

Slight extensions of some theorems on the rate of pointwise approximation of functions from some subclasses of L^p

XHEVAT Z. KRASNIQI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove some results on the rate of pointwise approximation of functions by means of some matrix transformations related to the partial sums of a Fourier series, removing the assumptions that entries of the considered matrix belong to the classes *RBVS* or *HBVS*. In fact, with weaker assumptions, our results give better degrees than those obtained previously. Moreover, some results that have been obtained earlier follow from our results as special cases. Finally, we present some theorems of such type involving the so-called γ *RBVS* or γ *HBVS* classes of numerical sequences.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let L^p ($1 < p < +\infty$) be the class of all 2π -periodic real-valued functions integrable in the Lebesgue sense with p -th power over $T := [-\pi, \pi]$ with the norm

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_{L^p} = \left(\int_T |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

Consider its trigonometric Fourier series

$$Sf(x) := \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (a_{\nu} \cos \nu x + b_{\nu} \sin \nu x)$$

and the conjugate one

$$\tilde{S}f(x) := \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (b_{\nu} \cos \nu x - a_{\nu} \sin \nu x)$$

Received August 13, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42A24, 41A25.

Key words and phrases. A-transformation, degree of approximation, Fourier series, conjugate function.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.12697/ACUTM.2013.17.08>

with their partial sums $S_k f$ and $\tilde{S}_k f$, respectively. It is a well-known fact that if $f \in L$, then

$$\tilde{f}(x) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \psi_x(t) \cot \frac{t}{2} dt = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{f}(x, \varepsilon),$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(x, \varepsilon) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\varepsilon^\pi \psi_x(t) \cot \frac{t}{2} dt$$

with

$$\psi_x(t) := f(x+t) - f(x-t),$$

exists for almost all x (see, e.g., [10], Theorem (3.1), Chapter IV).

Let $A := (a_{n,k})$ be a lower triangular infinite matrix of real numbers such that

$$a_{n,k} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} = 1, \quad (k, n = 0, 1, \dots),$$

and let the A -transformations of $\{S_k f\}$ and $\{\tilde{S}_k f\}$ be given by

$$T_{n,A}(f; x) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} S_k(f; x) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots)$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_{n,A}(f; x) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \tilde{S}_k(f; x) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots),$$

respectively.

The estimates of the deviation $\tilde{T}_{n,A}(f) - \tilde{f}$ were obtained by K. Qureshi [7, 8] for monotonic sequences $\{a_{n,k}\}$. This deviation was estimated in the norm of L^p by S. Lal and H. Nigam [2], while later on their result was generalized by M. L. Mittal, B. E. Rhoades, and V. N. Mishra [6]. Recently W. Lenski and B. Szal [5] considered the same deviation and additionally the deviations $\tilde{T}_{n,A}f(\cdot) - \tilde{f}\left(\cdot, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)$ and $T_{n,A}(f) - f$ in the case when the sequence $\{a_{n,k}\}$ is of Rest Bounded Variation or of Head Bounded Variation. Also some results of this type are obtained very recently in [1].

A sequence $\mathbf{c} := \{c_n\}$ of nonnegative numbers tending to zero is called of Rest Bounded Variation, or briefly $\mathbf{c} \in RBVS$, if it has the property

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |c_n - c_{n+1}| \leq K(\mathbf{c})c_m$$

for all natural numbers m , where $K(\mathbf{c})$ is a constant depending only on \mathbf{c} .

A sequence $\mathbf{c} := \{c_n\}$ of nonnegative numbers will be called of Head Bounded Variation, or briefly $\mathbf{c} \in HBVS$, if it has the property

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} |c_n - c_{n+1}| \leq K(\mathbf{c})c_m$$

for all natural numbers m , or only for all $m \leq N$ if the sequence \mathbf{c} has only finitely many nonzero terms, and the last nonzero term is c_N .

