

On transitivity of $M(r, s)$ -inequalities and geometry of higher duals of Banach spaces

RAINIS HALLER

ABSTRACT. In this note we study transitivity of $M(r, s)$ -inequalities and geometry of higher duals of Banach spaces. Our main theorem shows that if X and Y are closed subspaces of a Banach space Z such that X is an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in Y and Y is an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in Z , then X is an ideal satisfying the $M(\frac{r}{2-r}, \frac{s}{2-s})$ -inequality in Z . This extends the corresponding result for M -ideals. As an application we show that if a Banach space X is an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in its bidual, then X is an ideal satisfying the $M(\frac{r}{r+n(1-r)}, \frac{s}{r+n(1-s)})$ -inequality in its dual space of order $2n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that if X is an ideal satisfying the $M(1, s)$ -inequality in its bidual, then X has the strong uniqueness property SU in all its duals of even order. These results generalize the corresponding results for M -ideals established by Rao [R].

1. Introduction

Let Y be a (real or complex) Banach space, and let X be a closed subspace of Y . The subspace X is called an *ideal* (cf. [GKS]) if there exists a norm one projection P (an *ideal projection*) on the dual space Y^* with $\ker P = X^\perp = \{y^* \in Y^* : y^*(x) = 0 \forall x \in X\}$ (the annihilator of X in Y^*). Given $r, s \in (0, 1]$, the subspace X is said to be an *ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality* in Y (cf. [CNO]) if X is an ideal in Y and a corresponding ideal projection P satisfies

$$\|y^*\| \geq r \|Py^*\| + s \|y^* - Py^*\| \quad \forall y^* \in Y^*.$$

If

$$\|y^*\| = \|Py^*\| + \|y^* - Py^*\| \quad \forall y^* \in Y^*,$$

then X is said to be an *M -ideal* in Y (cf. [AE] or e.g. [HWW]).

Clearly, the class of ideals satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality is more general than the class of M -ideals. In fact, X is an ideal satisfying the $M(1, 1)$ -inequality in Y if and only if X is an M -ideal in Y . In this case the projection P is unique, and in the case $Y = X^{**}$, it is the canonical projection $\pi_X =$

Received February 8, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B20.

Key words and phrases. Ideals, $M(r, s)$ -inequalities, higher duals, uniqueness properties.

Supported in part by Estonian Science Foundation Grant 4400.

$j_X \cdot (j_X)^*$ (where $j_X: X \rightarrow X^{**}$ denotes the canonical embedding) from X^{***} onto X^* . According to the terminology in [CN₁] (cf. also [CNO] and [HO]), we say that X satisfies the $M(r, s)$ -inequality if

$$\|x^{***}\| \geq r \|\pi_X x^{***}\| + s \|x^{***} - \pi_X x^{***}\| \quad \forall x^{***} \in X^{***}.$$

In [CN₁] and [CNO] examples of spaces satisfying $M(r, s)$ -inequality for some $r, s \in (0, 1]$ that are not M -ideals are given, among them there are also spaces satisfying $M(1, s)$ - or $M(r, 1)$ -inequalities.

The ideal projections are closely related to the Hahn-Banach extension operators. Recall that a *Hahn-Banach extension operator* is a linear operator $\varphi: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ such that φx^* is a norm-preserving extension of x^* for all $x^* \in X^*$. In this case note that $P = \varphi i_{XY}^*$, where i_{XY} is denoting the inclusion map of X into Y , is an ideal projection on Y^* with $\ker P = X^\perp$. Also note that $i_{XY}^* \varphi = I_{X^*}$, where I_{X^*} denotes the identity map on X^* . On the other hand, if P is an ideal projection on Y with $\ker P = X^\perp$, then $\varphi: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ defined by $\varphi x^* = P y^*$, where $y^* \in Y^*$ is a norm-preserving extension of $x^* \in X^*$, is a Hahn-Banach extension operator.

In Section 2, we study transitivity of $M(r, s)$ -inequalities. It is well known (see e.g. [HWW, Proposition 1.17 (b)]) that if X and Y are closed subspaces of a Banach space Z such that X is an M -ideal in Y and Y is an M -ideal in Z , then X is an M -ideal in Z . Our main theorem (see Theorem 1) generalizes this result to $M(r, s)$ -inequalities, hereby the connection between ideal projections and Hahn-Banach extension operators is used.

