

Empty-cored sequences in Banach spaces

AIN IRO AND LEIKI LOONE

ABSTRACT. The purpose of the present paper is to describe sequences in Banach spaces in terms of Knopp core. For matrix summability methods, the conditions for core-shrinkingness are also given. In investigations of core inclusions and several other summability problems in Banach spaces it is vital to be aware of elements with empty cores. Empty-cored sequences that remain empty-cored under transformation by an arbitrary regular matrix method are described.

1. Preliminaries

Let X be a Banach space and let X^* be its topological dual. Let $\omega(X)$ denote the set of all sequences $x = (\xi_n)$ with $\xi_n \in X$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let $E_n(x) = \{\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \dots\}$, where $x = (\xi_n) \in \omega(X)$, and let $R_n(x)$ be the closure of the convex hull of $E_n(x)$ in E , i.e.

$$R_n(x) = \text{cl conv } E_n(x).$$

The intersection

$$K(x) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n(x)$$

is called *Knopp core* of the sequence x (see [2]; cf. also [1], Chpt. VI). If $K(x) = \emptyset$, then we say that x is *empty-cored*.

Received December 18, 2000; revised January 5, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 40J05, 46B15.

Key words and phrases. Knopp core, core, core-shrinking matrix method.

This research was supported in part by the Estonian Scientific Foundation Grant 3991.

In the sequel we consider in particular the following subsets of $\omega(X)$:

$$m(X) = \{x = (\xi_n) \mid \sup\{\|\xi_n\| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty\},$$

$$m^\sharp(X) = \{x = (\xi_n) \mid \sup\{\|\xi\| : \xi \in K(x)\} < \infty, K(x) \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$\tilde{c}_w(X) = \{x = (\xi_n) \mid x \text{ is a weakly Cauchy sequence in } X\},$$

$$c_w(X) = \{x = (\xi_n) \mid x \text{ is a weakly convergent sequence in } X\},$$

$$c(X) = \{x = (\xi_n) \mid x \text{ is a convergent sequence in } X\}.$$

$$c^\sharp(X) = \{x = (\xi_n) \mid K(x) \text{ is a singleton}\}.$$

The sets $m(X)$, $\tilde{c}_w(X)$, $c_w(X)$ and $c(X)$ are linear subspaces of $\omega(X)$. In the general case the sets $m^\sharp(x)$ and $c^\sharp(X)$ are not linear subspaces of $\omega(X)$.

It is shown in [5] that for any Banach space X

$$c_w(X) \subset c^\sharp(X) \tag{1}$$

and if X is reflexive, then

$$m(X) \subset m^\sharp(X). \tag{2}$$

Proposition 1. *Let X be a complex Banach space and let $x = (\xi_n) \subset X$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} K(x) &= \{\xi \in X \mid \operatorname{Re} f(\xi) \leq \limsup_n \operatorname{Re} f(\xi_n) \quad \forall f \in X^*\} \\ &= \{\xi \in X \mid \liminf_n \operatorname{Re} f(\xi_n) \leq \operatorname{Re} f(\xi) \leq \limsup_n \operatorname{Re} f(\xi_n) \quad \forall f \in X^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This proposition was proved in [5] for the real Banach spaces.

Let us consider now X as a real Banach space and let X_R^* be its topological dual. Knopp core of the sequence x does not change if we change the field of scalars from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{R} . Let

$$f_R(x) = \operatorname{Re} f(x) \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Since, for every $g \in X_R^*$ there is an $f \in X^*$ such that $g = f_R$, the complex case follows from the real one. \square

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Recall, that two sequences $(\xi_n) \subset X$ and $(\eta_n) \subset Y$ are *equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism T from $\operatorname{clspan}\{\xi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ onto $\operatorname{clspan}\{\eta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $T\xi_n = \eta_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Proposition 1 it is easy to see that every sequence which is equivalent to an empty-cored sequence has empty Knopp core itself.

