

## Reparameterization of second-order interaction effects through the covariance matrix

TATJANA NAHTMAN

**ABSTRACT.** It is demonstrated that the reparameterization of second-order interaction terms in ANOVA can be achieved by means of constraints on the covariance matrix. In particular, classical reparameterization conditions for fixed factors can be formulated in this way. The approach stresses the common nature of fixed and random factors in mixed linear analysis.

### 1. Introduction

Mixed linear statistical models contain both fixed and random factors. During the history of the Analysis of Variance, various mixed model formulations have been proposed and the discussion around this topic is still continuing (Scheffé 1959 [7], Searle 1971 [5], Harville 1978 [1], Seely and El-Houssainy 1988 [6], Khuri et al. 1998 [3]). In models of this type fixed and random factors are clearly distinguishable and the interactions between fixed and random factors are considered as independent random effects. Fixed factors are treated as constants while levels of random factors are represented as random variables, possibly with a restricted covariance structure.

In the present paper we consider an approach to imposing constraints on the second-order interaction effects through the covariance matrix of sampled levels. In this context, the goal is to find out conditions under which the covariance matrix would provide a reparameterization of the corresponding factor. This approach is advantageous, for instance, from the point of view of simulation studies where levels of fixed factors must be generated randomly so that classical reparameterization conditions hold.

---

Received October 16, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 62F99.

*Key words and phrases.* ANOVA, interaction, covariance matrix, reparameterization.

Supported in part by target-financed Grant TMTMS1776 and Estonian Science Foundation Grant 4366.

## 2. Results

Let  $\xi$  be an  $m \times 1$  vector of sampled levels of a factor  $\alpha$ ,  $\eta$  be an  $n \times 1$  vector of sampled levels of a factor  $\beta$ . Let  $\gamma$  be an  $mn \times 1$  vector of sampled levels of the factor that represents second-order interaction effects of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  and let  $\Sigma_\gamma$  be the covariance matrix of  $\gamma$ . Introduce a permutation matrix  $P_\xi$  that interchanges levels of factor  $\xi$ . A matrix  $P_\eta$  is defined analogously. The permutation matrix  $P_\gamma = P_\xi \otimes P_\eta$  interchanges levels of  $\gamma$  with respect to the changes in  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ .

**Definition 1.** The covariance matrix  $\Sigma_\gamma$  is called invariant with respect to the permutation  $P_\gamma$  of the factor  $\gamma$  (further simply  $P_\gamma$ -invariant) if

$$\Sigma_\gamma = D(\gamma) = D(P_\gamma \gamma).$$

Obviously, the  $P_\gamma$ -invariance can be considered as a property of the covariance matrix  $\Sigma_\gamma$ , i.e.,  $\Sigma_\gamma$  is  $P_\gamma$ -invariant if and only if  $P_\gamma \Sigma_\gamma P_\gamma' = \Sigma_\gamma$ .

The invariance has strong implications for the covariance matrix.

**Lemma 1.** *If the matrix  $\Sigma_\gamma$  is invariant with respect to all permutations  $P_\gamma$ , then it has the following structure:*

$$\Sigma_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & \Sigma_2 & \dots & \Sigma_2 \\ \Sigma_2 & \Sigma & \dots & \Sigma_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Sigma_2 & \Sigma_2 & \dots & \Sigma \end{pmatrix} = I_m \otimes \Sigma_1 + J_m \otimes \Sigma_2, \quad (1)$$

where  $I_m$  is the identity matrix of order  $m$  and  $J_m = \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{1}'$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  is an  $m \times 1$  vector of ones. The matrices  $\Sigma$ ,  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  are here defined as

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \tau & \tau_1 & \dots & \tau_1 \\ \tau_1 & \tau & \dots & \tau_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tau_1 & \tau_1 & \dots & \tau \end{pmatrix} = (\tau - \tau_1)I_n + \tau_1 J_n, \quad (2)$$

$$\Sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 & \tau_3 & \dots & \tau_3 \\ \tau_3 & \tau_2 & \dots & \tau_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tau_3 & \tau_3 & \dots & \tau_2 \end{pmatrix} = (\tau_2 - \tau_3)I_n + \tau_3 J_n, \quad (3)$$

$$\Sigma_1 = \Sigma - \Sigma_2 = (\tau - \tau_1 - \tau_2 + \tau_3)I_n + (\tau_1 - \tau_3)J_n \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \text{Cov}(\gamma_{ij}, \gamma_{ij}), \\ \tau_1 &= \text{Cov}(\gamma_{ij}, \gamma_{ij'}), \quad j \neq j', \\ \tau_2 &= \text{Cov}(\gamma_{ij}, \gamma_{i'j}), \quad i \neq i', \\ \tau_3 &= \text{Cov}(\gamma_{ij}, \gamma_{i'j'}), \quad i \neq i', j \neq j', \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows by applying  $P_\gamma$  to  $\gamma$ . This corresponds to interchanging some elements of  $\gamma$ , what implies permutations of certain elements in  $\Sigma_\gamma$ . As a result of the rearrangement, the structure of  $\Sigma_\gamma$  must not be changed.  $\square$