If X is an M -ideal in Y , then the projection $I_{Y^*} - P$ has norm one, where P is a corresponding ideal projection on Y^* . In general, this is not true for ideals satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality (see [CN₁, Example 4.3] and [JW]). The above-mentioned property of M -ideals is used in the recent paper by Rao [R], where it is proved (see [R, Theorem 1]) that any Banach space which is an M -ideal in its bidual is an M -ideal in its duals of even order. In Section 3, as an application of our main theorem, a corresponding extended result for $M(r, s)$ -inequalities is established (see Theorem 6). It contains the Rao's result as a particular case.

Let us fix some more notation. In a Banach space X , we denote the closed unit ball by B_X . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the dual space of X of order n is denoted by $X^{(n)}$. As usual, we identify Banach space X and its canonical image $j_{X^{(2n-2)}} \dots j_X(X)$ in $X^{(2n)}$.

2. Transitivity of $M(r, s)$ -inequalities

The following is our main result.

Theorem 1. *Let X and Y be closed subspaces of a Banach space Z . Let X be an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in Y and let Y be an ideal satisfying the $M(u, v)$ -inequality in Z for some $r, s, u, v \in (0, 1]$. If $su \leq v$, then X is an ideal satisfying the $M(\frac{rv}{s(1-u)+v}, \frac{sv}{s(1-u)+v})$ -inequality in Z . If $su \geq v$, then X is an ideal satisfying the $M(\frac{rv}{s}, v)$ -inequality in Z .*

Proof. Let P and Q be corresponding ideal projections on Y^* and Z^* , respectively. Let $P = \varphi i_{XY}^*$ and $Q = \psi i_{YZ}^*$, where $\varphi: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ and $\psi: Y^* \rightarrow Z^*$ are the Hahn-Banach extension operators (cf. the Introduction). Then $\psi\varphi: X^* \rightarrow Z^*$ is also a Hahn-Banach extension operator and hence $R = \psi\varphi i_{XZ}^*$ is an ideal projection on Z^* with $\ker R = X^\perp$. We also note that $R = \psi P i_{YZ}^*$. For every $z^* \in Z^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & rv\|Rz^*\| + sv\|z^* - Rz^*\| \\ &= rv\|\psi P i_{YZ}^* z^*\| + sv\|z^* - \psi P i_{YZ}^* z^* + \psi i_{YZ}^* z^* - \psi i_{YZ}^* z^*\| \\ &\leq rv\|\psi P i_{YZ}^* z^*\| + sv\|\psi(i_{YZ}^* z^* - P i_{YZ}^* z^*)\| + sv\|z^* - \psi i_{YZ}^* z^*\| \\ &= v(r\|P i_{YZ}^* z^*\| + s\|i_{YZ}^* z^* - P i_{YZ}^* z^*\|) + sv\|z^* - Qz^*\| \\ &\leq v\|i_{YZ}^* z^*\| + sv\|z^* - Qz^*\| \\ &\leq v\|i_{YZ}^* z^*\| + s(\|z^*\| - u\|Qz^*\|) \\ &= v\|i_{YZ}^* z^*\| + s(\|z^*\| - u\|i_{YZ}^* z^*\|) \\ &= (v - su)\|i_{YZ}^* z^*\| + s\|z^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

If $su \leq v$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & rv\|Rz^*\| + sv\|z^* - Rz^*\| \\ &\leq (v - su)\|i_{YZ}^* z^*\| + s\|z^*\| \\ &\leq (s(1 - u) + v)\|z^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence X is an ideal satisfying the $M(\frac{rv}{s(1-u)+v}, \frac{sv}{s(1-u)+v})$ -inequality in Z .

If $su \geq v$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & rv\|Rz^*\| + sv\|z^* - Rz^*\| \\ &\leq (v - su)\|i_{YZ}^* z^*\| + s\|z^*\| \\ &\leq s\|z^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence X is an ideal satisfying the $M(\frac{rv}{s}, v)$ -inequality in Z . \square

One immediately obtains the following two corollaries.