Proposition 2. *If $x = (\xi_n)$ is a weakly Cauchy sequence in X with no weak limit or a sequence that is equivalent to the unit vector basis (e_n) of ℓ_1 , then it is empty-cored.*

Proof. Suppose that $\xi \in K(x)$. If x is weakly Cauchy, then by Proposition 1

$$f(\xi) = \lim_n f(\xi_n) \quad \forall f \in X^*.$$

As x has no weak limit, this is a contradiction. Suppose now that $x = (e_n)$. Let $f_k = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$, where 1 stands on the k -th position. As $f_k \in \ell_1^*$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, using Proposition 1 one can see that $\xi = 0$. But for the element $f_e = (1, 1, \dots) \in \ell_1^*$, we have that $f_e(\xi) = 1$, which is a contradiction, and therefore $K((e_n)) = \emptyset$. Since equivalent sequences have empty cores simultaneously, the proposition is proved. \square

2. Empty-cored subsequences

The property that $x = (\xi_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is convergent if and only if its Knopp core is a singleton is important for the investigation of Knopp core in $\omega(\mathbb{R})$. Using Rosenthal's ℓ_1 -theorem we shall describe in terms of Knopp cores the sequences in a Banach space X . This description provides us with an account of the set $c^\#(X) \setminus c_w(X)$.

Theorem 3. *Let $x = (\xi_n)$ be a sequence in a Banach space X . Then x has a subsequence (ξ_{n_k}) satisfying one of the two mutually exclusive conditions:*

- (i) (ξ_{n_k}) is empty-cored,
- (ii) (ξ_{n_k}) is weakly convergent.

Proof. If $x = (\xi_n)$ is not bounded, then there exists $f \in X^*$ and a subsequence (ξ_{n_k}) such that

$$\lim_k \operatorname{Re} f(\xi_{n_k}) = -\infty,$$

by Proposition 1 we get that $K((\xi_{n_k})) = \emptyset$. If the sequence $x = (\xi_n)$ is bounded, then by Rosenthal's ℓ_1 -theorem (see e.g. [4], p. 43) one can extract a subsequence that is weakly-Cauchy or a subsequence that is equivalent to the unit vector basis (e_k) of ℓ_1 . Thus by Proposition 2, if this subsequence is not weakly convergent, then its Knopp core is empty. \square

Corollary 4. *Let $x \in c^\#(X)$. If x has no empty-cored subsequences, then it is weakly convergent.*

Proof. Let $K(x) = \{\xi\}$. By Theorem 3 every subsequence of x has a subsequence that is weakly convergent. As Knopp core contains all weak cluster points of x , the sequence x is weakly convergent to ξ . \square

Theorem 5. Let $x = (\xi_n)$ and $y = (\eta_n)$ be sequences in a Banach space X .

(i) If

$$\limsup_n \operatorname{Re} f(\eta_n) \leq \limsup_n \operatorname{Re} f(\xi_n) \quad \forall f \in X^*, \quad (3)$$

then

$$K(y) \subset K(x). \quad (4)$$

(ii) If the sequence y has no empty-cored subsequences, then the conditions (3) and (4) are equivalent.

Proof. (i) is a direct consequence of Proposition 1.

(ii) Let us assume that (4) is true but there exists $f_0 \in X^*$ such that

$$\alpha = \limsup_n \operatorname{Re} f_0(\eta_n) > \limsup_n \operatorname{Re} f_0(\xi_n). \quad (5)$$

Consequently there exists a subsequence (η_{n_k}) such that

$$\lim_k \operatorname{Re} f_0(\eta_{n_k}) = \alpha.$$

This subsequence possesses no subsequence with empty Knopp core. By Theorem 3 we can extract a weakly convergent subsequence $(\eta'_n) \subset (\eta_{n_k})$. This means that there exists $\eta \in X$ such that

$$\lim_n f(\eta'_n) = f(\eta) \quad \forall f \in X^*.$$

Knopp core $K(y)$ contains all weak cluster points of y , therefore $\eta \in K(y)$, and by (4) and Proposition 1

$$\operatorname{Re} f(\eta) \leq \limsup_n \operatorname{Re} f(\xi_n) \quad \forall f \in X^*.$$

As $\operatorname{Re} f_0(\eta) = \alpha$, this is in contradiction with (5). □

3. Empty-cored sequences on the unit sphere

The property that every bounded sequence in $\omega(\mathbb{R})$ has the nonempty Knopp core is yet another important property in the investigation of Knopp cores. In this section we shall show that this property is true in $\omega(X)$ if and only if X is reflexive. On the whole, this fact is well known (see e.g. [3], p. 58). We shall provide here a direct proof, based on the concept of Knopp core and the James condition for the reflexivity.