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

**Lemma 2.** *If the matrix  $\Sigma_\gamma$  has the structure (1), then its spectrum of eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors is the following:*

eigenvalue	multiplicity	structure of eigenvectors
$\lambda_1 = \tau - \tau_1 - \tau_2 + \tau_3$	$(m-1)(n-1)$	$w_1 = u \otimes v$
$\lambda_2 = \tau - \tau_2 + (n-1)(\tau_1 - \tau_3)$	$(m-1)$	$w_2 = u \otimes 1_n$
$\lambda_3 = \tau - \tau_1 + (m-1)(\tau_2 - \tau_3)$	$(n-1)$	$w_3 = 1_m \otimes v$
$\lambda_4 = \tau + (n-1)(\tau_1 - \tau_3) + (m-1)(\tau_2 - \tau_3) + (mn-1)\tau_3$	1	$w_4 = 1_m \otimes 1_n$

where  $u$  and  $v$  are vectors satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^m u_i = 0$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n v_j = 0$ .

Next we show that the classical reparameterization conditions imply a specific form for the  $P_\gamma$ -invariant covariance matrix  $\Sigma_\gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.** *If the covariance matrix  $\Sigma_\gamma$  of  $\gamma$  is  $P_\gamma$ -invariant, then the reparameterization of  $\gamma$  leads to the following structure of  $\Sigma_\gamma$ :*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(a) } \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{ij} = 0, \forall j &\Rightarrow \Sigma_\gamma = \frac{m}{m-1}(I_m - \frac{1}{m}J_m) \otimes ((\tau - \tau_1)I_n + \tau_1 J_n), \\
 \text{(b) } \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_{ij} = 0, \forall i &\Rightarrow \Sigma_\gamma = \frac{n}{n-1}((\tau - \tau_2)I_m + \tau_2 J_m) \otimes (I_n - \frac{1}{n}J_n), \\
 \text{(c) } \sum \gamma_{ij} = 0, \forall i \text{ and } \forall j &\Rightarrow \Sigma_\gamma = \frac{mn}{(m-1)(n-1)}\tau(I_m - \frac{1}{m}J_m) \otimes (I_n - \frac{1}{n}J_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* First, using the condition  $\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{ij} = 0$  for all  $j$ , we have

$$D\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{ij}\right) = m\tau + m(m-1)\tau_2 = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 D\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_{ij}\right) &= mn\tau + mn(n-1)\tau_1 \\
 &\quad + m(m-1)n\tau_2 + m(m-1)n(n-1)\tau_3 = 0. \quad (6)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\tau_2 = -\frac{\tau}{m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_3 = -\frac{\tau_1}{m-1}. \quad (7)$$

Substituting these expressions for  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_3$  into (1)–(4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_\gamma &= I_m \otimes \left( (\tau - \tau_1 + \frac{\tau}{m-1} - \frac{\tau_1}{m-1}) I_n + (\tau_1 + \frac{\tau_1}{m-1}) J_n \right) \\ &\quad + J_m \otimes \left( -\frac{\tau}{m-1} + \frac{\tau_1}{m-1} \right) I_n - \frac{\tau_1}{m-1} J_n \\ &= \frac{m}{m-1} (\tau - \tau_1) (I_m - \frac{1}{m} J_m) \otimes I_n + \frac{m}{m-1} \tau_1 (I_m - \frac{1}{m} J_m) \otimes J_n \\ &= \frac{m}{m-1} (I_m - \frac{1}{m} J_m) \otimes ((\tau - \tau_1) I_n + \tau_1 J_n). \end{aligned}$$

Next, because of  $\sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i$ ,

$$D\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_{ij}\right) = n\tau + n(n-1)\tau_1 = 0.$$

Therefore, using this result and (6), we get,

$$\tau_1 = -\frac{\tau}{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_3 = -\frac{\tau_2}{n-1}. \quad (8)$$