As a measure of approximation W. Lenski and B. Szal used the generalized moduli of continuity of f in the space L^p defined for $\beta \geq 0$ by

$$\tilde{\omega}_\beta f(\delta)_{L^p} := \sup_{0 \leq |t| \leq \delta} \left\{ \left| \sin \frac{t}{2} \right|^{\beta p} \int_0^\pi |\psi_x(t)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p},$$

$$\omega_\beta f(\delta)_{L^p} := \sup_{0 \leq |t| \leq \delta} \left\{ \left| \sin \frac{t}{2} \right|^{\beta p} \int_0^\pi |\varphi_x(t)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p},$$

where

$$\varphi_x(t) := f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x).$$

Also they defined two subclasses of L^p class as follows.

Let ω be a function of modulus of continuity type on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$, i.e. a nondecreasing continuous function having the following properties: $\omega(0) = 0$, $\omega(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \leq \omega(\delta_1) + \omega(\delta_2)$ for any $0 \leq \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq 2\pi$.

Then the above-mentioned classes are

$$L^p(\tilde{\omega})_\beta = \{f \in L^p : \tilde{\omega}_\beta f(\delta)_{L^p} \leq \tilde{\omega}(\delta)\},$$

$$L^p(\omega)_\beta = \{f \in L^p : \omega_\beta f(\delta)_{L^p} \leq \omega(\delta)\},$$

where ω and $\tilde{\omega}$ are some functions of modulus of continuity type.

Using the notation

$$a_n = \begin{cases} a_{n,0} & \text{when } \{a_{n,k}\} \in RBVS, \\ a_{n,n} & \text{when } \{a_{n,k}\} \in HBVS, \end{cases}$$

W. Lenski and B. Szal [5] have proved the following three theorems.

Theorem 1. Let $f \in L^p(\tilde{\omega})_\beta$ with $\beta < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, $\{a_{n,k}\} \in HBVS$ (or $\{a_{n,k}\} \in RBVS$) and let $\tilde{\omega}$ be such that

$$\left(\int_0^{\pi/(n+1)} \left(\frac{t|\psi_x(t)|}{\tilde{\omega}(t)} \right)^p \sin^{\beta p} \frac{t}{2} dt \right)^{1/p} = O_x((n+1)^{-1}) \quad (1)$$

and

$$\left(\int_{\pi/(n+1)}^\pi \left(\frac{t^{-\gamma}|\psi_x(t)|}{\tilde{\omega}(t)} \right)^p \sin^{\beta p} \frac{t}{2} dt \right)^{1/p} = O_x((n+1)^\gamma) \quad (2)$$

hold with $0 < \gamma < \beta + \frac{1}{p}$. Then

$$\left| \tilde{T}_{n,A}f(x) - \tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right| = O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}+1} a_n \tilde{\omega} \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right)$$

for considered x .

Theorem 2. Let $f \in L^p(\tilde{\omega})_\beta$ with $\beta < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, $\{a_{n,k}\} \in HBVS$ (or $\{a_{n,k}\} \in RBVS$) and let $\tilde{\omega}$ satisfy (2) with $0 < \gamma < \beta + \frac{1}{p}$,

$$\left(\int_0^{\pi/(n+1)} \left(\frac{|\psi_x(t)|}{\tilde{\omega}(t)} \right)^p \sin^{\beta p} \frac{t}{2} dt \right)^{1/p} = O_x \left((n+1)^{-1/p} \right) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\left(\int_0^{\pi/(n+1)} \left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}(t)}{t \sin^\beta \frac{t}{2}} \right)^q dt \right)^{1/q} = O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta+1/p} \tilde{\omega} \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right), \quad (4)$$

where $q = p/(p-1)$. Then

$$\left| \tilde{T}_{n,A}f(x) - \tilde{f}(x) \right| = O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}+1} a_n \tilde{\omega} \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right)$$

for considered x such that $\tilde{f}(x)$ exists.