Theorem 6. *A Banach space X is reflexive if and only if every sequence on the unit sphere S_X has nonempty Knopp core.*

Proof. Necessity. Assume that X is reflexive. If $(\xi_n) \subset S_X$ then $(\xi_n) \in m(X)$ and by (2) its Knopp core is nonempty.

Sufficiency. We need the following version of the James condition for reflexivity (see e.g. [4]; for a short proof see [6]): A Banach space X is reflexive if and only if there is an $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that if $(\xi_n) \subset S_X$, with $\|u\| > \varepsilon$ for all $u \in \text{conv}\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots\}$, then there are $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $u \in \text{conv}\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n_0}\}$ and $v \in \text{conv}\{\xi_{n_0+1}, \xi_{n_0+2}, \dots\}$ such that $\|u - v\| \leq \varepsilon$.

Let $x = (\xi_n) \subset S_X$ be an arbitrary sequence and let $\xi \in K(x)$. This means that there exists a sequence (σ_n) of convex combinations

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{k=m_n+1}^{m_{n+1}} \lambda_k \xi_k$$

such that $\sigma_n \rightarrow \xi$. Note that

$$\sigma_n \in \text{conv}\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{m_{n+1}}\}$$

and

$$\sigma_{n+1} \in \text{conv}\{\xi_{m_{n+1}+1}, \xi_{m_{n+1}+2}, \dots\}.$$

Since (σ_n) is a Cauchy sequence, for arbitrary $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists n_ε such that

$$\|\sigma_{n_\varepsilon} - \sigma_{n_\varepsilon+1}\| < \varepsilon.$$

We may choose for the James condition $n_0 = m_{n_\varepsilon+1}$, $u = \sigma_{n_\varepsilon}$ and $v = \sigma_{n_\varepsilon+1}$, and this finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 7. *A Banach space X is reflexive if and only if*

$$m(X) \subset m^\sharp(X).$$

Corollary 8. *If X is a reflexive Banach space, then*

$$c_w(X) = c^\sharp(X) \cap m(X).$$

Proof follows from Corollary 7 by using Corollary 4 and inclusion (1).

4. Regular matrix methods of summability and empty-cored sequences

Recall that a real or complex matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ is called a *regular* method of summability if, given a sequence of scalars (ξ_n) converging to ξ , the sequence (η_n) , where

$$\eta_n = \sum_k a_{nk} \xi_k, \quad (6)$$

also converges to ξ . It is well known (see e.g. [1]) that A is a regular method if and only if

$$\lim_n a_{nk} = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (7)$$

$$\lim_n \sum_k a_{nk} = 1, \quad (8)$$

$$\sup_n \sum_k |a_{nk}| < \infty \quad (9)$$

Let A be a matrix method of summability and let $x = (\xi_k)$ be the sequence in a Banach space X . Let $y = Ax$ be the sequence (η_n) in X which is given by the formula (6). If $Ax \in c(X)$ (resp. $Ax \in c_w(X)$), then we say that x is *summable* (resp. *w-summable*) by A .

The set

$$\omega_A(X) = \{x = (\xi_k) \in \omega(X) \mid \text{series (6) converge in } X \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is called a *domain* of matrix method $A = (a_{nk})$ in $\omega(X)$. It follows from (9) that if A is a regular matrix method, then $m(X) \subset \omega_A(X)$.

Let $Z \subset \omega_A(X)$. A matrix method A is called *core-shrinking* on Z if

$$K(Ax) \subset K(x) \quad \forall x \in Z.$$

Note that a core-shrinking method on Z preserves empty cores in Z .

Let

$$(Z; X^*) = \{(\operatorname{Re} f(\xi_n)) \in \omega(\mathbb{R}) \mid (\xi_n) \in Z, f \in X^*\}.$$

Observe that if $Z \subset \omega_A(X)$, then $(Z; X^*) \subset \omega_A(\mathbb{R})$. Obviously,

$$(m(X); X^*) = m(\mathbb{R}) \quad (10)$$

and

$$(c(X); X^*) = (c_w(X); X^*) = (\tilde{c}_w(X); X^*) = c(\mathbb{R}). \quad (11)$$

