Approximation of periodic integrable functions in terms of modulus of continuity

UADAY SINGH AND SOSHAL

ABSTRACT. We estimate the pointwise approximation of periodic functions belonging to $L^{p}(\omega)_{\beta}$ -class, where ω is an integral modulus of continuity type function associated with f, using product means of the Fourier series of f generated by the product of two general linear operators. We also discuss the case p = 1 separately. This case has not been mentioned in the earlier results given by various authors. The deviations obtained in our theorems are free from p and more sharper than the earlier results.

1. Introduction

Let f be a 2π periodic function belonging to the space $L^p := L^p[0, 2\pi]$ $(p \ge 1)$. The trigonometric Fourier series of f is defined as

$$f(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx).$$
 (1)

The (k+1)th partial sum of the Fourier series (1),

$$s_0(f;x) := \frac{a_0}{2}, \ \ s_k(f;x) := \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{\nu=1}^k (a_\nu \cos \nu x + b_\nu \sin \nu x), \ \ \ k \in \mathbb{N},$$

is called the trigonometric polynomial of degree or order k (see [7]).

Let $T \equiv (a_{n,k})$ be a lower triangular matrix. Then the sequence to sequence transformation

$$t_n(f;x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} s_k(f;x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

Received February 13, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42A10.

Key words and phrases. Degree of approximation, product means, $L^p(\omega)_\beta$ -class. http://dx.doi.org/10.12697/ACUTM.2016.20.03

defines the matrix means of $\{s_n(f;x)\}$. The Fourier series (1) is said to be

summable to s by T-means, if $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n(f;x) = s$, where s is a finite number. Let $A \equiv (a_{n,m})$ and $B \equiv (b_{n,m})$ be infinite lower triangular matrices of real numbers such that

$$A(\text{or }B) = \begin{cases} a_{n,m}(\text{or }b_{n,m}) \ge 0, & m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ a_{n,m}(\text{or }b_{n,m}) = 0, & m > n, \end{cases}$$
$$\sum_{m=0}^{n} a_{n,m} = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_{n,m} = 1, \text{ where } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

and let

$$A_{n,r} = \sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{n,m} \text{ and } \bar{A}_{n,r} = \sum_{m=r}^{n} a_{n,m},$$
$$B_{n,r} = \sum_{m=0}^{r} b_{n,m} \text{ and } \bar{B}_{n,r} = \sum_{m=r}^{n} b_{n,m},$$

so that $A_{n,n} = B_{n,n} = 1 = \overline{A}_{n,0} = \overline{B}_{n,0}$.

When we superimpose the *B*-summability on *A*-summability, we get $B \cdot A$ means of $\{s_k(f;x)\}$ defined by (see [1, 4])

$$t_n^{B \cdot A}(f;x) = \sum_{m=0}^n b_{n,m} \left(\sum_{k=0}^m a_{m,k} s_k(f;x) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m b_{n,m} a_{m,k} s_k(f;x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(2)

We write $(B \cdot A)_n(t)$ as

$$(B \cdot A)_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m b_{n,m} a_{m,k} \frac{\sin(k+1/2)t}{\sin(t/2)},$$

and we also write

$$\phi(t) \equiv \phi(x,t) := f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x), \quad x \in [0,2\pi], \ t \in [0,\pi].$$

The L^p norm of $f \in L^p[0, 2\pi]$ is defined by

$$||f||_{p} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p}, & 1 \le p < \infty, \\ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} |f(x)|, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

The degree of approximation $E_n(f)$ of a function $f \in L^p$ by a trigonometric polynomial $T_n(x)$ of degree n is given by

$$E_n(f) = \min_{T_n} \parallel f(x) - T_n(x) \parallel_p.$$

This method of approximation is called the trigonometric Fourier approximation.

Lenski and Szal [3] defined the generalized modulus of continuity of f in L^p by

$$\omega_{\beta}f(\delta)_{L^{p}} = \sup_{0 \le |t| \le \delta} \left\{ \left| \sin \frac{t}{2} \right|^{\beta p} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |\phi(t)|^{p} dx \right\}^{1/p}, \quad \beta \ge 0$$

and a subclass $L^p(\omega)_\beta$ of L^p -class as

$$L^{p}(\omega)_{\beta} = \{ f \in L^{p} \colon \omega_{\beta} f(\delta)_{L^{p}} \le \omega(\delta) \},\$$

where ω is a function of modulus of continuity type on $[0, 2\pi]$, i.e., ω is a nondecreasing continuous function having the properties

 $\omega(0) = 0, \ \omega(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \le \omega(\delta_1) + \omega(\delta_2), \quad 0 \le \delta_1 \le \delta_2 \le \delta_1 + \delta_2 \le 2\pi.$

We write $K_1 \ll K_2$ if there exists a positive constant C (it may depend on some parameters) such that $K_1 \leq CK_2$.

