

On a topological simple Warne extension of a semigroup

IRYNA FIHEL, OLEG GUTIK, AND KATERYNA PAVLYK

ABSTRACT. In the paper we introduce topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck–Reilly and topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck extensions of (semi)topological monoids, which are generalizations of topological Bruck–Reilly and topological Bruck extensions of (semi)topological monoids, and study their topologizations. The sufficient conditions under which the topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck–Reilly (\mathbb{Z} -Bruck) extension admits only the direct sum topology and conditions under which the direct sum topology can be coarsened are given. Also, topological characterizations for some classes of I -bisimple (semi)topological semigroups are given.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper all topological spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. We shall follow the terminology of [12, 13, 18, 38]. If Y is a subspace of a topological space X and $A \subseteq Y$, then by $\text{cl}_Y(A)$ we shall denote the topological closure of A in Y . By \mathbb{N} we denote the set of positive integers. Also, for a map $\theta: X \rightarrow Y$ and a positive integer n we denote by $\theta^{-1}(A)$ and $\theta^n(B)$ the full preimage of a set $A \subseteq Y$ and the n -power image of a set $B \subseteq X$, respectively, i.e., $\theta^{-1}(A) = \{x \in X: \theta(x) \in A\}$ and $\theta^n(B) = \{(\underbrace{\theta \circ \dots \circ \theta}_{n \text{ times}})(x): x \in B\}$.

A semigroup S is *regular* if $x \in xSx$ for every $x \in S$. A semigroup S is called *inverse* if for any element $x \in S$ there exists a unique $x^{-1} \in S$ such that $xx^{-1}x = x$ and $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$. The element x^{-1} is called the *inverse of $x \in S$* . If S is an inverse semigroup, then the function $\text{inv}: S \rightarrow S$ which assigns to every element x of S its inverse element x^{-1} is called the *inversion*. An inverse semigroup S is said to be *Clifford* if $x \cdot x^{-1} = x^{-1} \cdot x$ for all $x \in S$.

Received May 4, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 22A15, 54H15.

Key words and phrases. Topological semigroup, semitopological semigroup, topological inverse semigroup, bisimple semigroup.

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of idempotents in S by $E(S)$. If S is an inverse semigroup, then $E(S)$ is closed under multiplication and we shall refer to $E(S)$ as a *band* (or the *band of S*). If the band $E(S)$ is a non-empty subset of S , then the semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order \leq on $E(S)$: $e \leq f$ if and only if $ef = fe = e$. This order is called the *natural partial order* on $E(S)$. A *semilattice* is a commutative semigroup of idempotents. A semilattice E is called *linearly ordered* or a *chain* if its natural order is a linear order. If E is a semilattice and $e \in E$, then we denote $\downarrow e = \{f \in E \mid f \leq e\}$ and $\uparrow e = \{f \in E \mid e \leq f\}$.

If S is a semigroup, then by \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{J} , \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{H} we shall denote the Green relations on S (see [13, Section 2.1]). A semigroup S is called *simple* if S does not contain any proper two-sided ideals and *bisimple* if S has only one \mathcal{D} -class.

A *semitopological* (respectively, *topological*) *semigroup* is a Hausdorff topological space together with a separately (respectively, jointly) continuous semigroup operation [12, 38]. An inverse topological semigroup with continuous inversion is called a *topological inverse semigroup*. A topology τ on a (inverse) semigroup S which turns S into a topological (inverse) semigroup is called a *semigroup (inverse) topology* on S . A *semitopological group* is a Hausdorff topological space together with a separately continuous group operation [38], and a *topological group* is a Hausdorff topological space together with a jointly continuous group operation and inversion [12].

The bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by elements p and q subjected only to the condition $pq = 1$. The bicyclic semigroup is bisimple and each of its congruences is either trivial or a group congruence. Moreover, for every non-annihilating homomorphism h of the bicyclic semigroup either h is an isomorphism or the image of $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ under h is a cyclic group (see [13, Corollary 1.32]). The bicyclic semigroup plays an important role in algebraic theory of semigroups and in the theory of topological semigroups. For example, the well-known Andersen's result [6] states that a (0-)simple semigroup is completely (0-)simple if and only if it does not contain the bicyclic semigroup. The bicyclic semigroup admits only the discrete semigroup topology, and a topological semigroup S can contain the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ as a dense subsemigroup only as an open subset [16]. Bertman and West in [10] proved that the bicyclic semigroup as a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup also admits only the discrete topology. The problem of the embedding of the bicycle semigroup into compact-like topological semigroups was solved in the papers [7, 8, 9, 26, 27], and the closure of the bicyclic semigroup in topological semigroups was studied in [16].

The properties of the bicyclic semigroup were extended to the following two directions: bicyclic-like semigroups which are bisimple and bicyclic-like extensions of semigroups. In the first case such are inverse bisimple semigroups with well-ordered subset of idempotents: ω^n -bisimple semigroups

[28], ω^α -bisimple semigroups [29] and an α -bicyclic semigroup, and bisimple inverse semigroups with linearly ordered subsets of idempotents which are isomorphic to either $[0, \infty)$ or $(-\infty, \infty)$ as subsets of the real line: $B_{[0, \infty)}^1$, $B_{[0, \infty)}^2$, $B_{(-\infty, \infty)}^1$ and $B_{(-\infty, \infty)}^2$. Ahre [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] and Korkmaz [33, 34] studied Hausdorff semigroup topologizations of the semigroups $B_{[0, \infty)}^1$, $B_{[0, \infty)}^2$, $B_{(-\infty, \infty)}^1$, $B_{(-\infty, \infty)}^2$ and their closures in topological semigroups. Annie Selden [42] and Hogan [30] proved that the only locally compact Hausdorff topology which turns an α -bicyclic semigroup into a topological semigroup is the discrete topology. In [31] Hogan studied Hausdorff inverse semigroup topologies on an α -bicyclic semigroup. There he constructed a non-discrete Hausdorff inverse semigroup topology on an α -bicyclic semigroup.

Let \mathbb{Z} be the additive group of integers. On the Cartesian product $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ we define the semigroup operation as follows:

$$(a, b) \cdot (c, d) = \begin{cases} (a - b + c, d), & \text{if } b < c, \\ (a, d), & \text{if } b = c, \\ (a, d - c + b), & \text{if } b > c, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. The set $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ equipped with this operation is called the *extended bicyclic semigroup* [44]. It is obvious that the extended bicyclic semigroup is an extension of the bicyclic semigroup. The extended bicyclic semigroup admits only the discrete topology as a semitopological semigroup [19]. Also the problem of the closure of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in a topological semigroup was studied in [19].

The concept of Bruck–Reilly extensions originates from the Bruck paper [11], where he constructed an embedding of semigroups into simple monoids. Reilly in [37] generalized the Bruck construction to what is nowadays called the Bruck–Reilly construction and, using it, described the structure of ω -bisimple semigroups. Annie Selden in [39, 40, 41] described the structure of locally compact topological inverse ω -bisimple semigroups and their closures in topological semigroups.

The disquisition of topological Bruck–Reilly extensions of topological and semitopological semigroups was started in the papers [22, 24] and continued in [35, 25]. Using the ideas of the paper [22] Gutik in [23] constructed an embedding of an arbitrary topological (inverse) semigroup into a simple path-connected topological (inverse) monoid.

Let G be a linearly ordered group and let S be any semigroup. Let $\alpha: G^+ \rightarrow \text{End}(S^1)$ be a homomorphism from the positive cone G^+ into the semigroup of all endomorphisms of S^1 . By $\mathcal{B}(S, G, \alpha)$ we denote the set $G \times S^1 \times G$ with the following binary operation

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1, s_1, h_1) \cdot (g_2, s_2, h_2) &= \\ &= (g_1(h_1 \wedge g_2)^{-1} g_2, \alpha[e \vee h_1^{-1} g_2](s_1) \cdot \alpha[e \vee g_2^{-1} h_1](s_2), h_2(h_1 \wedge g_2)^{-1} h_1). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

This binary operation is associative and the set $\mathcal{B}(S, G^+, \alpha) = G^+ \times S^1 \times G^+$ with the semigroup operation induced from $\mathcal{B}(S, G, \alpha)$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{B}(S, G, \alpha)$ [20].

Now we let $G = \mathbb{Z}$ be the additive group of integers with the usual order \leq and let S be any semigroup. Let $\alpha: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \text{End}(S^1)$ be a homomorphism from the positive cone \mathbb{Z}^+ into the semigroup of all endomorphisms of S^1 . Then formula (2) determines the following semigroup operation on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \alpha)$:

$$(i, s, j) \cdot (m, t, n) = (i+m-\min\{j, m\}, \alpha[m-\min\{j, m\}](s) \cdot \alpha[j-\min\{j, m\}](t), j+n-\min\{j, m\}),$$

where $s, t \in S^1$ and $i, j, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\theta: S^1 \rightarrow H(1_S)$ be a homomorphism from the monoid S^1 into the group of units $H(1_S)$ of S^1 . Then we put $\alpha[n](s) = \theta^n(s)$ for a positive integer n and let $\theta^0: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be the identity map of S^1 . The semigroup $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \alpha)$ with such a homomorphism α will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ or, when the homomorphism $\theta: S^1 \rightarrow H(1_S)$ is defined by the formula

$$\theta^n(s) = \begin{cases} 1_S, & \text{if } n > 0, \\ s, & \text{if } n = 0, \end{cases}$$

simply by $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z})$. We observe that the semigroup operation on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ is defined by the formula

$$(i, s, j) \cdot (m, t, n) = \begin{cases} (i-j+m, \theta^{m-j}(s) \cdot t, n), & \text{if } j < m, \\ (i, s \cdot t, n), & \text{if } j = m, \\ (i, s \cdot \theta^{j-m}(t), n-m+j), & \text{if } j > m, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

for $i, j, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s, t \in S^1$. We shall call the semigroup $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ the \mathbb{Z} -Bruck–Reilly extension of the semigroup S and $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ the \mathbb{Z} -Bruck extension of the semigroup S , respectively. We also observe that if S is a trivial semigroup, then the semigroups $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ and $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphic to the extended bicyclic semigroup (see [44]).