Theorem 9. *Let X be a Banach space and let $A = (a_{nk})$ be a matrix method such that $Z \subset \omega_A(X)$. If A is a core-shrinking matrix method on $(Z; X^*)$, then it is a core-shrinking matrix method on Z .*

Proof. Let $x = (\xi_n) \in Z$ and let $f \in X^*$ be an arbitrary functional. Therefore, $(\text{Ref}(\xi_n)) \in (Z; X^*)$. Since method A is core-shrinking on $(Z; X^*)$, due to the concept of Knopp core in \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\limsup_n \sum_k a_{nk} \text{Ref}(\xi_k) \leq \limsup_n \text{Ref}(\xi_n),$$

meaning that

$$\limsup_n \text{Ref}\left(\sum_k a_{nk} \xi_k\right) \leq \limsup_n \text{Ref}(\xi_n).$$

It is clear from part (i) of Theorem 5 that A is core-shrinking on Z . \square

Corollary 10. *Every regular matrix method $A = (a_{nk})$ is core-shrinking on $\tilde{c}_w(X)$.*

Proof. Since $\tilde{c}_w(X) \subset \omega_A(X)$, the proof follows from the observations that a regular method is core-shrinking on $c(\mathbb{R})$ and (11) holds. \square

Corollary 11. *If $A = (a_{nk})$ is a regular matrix method and if*

$$\lim_n \sum_k |a_{nk}| = 1, \quad (12)$$

then A is core-shrinking on $m(X)$.

Proof. It is well known that a regular method $A = (a_{nk})$ is core-shrinking on $m(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if (12) holds (see e.g. [1]). The assertion follows now immediately from Theorem 9 and the inclusion (10). \square

Corollary 12. *If $A = (a_{nk})$ is a positive and regular matrix method on $\omega(\mathbb{R})$, then it is core-shrinking on $\omega(X)$.*

Proof. A matrix method that has $\omega(\mathbb{R})$ for its domain is row-finite. It is obvious that then $\omega_A(X) = \omega(X)$. As a positive and regular method is core-shrinking on $\omega(\mathbb{R})$ (see e.g. [1]), the assertion follows from Theorem 9. \square

Proposition 13. *Let x be a weakly Cauchy sequence in X with no weak limit or a sequence that is equivalent to the unit vector basis (e_k) of ℓ_1 .*

Then for every regular matrix method A , Knopp core $K(Ax)$ is empty. Consequently, there exists no regular matrix method A such that x is w -summable by A .

Proof. If x is weakly Cauchy with no weak limit then the statement follows from Corollary 10.

It can be easily verified that if A is regular and if x is equivalent to $\hat{x} = (e_k)$ then Ax is equivalent to $A\hat{x}$. As equivalent sequences have empty cores simultaneously, we have to prove that the statement holds for $\hat{x} = (e_k)$.

Assume that there exist regular A and $\xi \in \ell_1$ such that $\xi \in K(A\hat{x})$. Now we can construct a contradiction in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 2. Indeed, by using the fact that (7) holds, we get from Proposition 1 for $f_k \in \ell_1^*$ that

$$f_k(\xi) = \lim_n f_k\left(\sum_j a_{nj}e_j\right) = \lim_n a_{nk} = 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

i.e. $\xi = 0$. But for $f_e \in \ell_1^*$ we get from (8) that

$$f_e(\xi) = \lim_n f_e\left(\sum_j a_{nj}e_j\right) = \lim_n \sum_j a_{nj} = 1,$$

which is a contradiction, therefore $K(A\hat{x}) = \emptyset$. □

Acknowledgements

Thanks to the referee for the helpful encouragement and for the good care.

References

1. R. G. Cooke, *Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces*, Macmillan&Co., Ltd., London, 1950.
2. N. R. Das and A. Chowdhury, *On core of a vector valued sequence*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **86** (1994), 27–32.
3. M. M. Day, *Normed Linear Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York–Heidelberg, 1973.
4. S. Guerre-Delabrière, *Classical Sequences in Banach Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1992.
5. A. Iro and L. Loone, *Knopp's core in topological vector spaces*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartuensis Math. **2** (1998), 75–79.
6. E. Oja, *A short proof of a characterization of reflexivity of James*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 2507–2508.

INSTITUTE OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TARTU, VANEMUISE 46, 51014
TARTU, ESTONIA

E-mail address: leiki@math.ut.ee