Theorem 3. Let $f \in L^p(\omega)_\beta$ with $\beta < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, $\{a_{n,k}\} \in HBVS$ (or $\{a_{n,k}\} \in RBVS$) and let $\tilde{\omega}$ satisfy

$$\left(\int_{\pi/(n+1)}^\pi \left(\frac{t^{-\gamma} |\varphi_x(t)|}{\omega(t)} \right)^p \sin^{\beta p} \frac{t}{2} dt \right)^{1/p} = O_x \left((n+1)^\gamma \right) \quad (5)$$

with $0 < \gamma < \beta + \frac{1}{p}$,

$$\left(\int_0^{\pi/(n+1)} \left(\frac{|\varphi_x(t)|}{\omega(t)} \right)^p \sin^{\beta p} \frac{t}{2} dt \right)^{1/p} = O_x \left((n+1)^{-1/p} \right), \quad (6)$$

and

$$\left(\int_0^{\pi/(n+1)} \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{t \sin^\beta \frac{t}{2}} \right)^q dt \right)^{1/q} = O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta+1/p} \omega \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right), \quad (7)$$

where $q = p/(p-1)$. Then

$$\left| T_{n,A}f(x) - f(x) \right| = O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}+1} a_n \omega \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right)$$

for considered x .

The aim of the present paper is to prove the counterparts of the above results (Theorems 1–3) without assuming that $\{a_{n,k}\} \in HBVS$ or $\{a_{n,k}\} \in RBVS$.

Throughout this paper we write $u = O(v)$ if there exists a positive constant C such that $u \leq Cv$.

2. Helpful lemmas

To prove the main results we need some auxiliary statements. Also we shall use the following equalities from [5]:

$$T_{n,A}f(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi_x(t) \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} D_k(t) dt,$$

$$\tilde{T}_{n,A}f(x) - \tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \psi_x(t) \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \tilde{D}_k^\circ(t) dt,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{n,A}f(x) - \tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/(n+1)} \psi_x(t) \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \tilde{D}_k(t) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^\pi \psi_x(t) \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \tilde{D}_k^\circ(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{D}_k^\circ(t) = \frac{\cos \frac{(2k+1)t}{2}}{2 \sin \frac{t}{2}},$$

$$D_k(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{\nu=1}^k \cos \nu x = \frac{\sin \frac{(2k+1)t}{2}}{2 \sin \frac{t}{2}},$$

and

$$\tilde{D}_k(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^k \sin \nu x = \frac{\cos \frac{t}{2} - \cos \frac{(2k+1)t}{2}}{2 \sin \frac{t}{2}}.$$

Lemma 4 ([10]). *If $0 < |t| \leq \pi/2$, then*

$$|\tilde{D}_k^\circ(t)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2|t|} \quad \text{and} \quad |\tilde{D}_k(t)| \leq \frac{\pi}{|t|},$$

and for any real number t we have

$$|\tilde{D}_k(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} k(k+1)|t| \quad \text{and} \quad |\tilde{D}_k(t)| \leq k+1.$$

Lemma 5 ([10]). *If $0 < |t| \leq \pi/2$, then*

$$|D_k(t)| \leq \frac{\pi}{|t|},$$

and for any real number t we have

$$|D_k(t)| \leq k + 1.$$

Lemma 6. *For any lower triangular infinite matrix $(a_{n,k})$, $k, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, of nonnegative numbers, it holds uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$, that*

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \tilde{D}_k^\circ(t) \right| \leq O \left(\frac{1}{t^2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \right) \right).$$

Proof. Using the following equality (see [5], page 19)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=m}^n a_{n,k} \cos \frac{(2k+1)t}{2} \sin \frac{t}{2} \\ &= a_{n,m} \cos \frac{(2m+1)t}{2} \sin \frac{t}{2} \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=m+1}^{n-1} (a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}) \sin \frac{(k-m-1)t}{2} \cos \frac{(k+m+1)t}{2} \\ & \quad + a_{n,n} \sin \frac{(n-m-1)t}{2} \cos \frac{(n+m+1)t}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

we obviously obtain

$$\left| \sum_{k=m}^n a_{n,k} \cos \frac{(2k+1)t}{2} \sin \frac{t}{2} \right| \leq a_{n,m} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{n-1} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| + a_{n,n}.$$

But since $(a_{n,k})$ is a lower triangular matrix, that is, $a_{n,k} = 0$ for $k > n$, then

$$a_{nm} \leq \sum_{k=m}^n |\Delta a_{nk}|$$

holds for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Therefore from this and above we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \tilde{D}_k^\circ(t) \right| &= O \left(\frac{1}{t^2} \left(a_{n,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| + a_{n,n} \right) \right) \\ &= O \left(\frac{1}{t^2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 7. For any lower triangular infinite matrix $(a_{n,k})$, $k, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, of nonnegative numbers, it holds uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$, that

