Statistically pre-Cauchy sequences and bounded moduli

MEHMET GÜRDAL

ABSTRACT. Let $x = (x_k)$ be a sequence and let f be a bounded modulus. We prove that x is statistically pre-Cauchy if and only if

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k \le n} f(|x_k - x_j|) = 0.$$

This implies a theorem due to Connor, Fridy and Kline [4].

Introduction

The concept of statistical convergence was first defined by Steinhaus [11] at a conference held at Wroclaw University, Poland, in 1949 and also independently by Fast [5], Buck [1] and Schoenberg [10] for real and complex sequences. Šalát [9] used the idea of bounded statistical convergence to construct the sequence space which is a nowhere dense subset of the linear normed space l_{∞} of all bounded sequences of real numbers. Maddox [7] gave some basic properties of statistical convergence of a sequence $x = (x_k)$ in a locally convex Hausdorff topological linear space. Fridy [6] obtained the statistical analogue of Cauchy criterion of convergence for real sequences.

Statistical convergence is a generalization of the usual notion of convergence that parallels the usual theory of convergence. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is called statistically convergent to L if

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon, \quad k \le n \right\} \right| = 0,$$

and statistically pre-Cauchy if

ience,

Acta

ones

ого

ver-

OTO

ver-

tatis

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n^2} |\{(j,k) : |x_k - x_j| \ge \varepsilon, \quad j,k \le n\}| = 0$$

Received October 29, 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 40A05.

Key words and phrases. Statistically convergent sequence, statistically pre-Cauchy sequence, modulus function.

for every $\varepsilon>0$. Connor, Fridy and Kline [4] proved that statistically convergent sequences are statistically pre-Cauchy and any bounded statistically pre-Cauchy sequence with a nowhere dense set of limit points is statistically convergent. They also gave an example showing statistically pre-Cauchy sequences are not necessarily statistically convergent.

The notion of a modulus function was introduced by Nakano [8]. We recall that a modulus f is a function from $[0,\infty)$ to $[0,\infty)$ such that (i) f(x)=0 if and only if x=0, (ii) $f(x+y) \leq f(x)+f(y)$ for $x,y\geq 0$, (iii) f is increasing, (iv) f is continuous from the right at 0. Because of (ii), $|f(x)-f(y)|\leq f(x-y)$ so that in view of (iv), f is continuous on $[0,\infty)$. A modulus may be bounded or unbounded. For example, $f(x)=x^p$ $(0< p\leq 1)$ is unbounded and $f(x)=\frac{x}{1+x}$ is bounded.

Results

In [4] Connor, Fridy and Kline proved that a bounded sequence $x = (x_k)$ is statistically pre-Cauchy if and only if

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k \le n} |x_k - x_j| = 0.$$

We establish the following criterion for arbitrary sequences to be statistically pre-Cauchy.

Theorem 1. Let $x = (x_k)$ be a sequence and let f be a bounded modulus. Then x is statistically pre-Cauchy if and only if

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k \le n} f(|x_k - x_j|) = 0.$$

Proof. First suppose that

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k \le n} f(|x_k - x_j|) = 0.$$

Observe that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k \le n} f(|x_k - x_j|) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{\substack{j,k \le n \\ |x_k - x_j| < \varepsilon}} f(|x_k - x_j|) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{\substack{j,k \le n \\ |x_k - x_j| \ge \varepsilon}} f(|x_k - x_j|)$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{\substack{j,k \le n \\ |x_k - x_j| \ge \varepsilon}} f(|x_k - x_j|)$$

$$\ge f(\varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{n^2} |\{(j,k) : |x_k - x_j| \ge \varepsilon, \quad j,k \le n\}|\right) \ge 0$$

cally conatistically stistically c-Cauchy

We recall (x) = 0 if increasf $(y) \le 1$ is may be abounded

$$x = (x_k)$$

tistically

modulus.

$$(x-x_j|)$$

$$\left| \cdot \right| \geq 0$$

and thus x is statistically pre-Cauchy.