Proposition 1.1. *Let S^1 be a monoid and $\theta: S^1 \rightarrow H(1_S)$ be a homomorphism from S^1 into the group of units $H(1_S)$ of S^1 . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ and $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ are simple semigroups;
- (ii) $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ ($\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z})$) is an inverse semigroup if and only if S^1 is an inverse semigroup;
- (iii) $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ ($\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z})$) is a regular semigroup if and only if S^1 is a regular semigroup.

The proofs of the statements of Proposition 1.1 are similar to corresponding theorems of [13, Section 8.5] and [32, Theorem 5.6.6].

Also, we remark that the descriptions of Green's relations on the semigroups $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ and $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ are similar to those on the Bruck–Reilly and

Bruck extensions of S^1 (see [13, Lemma 8.46] and [32, Theorem 5.6.6(2)]). Hence the semigroup $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z})$) is bisimple if and only if S^1 is bisimple.

Remark 1.2. Formula (3) implies that if $(i, s, j) \cdot (m, t, n) = (k, d, l)$ in the semigroup $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$, then $k - l = i - j + m - n$.

For every $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $A \subseteq S$ we define $S_{m,n} = \{(m, s, n) : s \in S\}$ and $A_{m,n} = \{(m, s, n) : s \in A\}$.

In this paper we introduce the topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck–Reilly and the topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck extensions of (semi)topological monoids, which are generalizations of topological Bruck–Reilly and topological Bruck extensions of (semi)topological monoids, and study their topologizations. The sufficient conditions under which the topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck–Reilly (\mathbb{Z} -Bruck) extension admits only the direct sum topology and conditions under which the direct sum topology can be coarsened are given. Also, topological characterizations for some classes of I -bisimple (semi)topological semigroups are given.

2. On topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck–Reilly extensions

Let S be a monoid and let $H(1_S)$ be its group of units. Obviously if one of the following conditions holds:

- 1) $H(1_S)$ is a trivial group,
- 2) S is congruence-free and S is not a group,
- 3) S has zero,

then every homomorphism $\theta: S \rightarrow H(1_S)$ is annihilating. Also, many topological properties of a (semi)topological semigroup S guarantee the triviality of θ . For example, such is the following: $H(1_S)$ is a discrete subgroup of S and S has a minimal ideal $K(S)$ which is a connected subgroup of S .

On the other side, there exist many conditions on a (semitopological, topological) semigroup S which ensure the existence of a non-annihilating (continuous) homomorphism $\theta: S^1 \rightarrow H(1_S)$ from S into the non-trivial group of units $H(1_S)$. For example, such conditions are the following:

- 1) the (semitopological, topological) semigroup S has a minimal ideal $K(S)$ which is a non-trivial group and there exists a non-annihilating (continuous) homomorphism $h: K(S) \rightarrow H(1_S)$;
- 2) S is an inverse semigroup and there exists a non-annihilating homomorphism $h: S/\sigma \rightarrow H(1_S)$, where σ is the least group congruence on S (see [36, Section III.5]).

Let (S, τ) be a semitopological monoid and let 1_S be the identity of S . If S does not contain an identity, then without loss of generality we can assume that S is a semigroup with an isolated adjoined identity. We shall also assume that the homomorphism $\theta: S^1 \rightarrow H(1_S)$ is continuous.

Let \mathcal{B} be a base of the topology τ on S . According to [22] the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ generated by the base

$$\mathcal{B}_{BR} = \{(i, U, j) : U \in \mathcal{B}, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is called the *direct sum topology* on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$. We shall denote it by $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}}$. We observe that the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}}$ is the product topology on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta) = \mathbb{Z} \times S \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let (S, τ) be a semitopological (respectively, topological, topological inverse) semigroup, and let $\theta: S^1 \rightarrow H(1_S)$ be a continuous homomorphism from S into the group of units $H(1_S)$ of S . Then $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}})$ is a semitopological (respectively, topological, topological inverse) semigroup.*

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is similar to the proof of [22, Theorem 1].

Definition 2.2. Let \mathfrak{S} be some class of semitopological semigroups and $(S, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}$. If $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ is a topology on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ such that the homomorphism $\theta: S^1 \rightarrow H(1_S)$ is a continuous map, $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}}) \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}|_{S_{m,m}} = \tau$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the semigroup $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ is called a *topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck-Reilly extension* of the semitopological semigroup (S, τ) in the class \mathfrak{S} . In the case when $\theta(s) = 1_S$ for all $s \in S^1$, the semigroup $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ is called a *topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck extension* of the semitopological semigroup (S, τ) in the class \mathfrak{S} .

Proposition 2.1 implies that for every semitopological (respectively, topological, topological inverse) semigroup (S, τ) there exists a *topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck-Reilly extension* $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}})$ of the semitopological (respectively, topological, topological inverse) semigroup (S, τ) in the class of semitopological (respectively, topological, topological inverse) semigroups. It is natural to ask: *when is $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}})$ unique for the semigroup (S, τ) ?*

Proposition 2.3. *Let $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ be a semitopological semigroup. Then the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *for every $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ the topological subspaces $S_{i,j}$ and $S_{k,l}$ are homeomorphic; moreover, $S_{i,i}$ and $S_{k,k}$ are topologically isomorphic subsemigroups in $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$;*
- (ii) *for every $(i, s, j) \in \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ there exists an open neighbourhood $U_{(i,s,j)}$ of the point (i, s, j) in $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ such that*

$$U_{(i,s,j)} \subseteq \bigcup \{S_{i-k,j-k} : k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

Proof. (i) For every $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ the map $\phi_{i,j}^{k,l}: \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ defined by the formula $\phi_{i,j}^{k,l}(x) = (k, 1_S, i) \cdot x \cdot (j, 1_S, l)$ is continuous as a composition of left and right translations in the semitopological semigroup $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$. Since $\phi_{k,l}^{i,j}(\phi_{i,j}^{k,l}(s)) = s$ and $\phi_{i,j}^{k,l}(\phi_{k,l}^{i,j}(t)) = t$ for all $s \in S_{i,j}$

and $t \in S_{k,l}$, we conclude that the restriction $\phi_{i,j}^{k,l}|_{S_{i,j}}$ is the inverse map of the restriction $\phi_{k,l}^{i,j}|_{S_{k,l}}$. Then the continuity of the map $\phi_{i,j}^{k,l}$ implies that the restriction $\phi_{i,j}^{k,l}|_{S_{i,j}}$ is a homeomorphism which maps elements of the subspace $S_{i,j}$ onto elements of the subspace $S_{k,l}$ in $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$. Now the definition of the map $\phi_{i,j}^{k,l}$ implies that the restriction $\phi_{i,i}^{k,k}|_{S_{i,i}} : S_{i,i} \rightarrow S_{k,k}$ is a topological isomorphism of semitopological subsemigroups $S_{i,i}$ and $S_{k,k}$.

(ii) Since left and right translations in a semitopological semigroup are continuous maps and left and right translations by an idempotent are retractions, [18, Exercise 1.5.C] implies that $(i+1, 1_S, i+1)\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ and $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)(j+1, 1_S, j+1)$ are closed subsets in $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$. Hence there exists an open neighbourhood $W_{(i,s,j)}$ of the point (i, s, j) in $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ such that

$$W_{(i,s,j)} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta) \setminus ((i+1, 1_S, i+1)\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta) \cup \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)(j+1, 1_S, j+1)).$$

Since the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ is separately continuous, we conclude that there exists an open neighbourhood $U_{(i,s,j)}$ of the point (i, s, j) in $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ such that

$$U_{(i,s,j)} \subseteq W_{(i,s,j)}, \quad (i, 1_S, i) \cdot U_{(i,s,j)} \subseteq W_{(i,s,j)} \quad \text{and} \quad U_{(i,s,j)} \cdot (j, 1_S, j) \subseteq W_{(i,s,j)}.$$

Next we shall show that $U_{(i,s,j)} \subseteq \bigcup \{S_{i-k, j-k} : k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Suppose the contrary: there exists $(m, a, n) \in U_{(i,s,j)}$ such that $(m, a, n) \notin \bigcup \{S_{i-k, j-k} : k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Then we have $m \leq i$, $n \leq j$ and $m-n \neq i-j$. If $m-n > i-j$, then we get

$$(m, a, n) \cdot (j, 1_S, j) = (m-n+j, \theta^{j-n}(a), j) \notin \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta) \setminus ((i+1, 1_S, i+1)\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta))$$

because $m-n+j > i-j+j = i$, and hence $(m, a, n) \cdot (j, 1_S, j) \notin W_{(i,s,j)}$. Similarly, if $m-n < i-j$, then we get

$$(i, 1_S, i) \cdot (m, a, n) = (i, \theta^{i-m}(a), n-m+i) \notin \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta) \setminus \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)(j+1, 1_S, j+1)$$

because $n-m+i > j-i+i = j$, and hence $(i, 1_S, i) \cdot (m, a, n) \notin W_{(i,s,j)}$. This completes the proof of our statement. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ be a topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck-Reilly extension of a semitopological semigroup (S, τ) . If S contains a left (right or two-sided) compact ideal, then $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ is the direct sum topology on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$.*