2. Known results

The product summability means of Fourier series have been considered in various directions, for example, Mittal [5, Theorem 1] has estimated the deviation $t_n^{B\cdot A}(f;.) - f(.)$ pointwise with lower triangular infinite matrix Bdefined by

$$b_{n,m} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1}, & 0 \le m \le n, \\ 0, & m > n. \end{cases}$$

This matrix corresponds to the Cesàro summability of order 1 and is denoted by C^1 . He also discussed the (F_1) -effectiveness of $C^1 \cdot A$ method. Lenski and Szal [4, Theorem 2] have extended the results of Mittal [5] to more general means $B \cdot A$, and proved their results in terms of moduli of continuity. They proved the following result:

$$\left|t_n^{B\cdot A}f(x) - f(x)\right| \ll \sum_{m=0}^n b_{n,m} \left[\frac{1}{m+1}\sum_{k=0}^m \omega_x f\left(\frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)\right]$$

for every natural number n and all real x, where

$$\omega_x f(\delta) = \sup_{0 \le t \le \delta} \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \phi(x, u) du \right|,$$

known as the integral modulus of continuity of f.

Lenski and Szal [3] defined the class $L^p(\omega)_\beta$ and proved their results by using the sequences $\alpha_n = (a_{n,k})_{k=0}^n$ of rest bounded variation (*RBVS*) or head bounded variation (*HBVS*). They estimated the pointwise deviation as follows (see [3, Theorem 3]):

$$|T_{n,A}f(x) - f(x)| = O_x\left((n+1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p} + 1} a_n \ \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right),$$

where

$$a_n = \begin{cases} a_{n,0} & \text{if } \{a_{n,k}\} \in RBVS, \\ a_{n,n} & \text{if } \{a_{n,k}\} \in HBVS. \end{cases}$$

Recently, Krasniqi [2, Theorem 10] used the lower triangular infinite matrix $A \equiv (a_{n,k})$ with $a_{n,m} \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n} |\Delta a_{n,k}|$, and proved his result in the same class $L^{p}(\omega)_{\beta}$ as follows:

$$|T_{n,A}f(x) - f(x)| = O_x\left((n+1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p} + 1} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta a_{n,k}| \ \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right).$$

Clearly, in these results, the error of approximation depends on p. Further, very recently, Singh and Srivastava [6, Theorem 2.2] obtained the degree of approximation of functions belonging to weighted Lipschitz class $W(L^p, \xi(t), \beta)$ by $C^1 \cdot A$ means of its Fourier series; their result is given as

$$||t_n^{C^{1} \cdot A}(f;x) - f(x)||_p = O((n+1)^{\beta} \omega(1/(n+1))),$$

where $\omega(t)$ is a positive increasing function. We note that deviation in this result is free from p.

3. Main results

In this paper, we extend the results of Krasniqi [2] to the product means defined in (2). More precisely, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in L^p(\omega)_\beta$ with $0 < \beta < 1 - 1/p$, p > 1, and the entries of the lower triangular matrices $A \equiv (a_{n,k})$ and $B \equiv (b_{n,k})$ satisfy the conditions

$$b_{n,n} \ll \frac{1}{n+1}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{3}$$

$$|b_{n,m}a_{m,0} - b_{n,m+1}a_{m+1,1}| \ll \frac{b_{n,m}}{(m+1)^2}, \quad 0 \le m \le n-1,$$
(4)

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |(b_{n,m}a_{m,m-k} - b_{n,m+1}a_{m+1,m+1-k}) - (b_{n,m}a_{m,m-k-1} - b_{n,m+1}a_{m+1,m-k})|$$

$$\ll \frac{b_{n,m}}{(m+1)^2}, \quad 0 \le m \le n-1,$$
(5)

with $A_{n,n} = B_{n,n} = 1$ for n = 0, 1, 2, ... Then the degree of approximation of f by $B \cdot A$ means of its Fourier series is given by

$$\left|t_{n}^{B\cdot A}(f;x) - f(x)\right| = O_{x}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{b_{n,m}}{m+1}(n+1)^{\beta+1}\omega(1/(n+1))\right),$$

provided that the positive nondecreasing function ω satisfies the conditions

$$\omega(t)/t$$
 is a decreasing function, (6)

$$\left\{\int_{0}^{\pi/(n+1)} \left(\frac{|\phi(t)|\sin^{\beta}(t/2)}{\omega(t)}\right)^{p} dt\right\}^{1/p} = O_{x}\left((n+1)^{-1/p}\right), \qquad (7)$$

$$\left\{\int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} \left(t^{-\gamma} \frac{|\phi(t)| \sin^{\beta}(t/2)}{\omega(t)}\right)^{p} dt\right\}^{1/p} = O_{x}\left((n+1)^{\gamma-1/p}\right), \quad (8)$$

where γ is an arbitrary number such that $1/p < \gamma < \beta + 1/p$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$.