In this case the matrix  $\Sigma_\gamma$  is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_\gamma &= I_m \otimes \left( (\tau + \frac{\tau}{n-1} - \tau_2 - \frac{\tau_2}{n-1}) I_n + (-\frac{\tau}{n-1} + \frac{\tau_2}{n-1}) J_n \right) \\ &\quad + J_m \otimes \left( (\tau_2 + \frac{\tau_2}{n-1}) I_n - \frac{\tau_2}{n-1} J_n \right) \\ &= \frac{n}{n-1} (\tau - \tau_2) I_m \otimes (I_n - \frac{1}{n} J_n) + \frac{n}{n-1} \tau_2 J_m \otimes (I_n - \frac{1}{n} J_n) \\ &= \frac{n}{n-1} ((\tau - \tau_2) I_m + \tau_2 J_m) \otimes (I_n - \frac{1}{n} J_n). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, applying the conditions  $\sum \gamma_{ij} = 0$ , for all  $i$  and  $j$ , and (6), the parameters  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_3$  are expressed as

$$\tau_1 = -\frac{\tau}{n-1}, \quad \tau_2 = -\frac{\tau}{m-1}, \quad \tau_3 = \frac{\tau}{(m-1)(n-1)}. \quad (9)$$

Substituting expressions (9) for the parameters  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ,  $\tau_3$  into (1)–(4), the covariance matrix  $\Sigma_\gamma$  becomes

$$\Sigma_\gamma = \frac{mn}{(m-1)(n-1)} \tau (I_m - \frac{1}{m} J_m) \otimes (I_n - \frac{1}{n} J_n).$$

□

As a consequence of Lemma 3, if the factor  $\gamma$  of second-order interaction effects is reparameterized according to the conditions in (a), (b) or (c), then its covariance matrix is singular.

In case when only one factor  $\xi$  is considered, the singularity of the  $P_\xi$ -invariant covariance matrix of this factor would be a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\xi$  to be reparameterized (see [4]). The situation with the second-order interaction effects is more complicated. The singularity of the

(7)  $P_\gamma$ -invariant covariance matrix of  $\gamma$  that represents the second-order interaction effects does not, in general, imply the classical reparameterization of  $\gamma$ . The next result provides conditions under which eigenvalues of the  $P_\gamma$ -invariant covariance matrix lead to the classical reparameterization for the vector of second-order interaction effects.

**Theorem.** Let  $\gamma = (\gamma_{11}, \dots, \gamma_{mn})'$  represent the interaction effects of factors  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . Assume  $\gamma_{ij} \neq \gamma_{kj}$  for all  $j$  and  $\gamma_{ij} \neq \gamma_{is}$  for all  $i$  a.s. Let  $E(\gamma) = 0$  and let  $\Sigma_\gamma$  be  $P_\gamma$ -invariant. Let  $\lambda_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, 4$ ) be an eigenvalue of  $\Sigma_\gamma$  as defined by Lemma 2. Then the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $\sum_i \gamma_{ij} = 0, \forall j \Leftrightarrow \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0,$
- (ii)  $\sum_j \gamma_{ij} = 0, \forall i \Leftrightarrow \lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = 0,$
- (iii)  $\sum_i \gamma_{ij} = 0, \forall j, \text{ and } \sum_j \gamma_{ij} = 0, \forall i \Leftrightarrow \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0.$

*Proof.* We first show that condition (i) holds. Since  $\sum_i \gamma_{ij} = 0$  for all  $j$ ,

(8) 
$$\tau_2 = -\frac{\tau}{m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_3 = -\frac{\tau_1}{m-1}$$

(see the proof of Lemma 3, (7)). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_3 &= \tau - \tau_1 + (m-1)(\tau_2 - \tau_3) \\ &= \tau - \tau_1 + (m-1)\left(-\frac{\tau}{m-1} + \frac{\tau_1}{m-1}\right) = 0, \\ \lambda_4 &= \tau + (n-1)(\tau_1 - \tau_3) + (m-1)(\tau_2 - \tau_3) + (mn-1)\tau_3 \\ &= \tau + (n-1)\left(\tau_1 + \frac{\tau_1}{m-1}\right) - (\tau - \tau_1) - (mn-1)\frac{\tau_1}{m-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In case  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0$ , the set of  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors that correspond to zero eigenvalues can be given by columns of the matrix  $U = \mathbf{1}_m \otimes I_n$ . Since  $E(\gamma) = 0$ ,

$$E(U'\gamma) = 0, \quad D(U'\gamma) = U'D(\gamma)U = 0.$$

Thus,  $U'\gamma = 0$  a.s. what implies the classical reparameterization of the factor  $\gamma$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{ij} = 0, \quad \forall j.$$

Next we prove condition (ii). Suppose  $\sum_j \gamma_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i$ . Then, from the proof of Lemma 3, we find

$$\tau_1 = -\frac{\tau}{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_3 = -\frac{\tau_2}{n-1}.$$