Proof. We consider the case when the semitopological semigroup S has a left compact ideal. In other cases the proof is similar. Let L be a left compact ideal in S . Then by Definition 2.2 there exists an integer n such that the subsemigroup $S_{n,n}$ in $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ is topologically isomorphic to the semitopological semigroup (S, τ) . Hence Proposition 2.3 implies that $L_{i,j}$ is a compact subset of $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We fix an arbitrary element (i, s, j) of the semigroup $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \in S^1$. We also fix an element $(i - 1, t, j - 1)$ in $L_{i-1, j-1}$ and define a map $h: \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ by the formula $h(x) = x \cdot (j - 1, t, j - 1)$. Then by Proposition 2.3(ii) there exists an open neighbourhood $U_{(i, s, j)}$ of the point (i, s, j) in $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ such that $U_{(i, s, j)} \subseteq \bigcup \{S_{i-k, j-k}: k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Since left translations in $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ are continuous, we conclude that the full pre-image $h^{-1}(L_{i-1, j-1})$ is a closed subset of the topological space $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$, and Remark 1.2 implies that $h^{-1}(L_{i-1, j-1}) = \bigcup \{S_{i-k, j-k}: k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Therefore, an arbitrary element (i, s, j) of the semigroup $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$, where $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \in S^1$, has an open neighbourhood $U_{(i, s, j)}$ such that $U_{(i, s, j)} \subseteq S_{i, j}$. \square

Theorem 2.4 yields the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5 (see [19]). *Let τ be a Hausdorff topology on the extended bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. If $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \tau)$ is a semitopological semigroup, then $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \tau)$ is the discrete space.*

Theorem 2.6. *Let $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ be a topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck–Reilly extension of a topological inverse semigroup (S, τ) in the class of topological inverse semigroups. If the band $E(S)$ contains a minimal idempotent, then $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ is the direct sum topology on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$.*

Proof. Let e_0 be a minimal element of the band $E(S)$. Then (i, e_0, i) is a minimal idempotent in the band of the subsemigroup $S_{i, i}$ for every integer i .

Since the semigroup operation on $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ is continuous, we conclude that for every idempotent ι from the semigroup $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ the set $\uparrow \iota = \{\varepsilon \in E(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)): \varepsilon \cdot \iota = \iota \cdot \varepsilon = \iota\}$ is a closed subset in $E(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta))$ with the topology induced from $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$. We define the maps $\mathfrak{l}: \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta))$ and $\mathfrak{r}: \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta))$ by the formulae $\mathfrak{l}(x) = x \cdot x^{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{r}(x) = x^{-1} \cdot x$. We fix any element $(i, s, j) \in \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$. Since the semigroup operation and inversion are continuous in $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$, we conclude that the sets $\mathfrak{l}^{-1}(\uparrow(i - 1, e_0, i - 1))$ and $\mathfrak{r}^{-1}(\uparrow(j - 1, e_0, j - 1))$ are closed in $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$. Then by Proposition 2.3(ii) there exists an open neighbourhood $U_{(i, s, j)}$ of the point (i, s, j) in $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ such that $U_{(i, s, j)} \subseteq \bigcup \{S_{i-k, j-k}: k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Now elementary calculations show that

$$W_{(i, s, j)} = U_{(i, s, j)} \setminus (\mathfrak{l}^{-1}(\uparrow(i - 1, e_0, i - 1)) \cup \mathfrak{r}^{-1}(\uparrow(j - 1, e_0, j - 1))) \subseteq S_{i, j}.$$

This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

The following examples show that the arguments stated in Theorems 2.4 and 2.6 are important.

Example 2.7. Let $N_+ = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be the discrete topological space with the usual operation of addition of integers. We define a topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ on $\mathcal{B}(N_+, \mathbb{Z})$ as follows:

- (i) for every point $x \in N_+ \setminus \{0\}$ the base of the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ at (i, x, j) coincides with some base of the direct sum topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}}$ at (i, x, j) for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (ii) for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ the family $\mathcal{B}_{(i,0,j)} = \{U_{i,j}^k : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, where $U_{i,j}^k = \{(i, 0, j)\} \cup \{(i - 1, s, j - 1) : s = k, k + 1, k + 2, k + 3, \dots\}$, is the base of the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ at the point $(i, 0, j)$.

Simple verifications show that $(\mathcal{B}(N_+, \mathbb{Z}), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ is a Hausdorff topological semigroup.

Example 2.8. Let $N_{\mathbf{m}} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be the discrete topological space with the semigroup operation $x \cdot y = \max\{x, y\}$. We identify the set $\mathcal{B}(N_{\mathbf{m}}, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathcal{B}(N_+, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ be the topology on $\mathcal{B}(N_+, \mathbb{Z})$ defined as in Example 2.7. Then simple verifications show that $(\mathcal{B}(N_{\mathbf{m}}, \mathbb{Z}), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ is a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup.

Definition 2.9. We shall say that a semitopological semigroup S has the *open ideal property* (or shortly, S is an *OIP-semigroup*) if there exists a family $\mathcal{I} = \{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ of open ideals in S such that for every $x \in S$ there exist an open ideal $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ and an open neighbourhood $U(x)$ of the point x in S such that $U(x) \cap I_\alpha = \emptyset$.

We observe that in Definition 2.9 the family $\mathcal{I} = \{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ of open ideals in S satisfies the finite intersection property. Thus every semitopological OIP-semigroup does not contain a minimal ideal.

Theorem 2.10. *Let (S, τ) be a Hausdorff semitopological OIP-semigroup and let $\theta: S^1 \rightarrow H(1_S)$ be a continuous homomorphism. Then there exists a topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck-Reilly extension $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ of (S, τ) in the class of semitopological semigroups such that the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ is strictly coarser than the direct sum topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}}$ on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ be a family of open ideals in (S, τ) such that for every $x \in S$ there exist $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ and an open neighbourhood $U(x)$ of the point x in (S, τ) such that $U(x) \cap I_\alpha = \emptyset$.

We shall define a base of the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ in the following way:

- (1) for every $s \in S \setminus H(1_S)$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ the base of the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ at the point (i, s, j) coincides with some base of the direct sum topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}}$ at (i, s, j) ;
- (2) the family

$$\mathcal{B}_{(i,a,j)} = \{(U_a)_\alpha^{i,j} = (U_a)_{i,j} \cup (\theta^{-1}(U_a) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1,j-1} : U_a \in \mathcal{B}_a, I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}\},$$

where \mathcal{B}_a is a base of the topology τ at the point a in S , is a base of the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ at the point (i, a, j) , for every $a \in H(1_S)$ and all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since (S, τ) is a Hausdorff semitopological OIP-semigroup, we conclude that $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ is a Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ and, moreover, $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ is a proper subfamily of $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}}$. Hence $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ is a coarser topology on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ than $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}}$.

Now we shall show that the semigroup operation on $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ is separately continuous. Since by Proposition 2.1 the semigroup operation on $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}})$ is separately continuous, the definition of the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ implies that it is sufficient to show the separate continuity of the semigroup operation on $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ in the following three cases:

- 1) $(i, h, j) \cdot (m, g, n)$; 2) $(i, h, j) \cdot (m, s, n)$; and 3) $(m, s, n) \cdot (i, h, j)$,

where $s \in S \setminus H(1_S)$, $g, h \in H(1_S)$ and $i, j, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider case 1). Then we have

$$(i, h, j) \cdot (m, g, n) = \begin{cases} (i - j + m, \theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g, n), & \text{if } j < m, \\ (i, h \cdot g, n), & \text{if } j = m, \\ (i, h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(g), n - m + j), & \text{if } j > m. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $j < m$. Then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation on (S, τ) and the continuity of the homomorphism $\theta: S \rightarrow H(1_S)$ imply that for every open neighbourhood $U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g}$ of the point $\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g$ in (S, τ) there exist open neighbourhoods V_h and W_g of the points h and g in (S, τ) , respectively, such that

$$\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot W_g \subseteq U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot g \subseteq U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g}.$$

Hence for every $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (i, h, j) \cdot (W_g)_{m,n}^\alpha \subseteq (i, h, j) \cdot ((W_g)_{m,n} \cup (\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha)_{m-1, n-1}) \\ & \subseteq ((i, h, j) \cdot (W_g)_{m,n}) \cup ((i, h, j) \cdot (\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha)_{m-1, n-1}) \subseteq \\ & \begin{cases} (\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot W_g)_{i-j+m, n} \cup (\theta^{m-1-j}(h) \cdot (\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha))_{i-j+m-1, n-1}, & \text{if } j < m-1, \\ (\theta(h) \cdot W_g)_{i-j+m, n} \cup (h \cdot (\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha))_{i, n-1}, & \text{if } j = m-1 \end{cases} \\ & \subseteq (U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g})_{i-j+m, n}^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

because $\theta(\theta^{m-1-j}(h) \cdot (\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha)) \subseteq \theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot W_g \subseteq U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & (V_h)_{i,j}^\alpha \cdot (m, g, n) \subseteq ((V_h)_{i,j} \cup (\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1, j-1}) \cdot (m, g, n) \\ & \subseteq ((V_h)_{i,j} \cdot (m, g, n)) \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1, j-1} \cdot (m, g, n)) \\ & \subseteq (\theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot g)_{i-j+m, n} \cup (\theta^{m-j+1}(V_h) \cdot g)_{i-j+m, n} \\ & \subseteq (\theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot g)_{i-j+m, n} \cup (\theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot g)_{i-j+m, n} \\ & \subseteq (\theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot g)_{i-j+m, n} \subseteq (U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g})_{i-j+m, n} \subseteq (U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g})_{i-j+m, n}^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $j = m$. Then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation on (S, τ) implies that for every open neighbourhood $U_{h \cdot g}$ of the point $h \cdot g$ in (S, τ) there exist open neighbourhoods V_h and W_g of the points h and g in (S, τ) , respectively, such that

$$V_h \cdot g \subseteq U_{h \cdot g} \quad \text{and} \quad h \cdot W_g \subseteq U_{h \cdot g}.$$