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} D_k(t) \right| \leq O \left(\frac{1}{t^2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \right) \right).$$

Proof. Analogously, we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{k=m}^n a_{n,k} \sin \frac{(2k+1)t}{2} \sin \frac{t}{2} \right| \leq a_{n,m} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{n-1} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| + a_{n,n}.$$

Using again the fact that (a_{nk}) is a lower triangular matrix, that is, $a_{n,k} = 0$ for $k > n$, we obtain that

$$a_{nm} \leq \sum_{k=m}^n |\Delta a_{nk}|$$

holds for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} D_k(t) \right| &= O \left(\frac{1}{t^2} \left(a_{n,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| + a_{n,n} \right) \right) \\ &= O \left(\frac{1}{t^2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lemma is finished. \square

3. Main results

We establish the following.

Theorem 8. Let $f \in L^p(\tilde{\omega})_\beta$ with $\beta < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, and let $\tilde{\omega}$ satisfy (1) and (2) with $0 < \gamma < \beta + \frac{1}{p}$. Then

$$\left| \tilde{T}_{n,A} f(x) - \tilde{f} \left(x, \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right| = O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p} + 1} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \tilde{\omega} \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right)$$

for considered x .

Proof. We shall follow the idea of Łenski and Szal's [5]. Starting from the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{n,A} f(x) - \tilde{f} \left(x, \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/(n+1)} \psi_x(t) \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \tilde{D}_k(t) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^\pi \psi_x(t) \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \tilde{D}_k^\circ(t) dt := R_1 + R_2, \end{aligned}$$

we clearly have

$$\left| \tilde{T}_{n,A}f(x) - \tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right| \leq |R_1| + |R_2|.$$

To estimate $|R_1|$ we use Hölder's inequality with $p + q = pq$, Lemma 4, and (1):

$$\begin{aligned} |R_1| &\leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} t |\psi_x(t)| dt \\ &\leq \frac{(n+1)^2}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} \left(\frac{t |\psi_x(t)|}{\tilde{\omega}(t)} \right)^p \sin^{\beta p} \frac{t}{2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} \left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}(t)}{\sin^{\beta} \frac{t}{2}} \right)^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= O \left((n+1) \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} \left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}(t)}{t^{\beta}} \right)^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\ &= O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p}} \tilde{\omega} \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $\beta < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$.

Now by Hölder's inequality with $p + q = pq$, Lemma 6 and (2), for $|R_2|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |R_2| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} |\psi_x(t)| \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \tilde{D}_k^{\circ}(t) \right| dt \\ &= O \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{|\psi_x(t)|}{t^2} dt \right) \\ &= O \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \left(\int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left(\frac{t^{-\gamma} |\psi_x(t)|}{\tilde{\omega}(t)} \right)^p \sin^{\beta p} \frac{t}{2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}(t)}{t^{2-\gamma} \sin^{\beta} \frac{t}{2}} \right)^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\ &= O_x \left((n+1)^{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \left(\int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}(t)}{t^{2-\gamma+\beta}} \right)^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\ &= O_x \left((n+1)^{\gamma+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \tilde{\omega} \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \left(\int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} (t^{\gamma-\beta-1})^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\ &= O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p} + 1} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \tilde{\omega} \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < \gamma < \beta + \frac{1}{p}$.

We complete the proof by combining the above estimates. \square

Theorem 9. *Let $f \in L^p(\tilde{\omega})_\beta$ with $\beta < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, and let $\tilde{\omega}$ satisfy (2), (3), and (4), with $0 < \gamma < \beta + \frac{1}{p}$ and $q = p/(p-1)$. Then*

$$\left| \tilde{T}_{n,A}f(x) - \tilde{f}(x) \right| = O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p} + 1} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \tilde{\omega} \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right)$$

for considered x such that $\tilde{f}(x)$ exists.