Corollary 2. *If X is an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in Y and Y is an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in Z , then X is an ideal satisfying the $M(\frac{r}{2-r}, \frac{s}{2-r})$ -inequality in Z .*

Corollary 3 (see e.g. [HWW, Proposition 1.17 (b)]). *If X is an M -ideal in Y and if Y is an M -ideal in Z , then X is an M -ideal in Z .*

The next lemma leads us to a more general version of our main theorem.

Lemma 4. *Let X be an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in a Banach space Y . Let T be a linear isometry from Y onto a Banach space W . Then $T(X)$ is an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in W .*

Proof. Let P be a corresponding ideal projection on Y^* with $\ker P = X^\perp$. Let $P = \varphi i_{XY}^*$, where $\varphi: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ is the Hahn-Banach extension operator. Take $R = (T^{-1})^* \varphi S^* i_{T(X)W}^*$, where $S: X \rightarrow T(X)$ is defined by $Sx = Tx$ for every $x \in X$. Note that $(T^{-1})^* \varphi S^*: T(X)^* \rightarrow W^*$ is a

Hahn-Banach extension operator and $i_{T(X)W}^* = (S^{-1})^* i_{XY}^* T^*$. It follows that $R = (T^{-1})^* P T^*$ and for every $w^* \in W^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& r\|Rw^*\| + s\|w^* - Rw^*\| \\
&= r\|(T^{-1})^* \varphi S^* i_{T(X)W}^* w^*\| + s\|w^* - (T^{-1})^* \varphi S^* i_{T(X)W}^* w^*\| \\
&\leq r\|(T^{-1})^*\| \|\varphi S^* i_{T(X)W}^* w^*\| + s\|(T^{-1})^*\| \|T^* w^* - \varphi S^* i_{T(X)W}^* w^*\| \\
&= r\|P T^* w^*\| + s\|T^* w^* - P T^* w^*\| \\
&\leq \|T^* w^*\| \\
&\leq \|T\| \|w^*\| \\
&= \|w^*\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $T(X)$ is an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in W . \square

Remark. If $r = s = 1$ in Lemma 4 and we consider all the pertinent spaces as subspaces of a certain Banach space, then we get exactly Lemma 2.1 in [R] without the assumption $Tx = x$, for all $x \in X$. Thus this assumption is superfluous.

Combining Theorem 1 and Lemma 4 we immediately get the following result.

Theorem 5. *Let X be an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in a Banach space Y and let W be an ideal satisfying the $M(u, v)$ -inequality in a Banach space Z for some $r, s, u, v \in (0, 1]$. Assume further that there exists a linear isometry T from Y onto W . If $su \leq v$, then $T(X)$ is an ideal satisfying the $M(\frac{rv}{s(1-u)+v}, \frac{sv}{s(1-u)+v})$ -inequality in Z . If $su \geq v$, then $T(X)$ is an ideal satisfying the $M(\frac{rv}{s}, v)$ -inequality in Z .*

3. Applications

Our main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 6. *Let X be a Banach space and let $r, s \in (0, 1]$. If X is an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in its bidual, then X is an ideal satisfying the $M(\frac{r}{r+n(1-r)}, \frac{s}{r+n(1-r)})$ -inequality in its dual space $X^{(2n)}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

To prove this theorem we need the following lemmas. The first one gives us a sufficient condition for a subspace to be an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality.

Lemma 7. *Let Y be a Banach space and let X be a closed subspace of Y . Let $r, s \in (0, 1]$. If there exists a norm one projection Q on Y with $\text{ran } Q = X$ and*

$$\|rQy + s(I - Q)z\| \leq \max\{\|y\|, \|z\|\} \quad \forall y, z \in Y,$$

then X is an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in Y .