Then for every $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (V_h)_{i,j}^\alpha \cdot (m, g, n) &\subseteq ((V_h)_{i,j} \cdot (m, g, n)) \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1, j-1} \cdot (m, g, n)) \\ &\subseteq (V_h \cdot g)_{i,n} \cup (\theta(\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot g)_{i,n} \subseteq (V_h \cdot g)_{i,n} \cup (V_h \cdot g)_{i,n} \\ &= (V_h \cdot g)_{i,n} \subseteq (U_{h \cdot g})_{i,n}^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (i, h, j) \cdot (W_g)_{m,n}^\alpha &\subseteq ((i, h, j) \cdot (W_g)_{m,n}) \cup ((i, h, j) \cdot (\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha)_{m-1, n-1}) \\ &\subseteq (h \cdot W_g)_{i,n} \cup (h \cdot \theta(\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha))_{i,n} \subseteq (h \cdot W_g)_{i,n} \cup (h \cdot W_g)_{i,n} \\ &= (h \cdot W_g)_{i,n} \subseteq (U_{h \cdot g})_{i,n}^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $j > m$. Then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation on (S, τ) and the continuity of the homomorphism $\theta: S \rightarrow H(1_S)$ imply that for every open neighbourhood $U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(g)}$ of the point $h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(g)$ in (S, τ) there exist open neighbourhoods V_h and W_g of the points h and g in (S, τ) , respectively, such that

$$h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(W_g) \subseteq U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(g)} \quad \text{and} \quad V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(g) \subseteq U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(g)}.$$

Hence for every $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (i, h, j) \cdot (W_g)_{m,n}^\alpha &\subseteq ((i, h, j) \cdot (W_g)_{m,n}) \cup ((i, h, j) \cdot (\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha)_{m-1, n-1}) \\ &\subseteq (h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(W_g))_{i, n-m+j} \cup (h \cdot \theta^{j-m+1}(\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha))_{i, n-m+j} \\ &\subseteq (h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(W_g))_{i, n-m+j} \cup (h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(W_g))_{i, n-m+j} \\ &= (h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(W_g))_{i, n-m+j} \subseteq (U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(g)})_{i, n-m+j}^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (V_h)_{i,j}^\alpha \cdot (m, g, n) &\subseteq ((V_h)_{i,j} \cdot (m, g, n)) \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1, j-1} \cdot (m, g, n)) \subseteq \\ &\begin{cases} (V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(g))_{i, n-m+j} \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot g)_{i-1, n}, & \text{if } j-1=m, \\ (V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(g))_{i, n-m+j} \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot \theta^{j-1-m}(g))_{i-1, n-m+j-1}, & \text{if } j-1 > m \end{cases} \\ &\subseteq (U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(g)})_{i, n-m+j}^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

because $\theta((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot \theta^{j-1-m}(g)) = V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(g) \subseteq U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(g)}$.

We observe that if $g \in H(1_S)$ and $s \in S \setminus H(1_S)$ then $g \cdot s, s \cdot g \in S \setminus H(1_S)$. Otherwise, if $g \cdot s \in H(1_S)$, then we have $g^{-1} \cdot g \cdot s = 1_S \cdot s = s \in H(1_S)$,

which contradicts the fact that every translation by an element of the group of units of S is a bijective map (see [12, Vol. 1, p. 18]).

Consider case 2). Then we have

$$(i, h, j) \cdot (m, s, n) = \begin{cases} (i - j + m, \theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot s, n), & \text{if } j < m, \\ (i, h \cdot s, n), & \text{if } j = m, \\ (i, h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s), n - m + j), & \text{if } j > m. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $j < m$. Then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation on (S, τ) and the continuity of the homomorphism $\theta: S \rightarrow H(1_S)$ imply that for every open neighbourhood $U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot s}$ of the point $\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot s$ in (S, τ) there exist open neighbourhoods V_h and W_s of the points h and g in (S, τ) , respectively, such that

$$\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot W_s \subseteq U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot s} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot s \subseteq U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot s}.$$

Hence for every $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ we get that

$$(i, h, j) \cdot (W_s)_{m,n} \subseteq (\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot W_s)_{i-j+m,n} \subseteq (U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot s})_{i-j+m,n}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (V_h)_{i,j}^\alpha \cdot (m, s, n) &\subseteq ((V_h)_{i,j} \cdot (m, s, n)) \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1,j-1} \cdot (m, s, n)) \\ &\subseteq (\theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot s)_{i-j+m,n} \cup (\theta^{m-j+1}(\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot s)_{i-j+m,n} \\ &\subseteq (\theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot s)_{i-j+m,n} \cup (\theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot s)_{i-j+m,n} \\ &\subseteq (\theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot s)_{i-j+m,n} \subseteq (U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot s})_{i-j+m,n}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $j = m$. Then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation on (S, τ) implies that for every open neighbourhood $U_{h \cdot s}$ of the point $h \cdot s$ in (S, τ) there exist open neighbourhoods V_h and W_s of the points h and s in (S, τ) , respectively, such that

$$V_h \cdot s \subseteq U_{h \cdot s} \quad \text{and} \quad h \cdot W_s \subseteq U_{h \cdot s}.$$

Then for every $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ we have $(i, h, j) \cdot (W_s)_{m,n} \subseteq (h \cdot W_s)_{i,n} \subseteq (U_{h \cdot s})_{i,n}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (V_h)_{i,j}^\alpha \cdot (m, s, n) &\subseteq ((V_h)_{i,j} \cdot (m, s, n)) \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1,j-1} \cdot (m, s, n)) \\ &\subseteq (V_h \cdot s)_{i,n} \cup (\theta(\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot s)_{i,n} \subseteq (V_h \cdot s)_{i,n} \cup (V_h \cdot s)_{i,n} \\ &= (V_h \cdot s)_{i,n} \subseteq (U_{h \cdot s})_{i,n}. \end{aligned}$$

If $j > m$ then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation on (S, τ) and the continuity of the homomorphism $\theta: S \rightarrow H(1_S)$ imply that for every open neighbourhood $U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s)}$ of the point $h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s)$ in (S, τ) there exist open neighbourhoods V_h and W_s of the points h and s in (S, τ) , respectively, such that

$$h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(W_s) \subseteq U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s)} \quad \text{and} \quad V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s) \subseteq U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s)}.$$

Hence for every $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ we get that

$$(i, h, j) \cdot (W_s)_{m,n} \subseteq (h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(W_s))_{i,n-m+j} \subseteq (U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s)})_{i,n-m+j}^\alpha$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (V_h)_{i,j}^\alpha \cdot (m, s, n) &\subseteq ((V_h)_{i,j} \cdot (m, s, n)) \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1,j-1} \cdot (m, s, n)) \subseteq \\ &\begin{cases} (V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s))_{i,n-m+j} \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot s)_{i-1,n}, & \text{if } j-1=m, \\ (V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s))_{i,n-m+j} \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot \theta^{j-1-m}(s))_{i-1,n-m+j-1}, & \text{if } j-1>m \end{cases} \\ &\subseteq (V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s))_{i,n-m+j}^\alpha \subseteq (U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s)})_{i,n-m+j}^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

because $\theta((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot \theta^{j-1-m}(s)) \subseteq V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s) \subseteq U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s)}$.

In case 3) we have

$$(m, s, n) \cdot (i, g, j) = \begin{cases} (m-n+i, \theta^{i-n}(s) \cdot g, j), & \text{if } n < i, \\ (m, s \cdot g, j), & \text{if } n = i, \\ (m, s \cdot \theta^{n-i}(g), j-i+n), & \text{if } n > i. \end{cases}$$

In this case the proof of separate continuity of the semigroup operation on $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ is similar to case 2). \square

We observe that in the case when $\theta(s) = 1_S$ for all $s \in S^1$ a base of the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is determined in the following way:

- (1) for every $s \in S^1 \setminus \{1_S\}$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ the base of the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ at the point (i, s, j) coincides with some base of the direct sum topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}}$ at (i, s, j) ; and
- (2) the family $\mathcal{B}_{(i, 1_S, j)} = \{U_{i,j}^\alpha = U_{i,j} \cup (I_\alpha)_{i-1,j-1} : U \in \mathcal{B}_{1_S}, I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}\}$, where \mathcal{B}_{1_S} is a base of the topology τ at the point 1_S in S , is a base of the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ at the point $(i, 1_S, j)$, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then Theorem 2.10 yields the following theorem.

Theorem 2.11. *Let (S, τ) be a Hausdorff semitopological OIP-semigroup. Then there exists a topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck extension $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ of (S, τ) in the class of semitopological semigroups such that the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ is strictly coarser than the direct sum topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}}$ on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Now we need the following proposition.

Proposition 2.12. *Let (S, τ) be a topological (inverse) OIP-semigroup. Let $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ be the topology on the semigroup $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ defined in the proof of Theorem 2.10. Then $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ is a topological (inverse) semigroup.*

Proof. If (S, τ) is a topological semigroup, then Proposition 2.1 implies that the semigroup operation is continuous on $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}})$. Similarly, if the inversion in an inverse topological semigroup (S, τ) is continuous, then Proposition 2.1 implies that the inversion in $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}})$ is continuous

too. Therefore it is sufficient to show that the semigroup operation is jointly continuous on $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ in the following three cases:

- 1) $(i, h, j) \cdot (m, g, n)$; 2) $(i, h, j) \cdot (m, s, n)$; and 3) $(m, s, n) \cdot (i, g, j)$.