According to Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_2 &= \tau - \tau_2 + (n-1)(\tau_1 - \tau_3) = \tau - \tau_2 + (n-1)\left(-\frac{\tau}{n-1} + \frac{\tau_2}{n-1}\right) = 0. \\ \lambda_4 &= \tau + (n-1)(\tau_1 - \tau_3) + (m-1)(\tau_2 - \tau_3) + (mn-1)\tau_3 \\ &= \tau - (\tau - \tau_2) + (m-1)\left(\tau_2 + \frac{\tau_2}{n-1}\right) - (mn-1)\frac{\tau_2}{n-1} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

If  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = 0$ , then according to the specific form of  $\Sigma_\gamma$  (see Lemma 3), the set of  $m$  linearly independent eigenvectors that correspond to zero eigenvalues can be given by columns of the matrix  $V = I_m \otimes \mathbf{1}_n$ . Since  $E(\gamma) = 0$ ,

$$E(V'\gamma) = 0, \quad D(V'\gamma) = V'D(\gamma)V = 0.$$

Thus,  $V'\gamma = 0$  a.s. what implies the reparameterization of  $\gamma$  with

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_{ij} = 0, \quad \forall i.$$

The proof of (iii) goes similarly. Conditions  $\sum_j \gamma_{ij} = 0$ , for all  $i$ , and  $\sum_i \gamma_{ij} = 0$ , for all  $j$ , lead to

$$\tau_1 = -\frac{\tau}{n-1}, \quad \tau_2 = -\frac{\tau}{m-1}, \quad \tau_3 = \frac{\tau}{(m-1)(n-1)}$$

(see the proof of Lemma 3). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_2 &= \tau - \tau_2 + (n-1)(\tau_1 - \tau_3) \\ &= \tau + \frac{\tau}{m-1} + (n-1)\left(-\frac{\tau}{n-1} - \frac{\tau}{(m-1)(n-1)}\right) = 0, \\ \lambda_3 &= \tau - \tau_1 + (m-1)(\tau_2 - \tau_3) \\ &= \tau + \frac{\tau}{n-1} + (m-1)\left(-\frac{\tau}{m-1} - \frac{\tau}{(m-1)(n-1)}\right) = 0, \\ \lambda_4 &= \tau + (n-1)(\tau_1 - \tau_3) + (m-1)(\tau_2 - \tau_3) + (mn-1)\tau_3 \\ &= \tau - (\tau - \tau_2) - (\tau - \tau_1) + (mn-1)\tau_3 = -\tau + \tau_1 + \tau_2 + (mn-1)\tau_3 \\ &= -\tau - \frac{\tau}{n-1} - \frac{\tau}{m-1} + (mn-1)\frac{\tau}{(m-1)(n-1)} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Results from the proofs of conditions (i) and (ii) in case  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0$  lead to the reparameterization  $\sum_j \gamma_{ij} = 0$ , for all  $i$ , and  $\sum_i \gamma_{ij} = 0$ , for all  $j$ , for the factor  $\gamma$ .  $\square$

### 3. Discussion

Advanced linear statistical analysis offers extremely wide possibilities for choosing underlying models and types of analysis. However, the increasing complexity and possibility to choose models make it necessary to even more precisely tune the model and hypotheses under testing. In the most difficult cases, the appropriateness of the whole statistical analysis must be tested

by statistical modelling. This activity needs generation of various factor levels and their interactions with specific probability distributions including various reparameterizations. Hence it arises a motivation for searching new ways of characterizations of factors in mixed linear models. In the present paper we have proposed an approach that enables to consider reparameterization of second-order interaction effects in a common framework in mixed linear models. We have demonstrated that reparameterization of the factor under invariance is equivalent to imposing constraints on the covariance matrix of this factor.

**Acknowledgements.** This article is a part of my PhD thesis, written under the direction of Tõnu Möls at Tartu University. I am thankful to Tõnu Möls and Dietrich von Rosen for their helpful remarks and suggestions.

### References

- [1] D. A. Harville, *Alternative formulations and procedures for the two-way mixed model*, *Biometrics*, **34** (1978), 441–453.
- [2] D. A. Harville, *Matrix Algebra from a Statistician's Perspective*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [3] A. Khuri, T. Mathew and B. K. Sinha, *Statistical Tests for Mixed Linear Models*, Wiley, New York, 1998.
- [4] T. Nahtman and T. Möls, *Characterization of fixed effects as special cases of generalized random factors*, *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* (2002) (to appear).
- [5] S. R. Searle, *Linear Models*, Wiley, New York, 1971.
- [6] J. F. Seely and R. El-Houssainy, *When can random effects be treated as fixed effects for computing a test statistic for a linear hypothesis?*, *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods* **17** (1988), 1089–1109.
- [7] H. Scheffé, *The Analysis of Variance*, Wiley, New York, 1959.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF TARTU, J. LIIVI 2, 50409 TARTU, ESTONIA

*E-mail address:* tnahtman@ut.ee