Proof. From the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{n,A}f(x) - \tilde{f}(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} \psi_x(t) \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \tilde{D}_k^\circ(t) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \psi_x(t) \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \tilde{D}_k^\circ(t) dt := R_1^\circ + R_2, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$|\tilde{T}_{n,A}f(x) - \tilde{f}(x)| \leq |R_1^\circ| + |R_2|.$$

For $|R_1^\circ|$ we use the estimation (see [5, p. 23])

$$|R_1^\circ| = O_x \left((n+1)^\beta \tilde{\omega} \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right),$$

while for $|R_2|$ we use the one from Theorem 8

$$|R_2| = O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p} + 1} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \tilde{\omega} \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right).$$

Estimates made for $|R_1^\circ|$ and $|R_2|$ completely verify the theorem. \square

Theorem 10. *Let $f \in L^p(\omega)_\beta$ with $\beta < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, and let $\tilde{\omega}$ satisfy (5), (6), and (7), where $q = p/(p-1)$. Then*

$$|T_{n,A}f(x) - f(x)| = O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p} + 1} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \omega \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right)$$

for considered x .

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n,A}f(x) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} \varphi_x(t) \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} D_k(t) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} D_k(t) dt := R_1^\circ + R_2^\circ, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$|T_{n,A}f(x) - f(x)| \leq |R_1^\circ| + |R_2^\circ|.$$

On one hand, in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3 using Lemmas 5, (6), and (7), we obtain

$$|R_1^\circ| = O_x \left((n+1)^\beta \omega \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right).$$

On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality with $p+q = pq$, Lemma 7, and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |R_2^\circ| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} |\varphi_x(t)| \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} D_k(t) \right| dt \\ &= O \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|}{t^2} dt \right) \\ &= O \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \left(\int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left(\frac{t^{-\gamma} |\varphi_x(t)|}{\omega(t)} \right)^p \sin^{\beta p} \frac{t}{2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{t^{2-\gamma} \sin^{\beta} \frac{t}{2}} \right)^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\ &= O_x \left((n+1)^\gamma \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \left(\int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{t^{2-\gamma+\beta}} \right)^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\ &= O_x \left((n+1)^{\gamma+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \omega \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \left(\int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} (t^{\gamma-\beta-1})^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \\ &= O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \omega \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < \gamma < \beta + \frac{1}{p}$.

This completes the proof. \square

4. Concluding remarks

Remark 1. Note that if $\mathbf{c} := \{a_{n,k}\} \in RBVS$, then the inequality

$$a_{n,n} - a_{n,0} \leq |a_{n,0} - a_{n,n}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\Delta a_{n,k}|$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\Delta a_{n,k}| + a_{n,n} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\Delta a_{n,k}| + a_{n,0} \leq 2(K(\mathbf{c}) + 1) a_{n,0}. \end{aligned}$$

Also if $\mathbf{c} : \{a_{n,k}\} \in HBVS$, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\Delta a_{n,k}| + a_{n,n} \leq 2(K(\mathbf{c}) + 1) a_{n,n}.$$

Therefore, Theorems 1–3 immediately follow from Theorems 8–10.

Remark 2. L. Leindler [4] has extended the definition of *RBVS* to the so called $\gamma RBVS$. Indeed: For a fixed n , let $\gamma_n := \{\gamma_{n,k}\}$ be a nonnegative sequence. If a null-sequence $\theta_n := \{a_{n,k}\}$ of real numbers has the property

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |\Delta a_{n,k}| \leq K(\theta_n) \gamma_{n,m}$$

for every positive integer m , then we call the sequence $\theta_n := \{a_{n,k}\}$ a $\gamma RBVS$ and denote $\theta_n \in \gamma RBVS$.

Similarly, the authors of [9] introduced a new kind of sequences as follows.

For a fixed n , let $\gamma_n := \{\gamma_{n,k}\}$ be a nonnegative sequence. If a null-sequence $\theta_n := \{a_{n,k}\}$ of real numbers has the property

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |\Delta a_{n,k}| \leq K(\theta_n) \gamma_{n,m}$$

for every positive integer m , then we call the sequence $\theta_n := \{a_{n,k}\}$ a $\gamma HBVS$ and denote $\theta_n \in \gamma HBVS$.