Also in the case when (S, τ) is a topological inverse semigroup, it is sufficient to show that the inversion is continuous at the point (i, h, j) for all $h, g \in H(1_S)$, $s \in S \setminus H(1_S)$ and $i, j, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider case 1). Then we have

$$(i, h, j) \cdot (m, g, n) = \begin{cases} (i - j + m, \theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g, n), & \text{if } j < m, \\ (i, h \cdot g, n), & \text{if } j = m, \\ (i, h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(g), n - m + j), & \text{if } j > m. \end{cases}$$

If $j < m$ then the continuity of the semigroup operation on (S, τ) and the continuity of the homomorphism $\theta: S \rightarrow H(1_S)$ yield that for every open neighbourhood $U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g}$ of the point $\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g$ in (S, τ) there exist open neighbourhoods V_h and W_g of the points h and g in (S, τ) , respectively, such that $\theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot W_g \subseteq U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g}$. Hence for every $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (V_h)_{i,j}^\alpha \cdot (W_g)_{m,n}^\alpha &\subseteq ((V_h)_{i,j} \cdot (W_g)_{m,n}) \cup ((V_h)_{i,j} \cdot (\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha)_{m-1, n-1}) \cup \\ &((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1, j-1} \cdot (W_g)_{m,n}) \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1, j-1} \cdot (\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha)_{m-1, n-1}) \\ &\subseteq (\theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot W_g)_{i-j+m, n} \cup A \cup (\theta^{m-j+1}(\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot W_g)_{i-j+m, n} \cup \\ &(\theta^{m-j}(\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot (\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha))_{i-j+m-1, n-1} \subseteq (U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g})_{i-j+m, n}^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \begin{cases} (V_h \cdot (\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha))_{i, n-1}, & \text{if } j = m - 1, \\ (\theta^{m-1-j}(V_h) \cdot (\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha))_{i-j+m-1, n-1}, & \text{if } j < m - 1, \end{cases}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^{m-j+1}(\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot W_g &\subseteq \theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot W_g \subseteq U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g}, \\ \theta(\theta^{m-j}(\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot (\theta^{-1}(W_g) \cap I_\alpha)) &\subseteq \theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot W_g \subseteq U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\theta(A) = \begin{cases} \theta(V_h) \cdot W_g, & \text{if } j = m - 1, \\ \theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot W_g, & \text{if } j < m - 1, \end{cases} \subseteq U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot g}.$$

The proof of the continuity of the semigroup operation on $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ in the case when $j > m$ is similar to the previous case.

If $j = m$ then the continuity of the semigroup operation on (S, τ) implies that for every open neighbourhood $U_{h \cdot g}$ of the point $h \cdot g$ in (S, τ) there exist open neighbourhoods V_h and W_g of the points h and g in (S, τ) , respectively, such that $V_h \cdot W_g \subseteq U_{h \cdot g}$. Then for every $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ we get that

$$(V_h)_{i,j}^\alpha \cdot (W_g)_{m,n}^\alpha \subseteq (V_h \cdot W_g)_{i,n}^\alpha \subseteq (U_{h \cdot g})_{i,n}^\alpha.$$

In case 2) we have

$$(i, h, j) \cdot (m, s, n) = \begin{cases} (i - j + m, \theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot s, n), & \text{if } j < m, \\ (i, h \cdot s, n), & \text{if } j = m, \\ (i, h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s), n - m + j), & \text{if } j > m, \end{cases}$$

where $\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot s, h \cdot s \in S \setminus H(1_S)$ and $h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s) \in H(1_S)$.

If $j < m$ then the continuity of the semigroup operation on (S, τ) and the continuity of the homomorphism $\theta: S \rightarrow H(1_S)$ imply that for every open neighbourhood $U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot s}$ of the point $\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot s$ in (S, τ) there exist open neighbourhoods V_h and W_s of the points h and s in (S, τ) , respectively, such that $\theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot W_s \subseteq U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot s}$. Hence for every $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (V_h)_{i,j}^\alpha \cdot (W_s)_{m,n} &\subseteq ((V_h)_{i,j} \cdot (W_s)_{m,n}) \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1,j-1} \cdot (W_s)_{m,n}) \\ &\subseteq (\theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot W_s)_{i-j+m,n} \cup (\theta^{m-j+1}(\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot W_s)_{i-j+m,n} \\ &\subseteq (\theta^{m-j}(V_h) \cdot W_s)_{i-j+m,n} \subseteq (U_{\theta^{m-j}(h) \cdot s})_{i-j+m,n}. \end{aligned}$$

If $j = m$ then the continuity of the semigroup operation on (S, τ) implies that for every open neighbourhood $U_{h \cdot s}$ of the point $h \cdot s$ in (S, τ) there exist open neighbourhoods V_h and W_s of the points h and s in (S, τ) , respectively, such that $V_h \cdot W_s \subseteq U_{h \cdot s}$. Then for every $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (V_h)_{i,j}^\alpha \cdot (W_s)_{m,n} &\subseteq ((V_h)_{i,j} \cdot (W_s)_{m,n}) \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1,j-1} \cdot (W_s)_{m,n}) \\ &\subseteq (V_h \cdot W_s)_{i,n} \cup (\theta(\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot W_s)_{i,n} \subseteq (V_h \cdot W_s)_{i,n} \subseteq (U_{h \cdot s})_{i,n}. \end{aligned}$$

If $j > m$ then the continuity of the semigroup operation on (S, τ) and the continuity of the homomorphism $\theta: S \rightarrow H(1_S)$ imply that for every open neighbourhood $U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s)}$ of the point $h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s)$ in (S, τ) there exist open neighbourhoods V_h and W_s of the points h and s in (S, τ) , respectively, such that $V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(W_s) \subseteq U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s)}$. Hence for every $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (V_h)_{i,j}^\alpha \cdot (W_s)_{m,n} &\subseteq ((V_h)_{i,j} \cdot (W_s)_{m,n}) \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1,j-1} \cdot (W_s)_{m,n}) \subseteq \\ &\begin{cases} (V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(W_s))_{i,n-m+j} \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot W_s)_{i-1,n}, & \text{if } j-1=m, \\ (V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(W_s))_{i,n-m+j} \cup ((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot \theta^{j-1-m}(W_s))_{i-1,n-m+j-1}, & \text{if } j-1>m \end{cases} \\ &\subseteq (V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(W_s))_{i,n-m+j} \cup (\theta^{-1}(U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s)}) \cap I_\alpha)_{i-1,n-m+j-1} \\ &\subseteq (U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s)})_{i,n}^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\theta((\theta^{-1}(V_h) \cap I_\alpha) \cdot \theta^{j-1-m}(W_s)) \subseteq V_h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(W_s) \subseteq U_{h \cdot \theta^{j-m}(s)}.$$

The proof of the continuity of the semigroup operation on $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ in case 3) is similar to case 2).

If (S, τ) is a topological inverse semigroup, then for every ideal I in S we have $I^{-1} = I$, and for every open neighbourhoods V_s and $U_{s^{-1}}$ of the points s and s^{-1} in (S, τ) , respectively, such that $(V_s)^{-1} \subseteq U_{s^{-1}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (V_s)_{i,j}^{-1} &\subseteq (U_{s^{-1}})_{j,i}, \text{ for } s \in S \setminus H(1_S) \quad \text{and} \\ (V_s)_{i,j}^\alpha &\subseteq (U_{s^{-1}})_{j,i}^\alpha, \text{ for } s \in H(1_S), \end{aligned}$$

for all $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ is a topological inverse semigroup. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Theorem 2.10 and Proposition 2.12 imply the following result.

Theorem 2.13. *Let (S, τ) be a topological (inverse) OIP-semigroup. Then there exists a topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck–Reilly extension $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ of (S, τ) in the class of topological (inverse) semigroups such that the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ is strictly coarser than the direct sum topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}}$ on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}, \theta)$.*

Theorem 2.13 yields the following corollary.

Corollary 2.14. *Let (S, τ) be a topological (inverse) OIP-semigroup. Then there exists a topological \mathbb{Z} -Bruck extension $(\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z}), \tau_{\mathbf{BR}})$ of (S, τ) in the class of topological (inverse) semigroups such that the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}$ is strictly coarser than the direct sum topology $\tau_{\mathbf{BR}}^{\text{ds}}$ on $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Recall (see [12]) that a topological semilattice E is said to be a U -semilattice if for every $x \in E$ and every open neighbourhood $U = \uparrow U$ of x in E , there exists $y \in U$ such that $x \in \text{Int}_E(\uparrow y)$.

Remark 2.15. Let S be a Clifford inverse semigroup. We define a map $\varphi: S \rightarrow E(S)$ by the formula $\varphi(x) = x \cdot x^{-1}$. From [13, Theorem 4.11] it follows that if I is an ideal of $E(S)$, then $\varphi^{-1}(I)$ is an ideal of S .

The following theorem provides examples of topological OIP-semigroups.

Theorem 2.16. *Let (S, τ) be a topological inverse Clifford semigroup. If the band $E(S)$ of S has no smallest idempotent and satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (1) *for every $x \in E(S)$ there exists $y \in \downarrow x$ such that there is an open neighbourhood U_y of y with the compact closure $\text{cl}_{E(S)}(U_y)$;*
- (2) *$E(S)$ is locally compact;*
- (3) *$E(S)$ is a U -semilattice,*

then (S, τ) is an OIP-semigroup.

Proof. Suppose condition (1) holds. We fix an arbitrary $x \in E(S)$. By [21, Proposition VI-1.14] the partial order on the topological semilattice $E(S)$ is closed, and hence the compact set $K = \text{cl}_{E(S)}(U_y)$ has a minimal element e , which must also be a minimal element of $\uparrow K$. If $\uparrow K = E(S)$, then e is a minimal element of $E(S)$. Hence e is the least element of $E(S)$, because

$ef \leq e$ for any $f \in E(S)$ implies $e = ef$, i.e., $e \leq f$. This contradicts the fact that $E(S)$ does not have the least element.

Then the set $I_x = E(S) \setminus \uparrow(\text{cl}_{E(S)}(U_y))$ is an open ideal in $E(S)$, and by [21, Proposition VI-1.13(iii)] the set $U_x = \uparrow U_y$ is an open neighbourhood of the point x in $E(S)$ such that $I_x \cap U_x = \emptyset$. Therefore for every $x \in E(S)$ we constructed an open neighbourhood U_x of the point x in $E(S)$ and an open ideal I_x in $E(S)$ such that $I_x \cap U_x = \emptyset$. Hence the topological semilattice $E(S)$ is an OIP-semigroup. Now we apply Remark 2.15 and get that (S, τ) is an OIP-semigroup.