Proof. Take $P = Q^*$. Clearly, X is an ideal in Y with respect to the projection P . Let $y^* \in Y^*$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $y, z \in B_Y$ so that

$$\operatorname{Re}(Py^*)(y) \geq \|Py^*\| - \epsilon$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}((I_{Y^*} - P)y^*)(z) \geq \|(I_{Y^*} - P)y^*\| - \epsilon.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|y^*\| &\geq |y^*(rQx + s(I_Y - Q)z)| \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re} r(Py^*)(y) + \operatorname{Re} s((I_{Y^*} - P)y^*)(z) \\ &\geq r\|Py^*\| + s\|(I_{Y^*} - P)y^*\| - (r + s)\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ was arbitrary, we have

$$\|y^*\| \geq r\|Py^*\| + s\|(I_{Y^*} - P)y^*\| \quad \forall y^* \in Y^*.$$

Hence, X is an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in Y . \square

Lemma 8. *If X is an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in Y , then $X^{\perp\perp}$ is an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in Y^{**} .*

Proof. Let X be an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in Y , and let P be a corresponding ideal projection on Y^* . Let $y^{**}, z^{**} \in Y^{**}$. Then for every $y^* \in Y^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(rP^*y^{**} + s(I_{Y^{**}} - P^*)z^{**})y^*| &\leq |y^{**}(rPy^*)| + |z^{**}(s(I_{Y^*} - P)y^*)| \\ &\leq \max\{\|y^{**}\|, \|z^{**}\|\} (r\|Py^*\| + s\|(I_{Y^*} - P)y^*\|) \\ &\leq \max\{\|y^{**}\|, \|z^{**}\|\} \|y^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|rP^*y^{**} + s(I_{Y^{**}} - P^*)z^{**}\| \leq \max\{\|y^{**}\|, \|z^{**}\|\}.$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{ran} P^* = (\ker P)^{\perp} = (X^{\perp})^{\perp} = X^{\perp\perp}$. By Lemma 7, $X^{\perp\perp}$ is an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in Y^{**} . \square

Proof of Theorem 6. Let X be an ideal satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality in its bidual. The proof goes by induction. Assume that X is an ideal satisfying the $M(\frac{r}{r+n(1-r)}, \frac{s}{r+n(1-r)})$ -inequality in the dual space $X^{(2n)}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, or more precisely, that $(j_{X^{(2n-2)}} \dots j_X)(X)$ is an ideal satisfying the $M(\frac{r}{r+n(1-r)}, \frac{s}{r+n(1-r)})$ -inequality in $X^{(2n)}$. Note that this is true for $n = 1$. By Lemma 8, $((j_{X^{(2n-2)}} \dots j_X)(X))^{\perp\perp}$ is an ideal satisfying the $M(\frac{r}{r+n(1-r)}, \frac{s}{r+n(1-r)})$ -inequality in $X^{(2n+2)}$.

Using an idea of Rao (cf. [R, proof of Theorem 2.2]) it can be shown that there exists a linear isometry T from X^{**} onto $((j_{X^{(2n-2)}} \dots j_X)(X))^{\perp\perp}$ such that $T(j_X(X)) = (j_{X^{(2n)}} j_{X^{(2n-2)}} \dots j_X)(X)$. We, however, prefer the following simpler argument. Consider the linear into isometry

$$A := j_{X^{(2n-2)}} \dots j_X: X \rightarrow X^{(2n)}.$$

Then $A^{**}: X^{**} \rightarrow X^{(2n+2)}$ is also a linear into isometry. Since $\operatorname{ran} A^* = (\ker A)^{\perp}$ (because $\operatorname{ran} A$ is closed) is closed, we have $\operatorname{ran} A^{**} = (\ker A^*)^{\perp} =$

$(\text{ran } A)^{\perp\perp}$. Therefore the restriction T of A^{**} is a linear isometry from X^{**} onto $(\text{ran } A)^{\perp\perp}$ satisfying

$$T(j_X(X)) = (j_{X^{(2n)}}A)(X) = (j_{X^{(2n)}} \dots j_X)(X)$$

as desired.

By Theorem 5, $T(j_X(X)) = (j_{X^{(2n)}} \dots j_X)(X)$ is an ideal satisfying the $M(u, v)$ -inequality in $X^{(2n+2)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{r \frac{s}{r+n(1-r)}}{s(1 - \frac{r}{r+n(1-r)}) + \frac{s}{r+n(1-r)}} = \frac{r}{r+n(1-r) - r + 1} \\ &= \frac{r}{r + (n+1)(1-r)} \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$v = \frac{s}{r + (n+1)(1-r)}.$$

□

The next results are immediate from Theorem 6.