Now suppose that x is statistically pre-Cauchy, and that $\varepsilon > 0$ has been given. Let $\delta > 0$ such that $f(\delta) < \varepsilon/2$. Since f is bounded, there exists an integer B such that f(x) < B for all $x \ge 0$. Note that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j,k \leq n} f(|x_{k} - x_{j}|) = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\substack{j,k \leq n \\ |x_{k} - x_{j}| < \delta}} f(|x_{k} - x_{j}|) + \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\substack{j,k \leq n \\ |x_{k} - x_{j}| \geq \delta}} f(|x_{k} - x_{j}|)$$

$$\leq f(\delta) + \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\substack{j,k \leq n \\ |x_{k} - x_{j}| \geq \delta}} f(|x_{k} - x_{j}|)$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + B\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}} |\{(j,k) : |x_{k} - x_{j}| \geq \delta, j, k \leq n\}|\right). (1)$$

Since x is statistically pre-Cauchy, there is an N such that the right-hand side of (1) is less than ε for all n > N. Hence,

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k \le n} f(|x_k - x_j|) = 0.$$

A similar argument yields the following result.

Theorem 2. Let $x = (x_k)$ be a sequence and let f be a bounded modulus. Then x is statistically convergent to L if and only if

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(|x_k - L|) = 0.$$

Proof. If

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(|x_k - L|) = 0$$

with an arbitrary modulus f, then x is statistically convergent to L by Theorem 8 of [3]. Now suppose that x is statistically convergent to L. We may prove analogously to Theorem 1 that

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(|x_k - L|) = 0,$$

using that f is a bounded modulus.

Corollary 3 (Connor et al, see [4, Theorem 3]). Let $x = (x_k)$ be a bounded sequence. Then x is statistically pre-Cauchy if and only if

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k \le n} |x_k - x_j| = 0.$$

Proof. Let $B = \sup_k |x_k|$ and define

$$f\left(x\right) = \frac{\left(1 + 2B\right)x}{1 + x}.$$

Then

$$f(|x_k - x_j|) \le (1 + 2B)|x_k - x_j|$$

and

$$f(|x_k - x_j|) = (1 + 2B) \frac{|x_k - x_j|}{1 + |x_k - x_j|}$$

$$\geq (1 + 2B) \frac{|x_k - x_j|}{1 + |x_k| + |x_j|}$$

$$\geq (1 + 2B) \frac{|x_k - x_j|}{1 + 2B} = |x_k - x_j|.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k \le n} |x_k - x_j| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j,k \le n} f(|x_k - x_j|) = 0,$$

and an immediate application of Theorem 1 completes the proof.

Corollary 4 (Connor, see [2, Theorem 2.1]). Let $x = (x_k)$ be a bounded sequence. Then x is statistically convergent to L if and only if

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_k - L| = 0.$$

Proof. Let $B = \sup_{k} |x_k|$ and define

$$f(x) = \frac{\left(1 + B + L\right)x}{1 + x}.$$

A similar argument as in the proof of Corollary 3 enables us to apply Theorem 2. $\hfill\Box$

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to the referees for their suggestions, which have greatly improved the readability of the paper.

a bounded

References

- [1] R. C. Buck, Generalized asymptotic density, Amer. J. Math. 75 (1953), 335-346.
- [2] J. Connor, The statistical and strong p-Cesaro convergence of sequences, Analysis 8 (1994), 47-63.
- [3] J. Connor, On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence, Canad. Math. Bull. 32 (1989), 194-198.
- [4] J. Connor, J. Fridy, and J. Kline, Statistically pre-Cauchy sequences, Analysis 14 (1994), 311-317.
- [5] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951), 241-244.
- [6] J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, Analysis 5 (1985), 301-313.
- [7] I. J. Maddox, Statistical convergence in locally convex space, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 104 (1988), 141-145.
- [8] H. Nakano, Concave modulars, J. Math. Soc. Japan. 5 (1953), 29-49.
- [9] T. Šalát, On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, Math. Slovaca 30 (1980), 139-150.
- [10] I. J. Schoenberg, The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, Amer. Math. Monthly 66 (1959), 361-375.
- [11] H. Steinhaus, Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951), 73-74.

SULEYMAN DEMIREL UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 32260, ISPARTA, TURKEY E-mail address: gurdal@fef.sdu.edu.tr

a bounded

to apply

hich have