We observe that every locally compact semilattice satisfies condition (1).

Suppose condition (3) holds. We fix an arbitrary $x \in E(S)$. Since the semilattice $E(S)$ does not contain a minimal idempotent, we conclude that there exists an idempotent $e \in \downarrow x \setminus \{x\}$. Then by [21, Proposition VI-1.13(i)] the set $U_x = E(S) \setminus \downarrow e$ is open in $E(S)$, and it is obvious that $x \in U_x = \uparrow U_x$. Let $y_{[x,e]} \in U_x$ be such that $x \in \text{Int}_{E(S)}(\uparrow y_{[x,e]})$. We put $V_x = \text{Int}_{E(S)}(\uparrow y_{[x,e]})$ and $I_{[x,e]} = E(S) \setminus \uparrow y_{[x,e]}$. Then V_x is an open neighbourhood of x in $E(S)$ and $I_{[x,e]}$ is an open ideal in $E(S)$. Hence similar arguments as in case (1) show that (S, τ) is an OIP-semigroup. \square

3. On I -bisimple topological inverse semigroups

A bisimple semigroup S is called an *I -bisimple semigroup* if and only if $E(S)$ is order isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} under the reverse of the usual order.

In [44] Warne proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 ([44, Theorem 1.3]). *A regular semigroup S is I -bisimple if and only if S is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_W = \mathbb{Z} \times G \times \mathbb{Z}$, where G is a group, under the multiplication*

$$(a, g, b) \cdot (c, h, d) = \begin{cases} (a, g \cdot f_{b-c,c}^{-1} \cdot \theta^{b-c}(h) \cdot f_{b-c,d}, d - c + b), & \text{if } b \geq c, \\ (a - b + c, f_{c-b,a}^{-1} \cdot \theta^{c-b}(g) \cdot f_{c-b,b} \cdot h, d), & \text{if } b \leq c, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where θ is an endomorphism of G , θ^0 denoting the identity automorphism of G , and for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has

- (1) $f_{0,n} = e$ is the identity of G ;
- (2) $f_{m,n} = \theta^{m-1}(u_{n+1}) \cdot \theta^{m-2}(u_{n+2}) \cdot \dots \cdot \theta(u_{n+(m-1)}) \cdot u_{n+m}$, where $\{u_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a collection of elements of G with $u_n = e$ if $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For arbitrary $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote $G_{i,j} = \{(i, g, j) \in \mathcal{B}_W : g \in G\}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let S be a regular I -bisimple semitopological semigroup. Then there exist a group G with the identity element e , an endomorphism $\theta : G \rightarrow G$, a collection $\{u_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of elements of G with the property $u_n = e$ if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a topology on the semigroup \mathcal{B}_W such that the following assertions hold:*

- (i) S is topologically isomorphic to a semitopological semigroup \mathcal{B}_W (not necessarily with the product topology);
- (ii) $G_{i,j}$ and $G_{k,l}$ are homeomorphic subspaces of \mathcal{B}_W for all $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (iii) $G_{i,i}$ and $G_{k,k}$ are topologically isomorphic semitopological subgroups of \mathcal{B}_W with the topology induced from \mathcal{B}_W for all $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (iv) θ is a continuous endomorphism of the semitopological group $G = G_{i,i}$ with the topology induced from \mathcal{B}_W for an arbitrary integer i ;
- (v) for every element $(i, g, j) \in \mathcal{B}_W$ there exists an open neighbourhood $U_{(i,g,j)}$ of the point (i, g, j) in \mathcal{B}_W such that $U_{(i,g,j)} \subseteq \bigcup \{G_{i-k,j-k} : k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$;
- (vi) $E(S)$ is a discrete subspace of S .

Proof. The first part of the theorem and assertion (i) follow from Theorem 3.1.

(ii) We fix arbitrary $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and define the map $\varphi_{i,j}^{k,l} : \mathcal{B}_W \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_W$ by the formula $\varphi_{i,j}^{k,l}(x) = (k, e, i) \cdot x \cdot (j, e, l)$. Then formula (4) implies that the restriction $\varphi_{i,j}^{k,l}|_{G_{i,j}} : G_{i,j} \rightarrow G_{k,l}$ is a bijective map. Now the compositions $\varphi_{i,j}^{k,l}|_{G_{i,j}} \circ \varphi_{k,l}^{i,j}|_{G_{k,l}}$ and $\varphi_{k,l}^{i,j}|_{G_{k,l}} \circ \varphi_{i,j}^{k,l}|_{G_{i,j}}$ are identity maps of the sets $G_{i,j}$ and $G_{k,l}$, respectively, and hence the map $\varphi_{i,j}^{k,l}|_{G_{i,j}} : G_{i,j} \rightarrow G_{k,l}$ is invertible to $\varphi_{k,l}^{i,j}|_{G_{k,l}} : G_{k,l} \rightarrow G_{i,j}$. Since \mathcal{B}_W is a semitopological semigroup, we conclude that $\varphi_{i,j}^{k,l}|_{G_{i,j}} : G_{i,j} \rightarrow G_{k,l}$ and $\varphi_{k,l}^{i,j}|_{G_{k,l}} : G_{k,l} \rightarrow G_{i,j}$ are continuous maps, and hence the map $\varphi_{i,j}^{k,l}|_{G_{i,j}} : G_{i,j} \rightarrow G_{k,l}$ is a homeomorphism.

(iii) Formula (4) implies that $G_{i,i}$ and $G_{k,k}$ are semitopological subgroups of \mathcal{B}_W with the topology induced from \mathcal{B}_W for all $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Simple verifications show that the map $\varphi_{i,i}^{k,k}|_{G_{i,i}} : G_{i,i} \rightarrow G_{k,k}$ is a topological isomorphism.

(iv) Assertion (iii) implies that for arbitrary $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the subspaces $G_{i,i}$ and $G_{k,k}$ with the induced semigroup operation are topologically isomorphic subgroups of \mathcal{B}_W , and hence the semitopological group G is correctly defined. Next we consider the map $f : G = G_{0,0} \rightarrow G = G_{1,1}$ defined by the formula $f(x) = x \cdot (1, e, 1)$. Then by formula (4) we have

$$(0, g, 0) \cdot (1, e, 1) = (1, f_{1,0}^{-1} \cdot \theta(g) \cdot f_{1,0} \cdot e, 1) = (1, e^{-1} \cdot \theta(g) \cdot e \cdot e, 1) = (1, \theta(g), 1),$$

and since the translations in \mathcal{B}_W are continuous, we conclude that θ is a continuous endomorphism of the semitopological group G .

(v) Since left and right translations in a semitopological semigroup are continuous maps and left and right translations by an idempotent are retractions, [18, Exercise 1.5.C] implies that $(i+1, e, i+1)\mathcal{B}_W$ and $\mathcal{B}_W(j+1, e, j+1)$ are closed subsets in \mathcal{B}_W . Hence there exists an open neighbourhood $W_{(i,g,j)}$ of the point (i, g, j) in \mathcal{B}_W such that

$$W_{(i,g,j)} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_W \setminus ((i+1, e, i+1)\mathcal{B}_W \cup \mathcal{B}_W(j+1, e, j+1)).$$

Since the semigroup operation in \mathcal{B}_W is separately continuous, we conclude that there exists an open neighbourhood $U_{(i,g,j)}$ of the point (i, g, j) in \mathcal{B}_W such that

$$U_{(i,g,j)} \subseteq W_{(i,g,j)}, \quad (i, e, i) \cdot U_{(i,g,j)} \subseteq W_{(i,g,j)} \quad \text{and} \quad U_{(i,g,j)} \cdot (j, e, j) \subseteq W_{(i,g,j)}.$$

Next we shall show that $U_{(i,g,j)} \subseteq \bigcup \{G_{i-k,j-k} : k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Suppose the contrary: there exists $(m, a, n) \in U_{(i,g,j)}$ such that $(m, a, n) \notin \bigcup \{G_{i-k,j-k} : k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Then we have $m \leq i, n \leq j$ and $m - n \neq i - j$. If $m - n > i - j$ then formula (4) implies that there exists $u \in G$ such that

$$(m, a, n) \cdot (j, e, j) = (m - n + j, u, j) \notin \mathcal{B}_W \setminus (i + 1, e, i + 1)\mathcal{B}_W$$

because $m - n + j > i - j + j = i$, and hence $(m, a, n) \cdot (j, e, j) \notin W_{(i,g,j)}$. Similarly, if $m - n < i - j$ then formula (4) implies that there exists $v \in G$ such that

$$(i, e, i) \cdot (m, a, n) = (i, v, n - m + i) \notin \mathcal{B}_W \setminus \mathcal{B}_W(j + 1, e, j + 1)$$

because $n - m + i > j - i + i = j$, and hence $(i, e, i) \cdot (m, a, n) \notin W_{(i,g,j)}$. This completes the proof of our assertion.

(vi) The definition of an I -bisimple semigroup implies that $E(S)$ is order isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} under the reverse of the usual order, and hence $E(S)$ is a subsemigroup of S . Then $E(S) = \{(n, e, n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ (see [44]). We fix an arbitrary $(i, e, i) \in E(S)$. Since translations by (i, e, i) in S are continuous retractions, [18, Theorem 1.4.1] implies that the set $\{x \in S : x \cdot (i - 1, e, i - 1) = (i - 1, e, i - 1)\}$ is closed in S , and [18, Exercise 1.5.C] implies that $(i + 1, e, i + 1)S$ is a closed subset in S too. It now follows that (i, e, i) is an isolated point of $E(S)$ with the topology induced from S . This completes the proof of our assertion. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let S be a regular I -bisimple semitopological semigroup. If S has a maximal compact subgroup then the following statements hold:*

- (i) S is topologically isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_W = \mathbb{Z} \times G \times \mathbb{Z}$ with the product topology;
- (ii) S is a locally compact topological inverse semigroup.