By an argument similar to Theorems 8–10 and using the notation

$$\gamma_n = \begin{cases} \gamma_{n,0} & \text{when } \{a_{n,k}\} \in \gamma RBVS, \\ \gamma_{n,n} & \text{when } \{a_{n,k}\} \in \gamma HBVS, \end{cases}$$

we have the following generalizations of Theorems 1–3.

Theorem 11. Let $f \in L^p(\tilde{\omega})_\beta$ with $\beta < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, $\{a_{n,k}\} \in \gamma HBVS$ (or $\{a_{n,k}\} \in \gamma RBVS$) and let $\tilde{\omega}$ satisfy (1) and (2) with $0 < \gamma < \beta + \frac{1}{p}$. Then

$$\left| \tilde{T}_{n,A}f(x) - \tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right| = O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}+1} \gamma_n \tilde{\omega}\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right)$$

for considered x .

Theorem 12. Let $f \in L^p(\tilde{\omega})_\beta$ with $\beta < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, $\{a_{n,k}\} \in \gamma HBVS$ (or $\{a_{n,k}\} \in \gamma RBVS$) and let $\tilde{\omega}$ satisfy (2), (3), and (4), with $0 < \gamma < \beta + \frac{1}{p}$ and $q = p/(p-1)$. Then

$$\left| \tilde{T}_{n,A}f(x) - \tilde{f}(x) \right| = O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}+1} \gamma_n \tilde{\omega}\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right)$$

for considered x such that $\tilde{f}(x)$ exists.

Theorem 13. Let $f \in L^p(\omega)_\beta$ with $\beta < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, $\{a_{n,k}\} \in \gamma HBVS$ (or $\{a_{n,k}\} \in \gamma RBVS$) and let $\tilde{\omega}$ satisfy (5), (6), and (7), where $q = p/(p-1)$. Then

$$\left| T_{n,A}f(x) - f(x) \right| = O_x \left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{p}+1} \gamma_n \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right)$$

for considered x .

Remark 3. If $\gamma_n = \theta_n$, then clearly $\gamma HBVS \equiv HBVS$ and $\gamma RBVS \equiv RBVS$. Therefore Theorems 1–3 are also special cases of Theorems 11–13.

Remark 4. If we consider the L^p norms of the above-discussed deviations, we can obtain the same estimations without any difficulty.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank the anonymous referee for her/his suggestions which obviously improved the final form of the paper.

References

- [1] R. Kranz, W. Lenski, and B. Szal, *On the degrees of approximation of functions belonging to $L^p(\tilde{\omega})_\beta$ class by matrix means of conjugate Fourier series*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **15**, (2012), 717–732.
- [2] S. Lal and H. K. Nigam, *Degree of approximation of conjugate of a function belonging to $Lip(\xi(t), p)$ class by matrix summability means of conjugate Fourier series*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **27** (2001), 555–563.
- [3] L. Leindler, *On the degree of approximation of continuous functions*, Acta Math. Hungar. **104** (2004), 105–113.
- [4] L. Leindler, *Embedding results regarding strong approximation of sine series*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **71** (2005), 91–103.
- [5] W. Lenski and B. Szal, *Approximation of functions belonging to the class $L^p(\omega)_\beta$ by linear operators*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. Math. **13** (2009), 11–24.

- [6] M. L. Mittal, B. E. Rhoades, and V. N. Mishra, *Approximation of signals (functions) belonging to the weighted $W(L_p, \xi(t))$ -class by linear operators*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. (2006), Article ID 53538, 10 pp.
- [7] K. Qureshi, *On the degree of approximation of functions belonging to the Lipschitz class by means of a conjugate series*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **12** (1981), 1120–1123.
- [8] K. Qureshi, *On the degree of approximation of functions belonging to the $Lip(\alpha, p)$ class by means of a conjugate series*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **13** (1982), 560–563.
- [9] B. Wei and D. Yu, *On the degree of approximation of continuous functions by means of Fourier series*, Math. Commun. **17** (2012), 211–219.
- [10] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

UNIVERSITY OF PRISHTINA, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
AND INFORMATICS, AVENUE “MOTHER TERESA”, PRISHTINË 10000, REPUBLIC OF KOSOVA
E-mail address: `xhevat.krasniqi@uni-pr.edu`