Corollary 9. *If X satisfies the $M(1, s)$ -inequality for some $s \in (0, 1]$, then X is an ideal satisfying the $M(1, s)$ -inequality in all its duals of even order.*

Corollary 10 (see [R, Theorem 2.2]). *If X is an M -ideal in its bidual, then X is an M -ideal in all its duals of even order.*

A subspace X of a Banach space Y is said to have *property SU* (the strong uniqueness property) in Y (cf. [O]) if there exists a linear projection P on the dual space Y^* with $\ker P = X^\perp$ such that for each $y^* \in Y^*$ with $y^* \neq Py^*$, one has $\|Py^*\| < \|y^*\|$. Let us remark (cf. [O]) that X has property *SU* in Y if and only if X is an ideal in Y and X has Phelps' *property U* (the uniqueness property) in Y (cf. [Ph]) meaning that every functional $x^* \in X^*$ has a unique norm-preserving extension $y^* \in Y^*$. Subspaces having property *U* or *SU* have been studied e.g. in [O], [OP₁], [OP₂].

It is clear that if a subspace X is an ideal satisfying the $M(1, s)$ -inequality in a Banach space Y for some $s \in (0, 1]$, then X has property *SU* in Y . Therefore the next result is immediate from Corollary 9.

Corollary 11. *If X satisfies the $M(1, s)$ -inequality for some $s \in (0, 1]$, then X has property *SU* in all its duals of even order.*

Remark. In [R] it is shown (see [R, Remark 2.3]) that if X is an M -ideal in its bidual then all unit vectors of X^* are points of weak*-weak continuity for the identity map on all the unit balls of duals of odd order, whereas only the fact that the unit vectors of X^* have unique norm-preserving extensions to $X^{(4)}$ is used. Hence, the same conclusion holds if X is an ideal satisfying the $M(1, s)$ -inequality in its bidual.

Acknowledgement

This article is a part of my Ph.D. thesis, written under the direction of Eve Oja at Tartu University. I am very grateful to her valuable help.

References

- [AE] E. M. Alfsen, E. G. Effros, *Structure in real Banach spaces*, Ann. Math. **68** (1972), 98–173.
- [CN₁] J. C. Cabello, E. Nieto, *On properties of M -ideals*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **28** (1998), 61–93.
- [CN₂] J. C. Cabello, E. Nieto, *An ideal characterization of when a subspace of certain Banach spaces has the metric compact approximation property*, Studia Math. **129** (1998), 185–196.
- [CNO] J. C. Cabello, E. Nieto, and E. Oja, *On ideals of compact operators satisfying the $M(r, s)$ -inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **220** (1998), 334–348.
- [GKS] G. Godefroy, N. J. Kalton, and P. D. Saphar, *Unconditional ideals in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **104** (1993), 13–59.
- [HO] R. Haller, E. Oja, *Geometric characterizations of positions of Banach spaces in their biduals*, Arch. Math. **69** (1997), 227–233.
- [HWW] P. Harmand, D. Werner, and W. Werner, *M -ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1547, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [JW] J. Johnson and J. Wolfe, *On the norm of the canonical projection of E^{***} onto E^\perp* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1979), 50–52.
- [O] E. Oja, *Strong uniqueness of the extension of linear continuous functionals according to the Hahn-Banach theorem*, Mat. Zametki **43** (1988), 237–246 (Russian); English transl. in Math. Notes **43** (1988), 134–139.
- [OP₁] E. Oja, M. Pöldvere, *On subspaces of Banach spaces where every functional has a unique norm-preserving extension*, Studia Math. **117** (1996), 289–306.
- [OP₂] E. Oja, M. Pöldvere, *Intersection properties of ball sequences and uniqueness of Hahn-Banach extensions*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh **129A** (1999), 1–12.
- [Ph] R. R. Phelps, *Uniqueness of Hahn-Banach extensions and unique best approximation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 238–255.
- [R] T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *On the geometry of higher duals of a Banach space*, Illinois J. Math. **45** (2001), 1389–1392.

INSTITUTE OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TARTU, J. LIIVI 2-619, 50409 TARTU, ESTONIA

E-mail address: rainis@math.ut.ee