Proof. (i) By Theorem 3.2(i) we know that the semitopological semigroup S is topologically isomorphic to a semitopological semigroup $\mathcal{B}_W = \mathbb{Z} \times G \times \mathbb{Z}$. It is obvious to show that for arbitrary $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ the \mathcal{H} -class $G_{i,j}$ of \mathcal{B}_W is an open subset in \mathcal{B}_W . We fix an arbitrary $(i, g, j) \in G_{i,j}$. Then by Theorem 3.2(v) there exists an open neighbourhood $U_{(i,g,j)}$ of the point (i, g, j) in \mathcal{B}_W such that $U_{(i,g,j)} \subseteq \bigcup \{G_{i-k,j-k} : k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Since the semitopological semigroup S has a maximal compact subgroup, Theorem 3.2(ii) implies that every \mathcal{H} -class $G_{m,n}$ of \mathcal{B}_W is a compact subset in \mathcal{B}_W . Then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation on \mathcal{B}_W and [18, Theorem 1.4.1] imply that $\{x \in \mathcal{B}_W : x \cdot (i - 1, e, i - 1) \in G_{i-1,i-1}\}$ is a closed

set in \mathcal{B}_W . Therefore there exists an open neighbourhood $V_{(i,g,j)} \subseteq U_{(i,g,j)}$ of the point (i, g, j) in \mathcal{B}_W such that $V_{(i,g,j)} \subseteq G_{i,j}$. This completes the proof of the statement.

(ii) Statement (i), Theorem 3.2(ii) and [18, Theorem 3.3.13] imply that S is a locally compact space. Then statement (i), [18, Corollary 3.3.10] and the Ellis theorem (see [17, Theorem 2] or [12, Vol. 1, Theorem 1.18]) imply that every maximal subgroup $G_{n,n}$ of \mathcal{B}_W is a topological group. We put $G = G_{n,n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with the topology induced from \mathcal{B}_W . Theorem 3.2(iii) implies that the topological group G is correctly defined. Let \mathfrak{B}_G be a base of the topology of the topological group G . Then statement (i) and Theorem 3.2(ii) imply that the family

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{B}_W} = \{U_{i,j} : U \in \mathfrak{B}_G \text{ and } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where $U_{i,j} = \{(i, x, j) : x \in U\} \subseteq G_{i,j}$, is a base of the topology of the semi-topological semigroup \mathcal{B}_W .

Since G is a topological group and $\theta : G \rightarrow G$ is a continuous homomorphism, we conclude that for arbitrary integers a, b, c, d with $b \geq c$, arbitrary $g, h \in G$ and any open neighbourhood W of the point $g \cdot f_{b-c,c}^{-1} \cdot \theta^{b-c}(h) \cdot f_{b-c,d}$ in the topological space G there exist open neighbourhoods W_g and W_h of the points g and h in G , respectively, such that

$$W_g \cdot f_{b-c,c}^{-1} \cdot \theta^{b-c}(W_h) \cdot f_{b-c,d} \subseteq W.$$

Then in the case when $b \geq c$ we obtain

$$(a, W_g, b) \cdot (c, W_h, d) \subseteq (a, W_g \cdot f_{b-c,c}^{-1} \cdot \theta^{b-c}(W_h) \cdot f_{b-c,d}, d-c+b) \subseteq (a, W, d-c+b).$$

Similarly, the continuity of the group operation on G and the continuity of the homomorphism θ imply that for arbitrary integers a, b, c, d with $b \leq c$, arbitrary $g, h \in G$ and any open neighbourhood U of $f_{c-b,a}^{-1} \cdot \theta^{c-b}(g) \cdot f_{c-b,b} \cdot h$ in the topological space G there exist open neighbourhoods U_g and U_h of the points g and h in G , respectively, such that

$$f_{c-b,a}^{-1} \cdot \theta^{c-b}(U_g) \cdot f_{c-b,b} \cdot U_h \subseteq U.$$

Then in the case when $b \leq c$ we obtain

$$(a, U_g, b) \cdot (c, U_h, d) \subseteq (a-b+c, f_{c-b,a}^{-1} \cdot \theta^{c-b}(U_g) \cdot f_{c-b,b} \cdot U_h, d) \subseteq (a-b+c, U, d).$$

Hence the semigroup operation is continuous on \mathcal{B}_W .

Also, since the inversion in G is continuous, we know that for every element g of G and any open neighbourhood $W_{g^{-1}}$ of its inverse g^{-1} in G there exists open neighbourhood U_g of g in G such that $(U_g)^{-1} \subseteq W_{g^{-1}}$. Then we get $(a, U_g, b)^{-1} \subseteq (b, W_{g^{-1}}, a)$ for arbitrary integers a and b . This completes the proof that \mathcal{B}_W is a topological inverse semigroup. \square

If S is a topological inverse semigroup then the maps $l: S \rightarrow E(S)$ and $r: S \rightarrow E(S)$ defined by the formulae $l(x) = x \cdot x^{-1}$ and $r(x) = x^{-1} \cdot x$ are continuous. Hence Theorem 3.2 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *Let S be a regular I -bisimple topological inverse semigroup. Then every \mathcal{H} -class of S is a closed-and-open subset of S .*

A topological space X is called *Baire* if for each sequence $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_i, \dots$ of nowhere dense subsets of X the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ is a co-dense subset of X (see [18]).

Since every Hausdorff Baire topology on a countable topological group is discrete, Corollary 3.4 implies the following

Corollary 3.5. *Every regular I -bisimple countable Hausdorff Baire topological inverse semigroup is discrete.*

A Tychonoff space X is called *Čech complete* if for every compactification cX of X the remainder $cX \setminus c(X)$ is an F_σ -set in cX (see [18]). Since every Čech complete space (and hence every locally compact space) is Baire, Corollary 3.5 implies the following

Corollary 3.6. *Every regular I -bisimple countable Hausdorff Čech complete (locally compact) topological inverse semigroup is discrete.*

The following provides an example of a Hausdorff locally compact zero-dimensional I -bisimple topological semigroup S with locally compact (discrete) maximal subgroup G such that S is not topologically isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_W = \mathbb{Z} \times G \times \mathbb{Z}$ with the product topology, and hence S is not a topological inverse semigroup.

Example 3.7. Let Z be the additive group of integers and let $\theta: Z \rightarrow Z$ be an annihilating homomorphism, i.e., $\theta(m) = e$ is the identity of Z for every $m \in Z$. We let $\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z})$ to be the \mathbb{Z} -Bruck extension of the group Z . Then Theorem 3.1 implies that $\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z})$ is an I -bisimple semigroup.

We determine the topology τ on $\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z})$ in the following way:

- (i) all non-idempotent elements of the semigroup $\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z})$ are isolated points in $(\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z}), \tau)$; and
- (ii) the family $\mathfrak{B}_{(i,e,j)} = \{U_{i,j}^n : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where $U_{i,j}^n = \{(i, e, j)\} \cup \{(i - 1, k, j - 1) : k \geq n\}$, is a base of the topology τ at the point $(i, e, j) \in \mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z}), i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Simple verifications show that τ is a Hausdorff locally compact zero-dimensional topology on $\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z})$. We shall prove that τ is a semigroup topology on $\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z})$.

We remark that the semigroup operation on $\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z})$ is defined by the formula

$$(i, g, j) \cdot (m, h, n) = \begin{cases} (i - j + m, h, n), & \text{if } j < m, \\ (i, g \cdot h, n), & \text{if } j = m, \\ (i, g, n - m + j), & \text{if } j > m \end{cases}$$

for arbitrary $i, j, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g, h \in Z$. Since all non-idempotent elements of the semigroup $\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z})$ are isolated points in $(\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z}), \tau)$, it is sufficient to show that the semigroup operation on $(\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z}), \tau)$ is continuous in the following cases:

$$\mathbf{a)} (i, g, j) \cdot (m, e, n); \quad \mathbf{b)} (i, e, j) \cdot (m, g, n); \quad \mathbf{c)} (i, e, j) \cdot (m, e, n),$$

where e is the unity of G and $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$.

Then we have in case **a)**:

- (1) if $j < m - 1$, then $(i, g, j) \cdot (m, e, n) = (i - j + m, e, n)$ and $\{(i, g, j)\} \cdot U_{m,n}^k \subseteq U_{i-j+m,n}^k$;
- (2) if $j = m - 1$ then $(i, g, j) \cdot (m, e, n) = (i + 1, e, n)$ and $\{(i, g, j)\} \cdot U_{m,n}^k \subseteq U_{i+1,n}^{k+g}$;
- (3) if $j \geq m$, then $(i, g, j) \cdot (m, e, n) = (i, g, n - m + j)$ and $\{(i, g, j)\} \cdot U_{m,n}^k \subseteq \{(i, g, n - m + j)\}$,

in case **b)**:

- (1) if $j \leq m$, then $(i, e, j) \cdot (m, g, n) = (i - j + m, g, n)$ and $U_{i,j}^k \cdot \{(m, g, n)\} \subseteq \{(i - j + m, g, n)\}$;
- (2) if $j = m + 1$ then $(i, e, j) \cdot (m, g, n) = (i, e, n + 1)$ and $U_{i,j}^k \cdot \{(m, g, n)\} \subseteq U_{i,n+1}^{k+g}$;
- (2) if $j > m + 1$, then $(i, e, j) \cdot (m, g, n) = (i, e, n - m + j)$ and $U_{i,j}^k \cdot \{(m, g, n)\} \subseteq U_{i,n-m+j}^k$,

and in case **c)**:

- (1) if $j < m$, then $(i, e, j) \cdot (m, e, n) = (i - j + m, e, n)$ and $U_{i,j}^k \cdot U_{m,n}^l \subseteq U_{i-j+m,n}^l$;
- (2) if $j = m$, then $(i, e, j) \cdot (m, e, n) = (i, e, n)$ and $U_{i,j}^k \cdot U_{m,n}^l \subseteq U_{i,n}^{k+l}$;
- (3) if $j > m$, then $(i, e, j) \cdot (m, e, n) = (i, e, n - m + j)$ and $U_{i,j}^k \cdot U_{m,n}^l \subseteq U_{i,n-m+j}^k$,

for arbitrary integers k and l . Hence $(\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z}), \tau)$ is a topological semigroup. It is obvious that the inversion in $(\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z}), \tau)$ is not continuous.

