leq (1-x) \sum (jp_2 - f)(x^n) \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From this and  $jp_1, jp_2 \in \mathcal{K}$  we get  $\limsup (1-x) |\sum s_n f(x^n)| \leq \varepsilon$ , and so  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ . For example  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ . We further have

$$\varphi - r_1 \begin{cases} \leq 2j \text{ on } [\alpha, \alpha + \varepsilon) \\ = 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad r_2 - \varphi \begin{cases} \leq 2j \text{ on } [\alpha - \varepsilon, \alpha) \\ = 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and thus, again by Lemma 2.1.a), we get

$$\begin{aligned}(1-x) \sum s_n(\varphi - r_1)(x^n) &\leq 2(\alpha + \varepsilon - \alpha x), \\ (1-x) \sum s_n(r_2 - \varphi)(x^n) &\leq 2(\alpha - (\alpha - \varepsilon)x).\end{aligned}$$

From this and  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathcal{K}$  we obtain  $\limsup(1-x) \left| \sum s_n \varphi(x^n) \right| \leq 2\varepsilon$ , and so  $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}$ .

### 5. Concluding Remarks

The following theorems of Littlewood [5] for series with complex terms are easy consequences of Theorems HL1 and HL2.

**Theorem L1.**  $A\text{-}\sum a_n = 0 \wedge n|a_n| \leq 1 \implies \sum a_n = 0$ .

**Theorem L2.**  $A\text{-}\lim s_n = 0 \wedge |s_n| \leq 1 \implies C_1\text{-}\lim s_n = 0$ .

These theorems can be proved along the same lines as Theorems HL1 and HL2, but their proofs are shorter because one needs only one of the ramps  $r_1, r_2$ .

### References

1. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949.
2. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Tauberian theorems concerning power series and Dirichlet's series whose coefficients are positive*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **13** (1914), no. 2, 174–191.
3. J. Karamata, *Über die Hardy-Littlewoodsche Umkehrung des Abelschen Stetigkeitssatzes*, Math. Z. **32** (1930), 319–320.
4. E. Landau und D. Gaier, *Darstellung und Begründung einiger neuerer Ergebnisse der Funktionentheorie*, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
5. J. E. Littlewood, *The converse of Abel's theorem on power series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **9** (1911), no. 2, 434–448.
6. H. Tietz and K. Zeller, *An elementary proof for the  $O_R$ -Theorem of Hardy and Littlewood*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **218** (1998), 632–635.
7. H. Wielandt, *Zur Umkehrung des Abelschen Stetigkeitssatzes*, Math.Z. **56** (1952), 206–207.
8. K. Zeller und W. Beekmann, *Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren*, Springer, Berlin, 1970.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT A, UNIVERSITÄT STUTTGART, D-70569 STUTTGART, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* tietz@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de

SONNENSTRASSE 11, D-72076 TÜBINGEN, GERMANY