Remark 3.8. (1) We observe that propositions similar to Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, Corollaries 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 hold for ω -bisimple (semi)topological semigroups as topological Bruck–Reilly extensions.

- (2) Example 3.7 also shows that there exists a Hausdorff locally compact zero-dimensional ω -bisimple topological semigroup S with a locally compact (discrete) maximal subgroup G such that S is not topologically isomorphic to the Bruck–Reilly extension with the product topology, and hence S is not a topological inverse semigroup.
- (3) The statement of Theorem 3.3 is true in the case when the subsemigroup $C(S) = \{(i, g, i) : i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } g \in G\}$ is weakly uniform (see [43] for the definition of a weakly uniform topological semigroup). In this case the inversion in $C(S)$ is continuous (see [14] and [15]). Hence by Proposition 2.3 we get that every \mathcal{H} -class of S is an open-and-closed subset of S . This implies that the inversion in S is continuous, too.

The following provides an example of a Hausdorff locally compact zero-dimensional I -bisimple semitopological semigroup S with continuous inversion and a locally compact (discrete) maximal subgroup G such that S is not topologically isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_W = \mathbb{Z} \times G \times \mathbb{Z}$ with the product topology, and hence S is not a topological inverse semigroup.

Example 3.9. Let Z be the additive group of integers and let $\theta : Z \rightarrow Z$ be an annihilating homomorphism.

We determine the topology τ on $\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z})$ in the following way:

- (i) all non-idempotent elements of the semigroup $\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z})$ are isolated points in $(\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z}), \tau)$; and
- (ii) the family $\mathfrak{B}_{(i,e,j)} = \{U_{i,j}^{m,n} : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where

$$U_{i,j}^{m,n} = \{(i, e, j)\} \cup \{(i - 1, k, j - 1) : k \leq -n\} \cup \{(i - 1, k, j - 1) : k \geq n\},$$

is a base of the topology τ at the point $(i, e, j) \in \mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z})$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Simple verifications show that τ is a Hausdorff locally compact zero-dimensional topology on $\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z})$. The proof of the separate continuity of semigroup operation and the continuity of inversion in $(\mathcal{B}(Z, \mathbb{Z}), \tau)$ is similar to Example 3.7.

Remark 3.10. Example 3.9 shows that there exists a Hausdorff locally compact zero-dimensional ω -bisimple semitopological semigroup S with continuous inversion and a locally compact (discrete) maximal subgroup G such that S is not topologically isomorphic to the Bruck–Reilly extension with the product topology, and hence S is not a topological inverse semigroup.

Acknowledgements. The research of the third-named author was carried out with the support of the Estonian Science Foundation and co-funded by Marie Curie Actions, Postdoctoral Research Grant ERMOS36. Her research was also partially supported by Estonian Targeted Financing Project SF0180039s08.

The authors are grateful to the referee for several useful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] K. R. Ahre, *Locally compact bisimple inverse semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **22** (1981), 387–389.
- [2] K. R. Ahre, *On the closure of $B^1[0, \infty)$* , Bull. Tech. Univ. Istanbul **36** (1983), 553–562.
- [3] K. R. Ahre, *On the closure of $B^1_{[0, \infty)}$* , Semigroup Forum **28** (1984), 377–378.
- [4] K. R. Ahre, *On the closure of $B^1_{[0, \infty)}$* , Semigroup Forum **33** (1986), 269–272.
- [5] K. R. Ahre, *On the closure of $B^2_{[0, \infty)}$* , Bull. Tech. Univ. Istanbul **42** (1989), 387–390.
- [6] O. Andersen, *Ein Bericht über die Struktur abstrakter Halbgruppen*, PhD Thesis, Hamburg, 1952.
- [7] L. W. Anderson, R. P. Hunter, and R. J. Koch, *Some results on stability in semigroups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 521–529.
- [8] T. Banakh, S. Dimitrova, and O. Gutik, *The Rees-Suschkiewitsch Theorem for simple topological semigroups*, Mat. Stud. **31** (2009), 211–218.
- [9] T. Banakh, S. Dimitrova, and O. Gutik, *Embedding the bicyclic semigroup into countably compact topological semigroups*, Topology Appl. **157** (2010), 2803–2814.
- [10] M. O. Bertman and T. T. West, *Conditionally compact bicyclic semitopological semigroups*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. **A76**:21–23 (1976), 219–226.
- [11] R. H. Bruck, *A survey of binary systems*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. Neue Folge, Heft **20**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Göttingen – Heidelberg, 1958.
- [12] J. H. Carruth, J. A. Hildebrandt, and R. J. Koch, *The Theory of Topological Semigroups*, Vol. I, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1983; Vol. II, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1986.
- [13] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol. I., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1961; Vol. II., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1967.
- [14] V. V. Demenchuk, *Inverse topological semigroups with a discrete subsemi group of idempotents*, Izv. Akad. Nauk BSSR, Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk **6** (1970), 47–49. (Russian)
- [15] V. V. Demenchuk, *On the theory of regular weakly uniform semigroups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk BSSR **15(7)** (1971), 573–574. (Russian)
- [16] C. Eberhart and J. Selden, *On the closure of the bicyclic semigroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (1969), 115–126.
- [17] R. Ellis, *Locally compact transformation groups*, Duke Math. J. **24** (1957), 119–125.
- [18] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, 2nd ed., Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [19] I. R. Fihel and O. Gutik, *On the closure of the extended bicyclic semigroup*, Carpathian Math. Publ. **3** (2011), 131–157.
- [20] V. A. Fortunatov, *Congruences on simple extensions of semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **13** (1977), 283–295.
- [21] G. Gierz, K. H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. W. Mislove, and D. S. Scott, *Continuous Lattices and Domains*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [22] O. V. Gutik, *Embeddings of topological semigroups*, Mat. Stud. **3** (1994), 10–14. (Russian)
- [23] O. V. Gutik, *Any topological semigroup topologically isomorphically embeds into a simple path-connected topological semigroup*, Algebra and Topology, Lviv University Press (1996), 65–73. (Ukrainian)
- [24] O. V. Gutik, *On a coarsening of a direct sum topology on the Bruck semigroup*, Visnyk Lviv Univ. Ser. Mech. Math. **47** (1997), 17–21. (Ukrainian)
- [25] O. V. Gutik and K. P. Pavlyk, *Bruck-Reilly extension of a semitopological semigroups*, Applied Probl. Mech. Math. **7** (2009), 66–72.

- [26] O. Gutik and D. Repovš, *On countably compact 0-simple topological inverse semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **75** (2007), 464–469.
- [27] J. A. Hildebrant and R. J. Koch, *Swelling actions of Γ -compact semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **33** (1988), 65–85.
- [28] J. W. Hogan, *Homomorphisms of ω^n -bisimple semigroups*, J. Natur. Sci. Math. **12** (1972), 159–167.
- [29] J. W. Hogan, *Homomorphisms and congruences on ω^α -bisimple semigroups*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **15** (1973), 441–460.
- [30] J. W. Hogan, *The α -bicyclic semigroup as a topological semigroup*, Semigroup Forum **28** (1984), 265–271.
- [31] J. W. Hogan, *Hausdorff topologies on the α -bicyclic semigroup*, Semigroup Forum **36** (1987), 189–209.
- [32] J. M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series **12**, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [33] R. Korkmaz, *On the closure of $B_{(-\infty, \infty)}^2$* , Semigroup Forum **54** (1997), 166–174.
- [34] R. Korkmaz, *Dense inverse subsemigroups of a topological inverse semigroup*, Semigroup Forum **78** (2009), 528–535.
- [35] K. Pavlyk, *Topological Bruck-Reilly extensions of topological semigroups*, Appl. Problems Mech. Math. **6** (2008), 38–47. (Ukrainian)
- [36] M. Petrich, *Inverse Semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
- [37] N. R. Reilly, *Bisimple ω -semigroups*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **7** (1966), 160–169.
- [38] W. Ruppert, *Compact Semitopological Semigroups: An Intrinsic Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1079**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [39] A. A. Selden, *Bisimple ω -semigroups in the locally compact setting*, Bogazici Univ. J. Sci. Math. **3** (1975), 15–77.
- [40] A. A. Selden, *On the closure of bisimple ω -semigroup*, Semigroup Forum **12** (1976), 373–379.
- [41] A. A. Selden, *The kernel of the determining endomorphism of a bisimple ω -semigroup*, Semigroup Forum **14** (1977), 265–271.
- [42] A. A. Selden, *A non locally compact nondiscrete topology for the α -bicyclic semigroup*, Semigroup Forum **31** (1985), 372–374.
- [43] L. B. Šneperman, *On the theory of characters of locally bicomact topological semigroups*, Matem. Sb. **77** (1968), 508–532 (Russian), English translation in: Math. USSR Sb. **6** (1968), 471–492.
- [44] R. J. Warne, *I-bisimple semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (1968), 367–386.

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV, UNIVERSYTETSKA 1, LVIV, 79000, UKRAINE

E-mail address: figel.irynga@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV, UNIVERSYTETSKA 1, LVIV, 79000, UKRAINE

E-mail address: o.gutik@franko.lviv.ua, ovgutik@yahoo.com

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TARTU, J. LIIVI 2, 50409, TARTU, ESTONIA

E-mail address: kateryna.pavlyk@ut.ee