Note 3.2. The condition (6) implies that

$$\frac{\omega(\pi/(n+1))}{\pi/(n+1)} \le \frac{\omega(1/(n+1))}{1/(n+1)}, \quad i.e., \ \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) = O\left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right).$$

In the proof of above theorem given in Section 5 we use Hölder's inequality for p > 1. Therefore, the proof is not applicable for p = 1. Moreover, for p = 1, the number β becomes negative. Thus, for p = 1, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in L^1(\omega)_\beta$ with $0 < \beta < 1$ and the entries of the lower triangular matrices A and B satisfy the conditions (3)-(5) with $A_{n,n} = B_{n,n} = 1$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Then the degree of approximation of f by $B \cdot A$ means of its Fourier series is given by

$$\left|t_{n}^{B\cdot A}(f;x) - f(x)\right| = O_{x}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{b_{n,m}}{m+1}(n+1)^{\beta+1}\omega(1/(n+1))\right),$$

provided that the positive nondecreasing function ω satisfies (6) and the conditions

$$\omega(t)/t^{\beta}$$
 is a non-decreasing function, (9)

$$\int_0^{\pi/(n+1)} \frac{|\phi(t)| \sin^\beta(t/2)}{\omega(t)} dt = O_x\left((n+1)^{-1}\right),\tag{10}$$

$$\int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} t^{-\gamma} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{\omega(t)} dt = O_x \left((n+1)^{\gamma-1} \right), \tag{11}$$

where γ is an arbitrary number such that $1 < \gamma < \beta + 1$ and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$.

4. Lemmas

We need the following lemmas for proving our theorems.

Lemma 4.1 (see [4]). If the conditions (4) and (5) hold, then

$$|b_{n,r}a_{r,r-l} - b_{n,r+1}a_{r+1,r+1-l}| \ll \frac{b_{n,r}}{(r+1)^2}, \quad 0 \le l \le r-1 \le n-2.$$

For the proof, we refer to [4, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 4.2. If the matrices A and B satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1, then

$$|(B \cdot A)_n(t)| = O(n+1), \quad 0 < t \le \pi/(n+1).$$

Proof. Since $1/\sin(t/2) = O(\pi/t)$ and $0 \le \sin(nt) \le nt$, for $0 < t \le \pi/(n+1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(B \cdot A)_{n}(t)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{m} b_{n,m} a_{m,k} \frac{\sin(k+1/2)t}{\sin(t/2)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{m} b_{n,m} a_{m,k} \left| \frac{\sin(k+1/2)t}{\sin(t/2)} \right| \\ &= O\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{m} b_{n,m} a_{m,k} \frac{(k+1)t}{t} \right) \\ &= O\left(\left((n+1) \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_{n,m} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{m,k} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= O\left(\left((n+1) \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_{n,m} A_{m,m} \right) \right) \\ &= O\left(((n+1)B_{n,n}) = O((n+1), \end{aligned}$$

because $A_{n,n} = B_{n,n} = 1$.

Lemma 4.3. If the matrices A and B satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1, then

$$|(B \cdot A)_n(t)| = O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\left(\sum_{m=0}^n \frac{b_{n,m}}{m+1} + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right), \quad \pi/(n+1) < t \le \pi.$$

Proof. Since $1/\sin(t/2) = O(\pi/t)$ for $\pi/(n+1) < t \le \pi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(B \cdot A)_n(t)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m b_{n,m} a_{m,k} \frac{\sin(k+1/2)t}{\sin(t/2)} \right| \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \left| \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m b_{n,m} a_{m,k} \sin(k+1/2)t \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using Abel's transformation after changing the order of summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{m} b_{n,m} a_{m,k} \sin(k+1/2) t \right| \\ &= \left| \left| \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{m} b_{n,m} a_{m,m-k} \sin(m-k+1/2) t \right| \\ &= \left| \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[\sum_{m=k}^{n-1} (b_{n,m} a_{m,m-k} - b_{n,m+1} a_{m+1,m+1-k}) \sum_{l=m}^{k} \sin(l-k+1/2) t \right] \right| \\ &+ b_{n,n} a_{n,n-k} \sum_{l=k}^{n} \sin(l-k+1/2) t \right] \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{m} |b_{n,m} a_{m,m-k} - b_{n,m+1} a_{m+1,m+1-k}| \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n,n} a_{n,n-k} \right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \left[\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |b_{n,m} a_{m,m-k} - b_{n,m+1} a_{m+1,m+1-k}| + b_{n,n} \\ &+ \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} |b_{n,m} a_{m,0} - b_{n,m+1} a_{m+1,1}| \right] \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \left[\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} m \cdot \frac{b_{n,m}}{(m+1)^2} + b_{n,n} + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_{n,m}}{(m+1)^2} \right] \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \left[\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{b_{n,m}}{(m+1)} + \frac{1}{(n+1)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

in view of Lemma 4.1, conditions (3) and (4), and $A_{n,n} = 1$. Hence

$$|(B \cdot A)_n(t)| = O\left(\frac{1}{t^2} \left(\sum_{m=0}^n \frac{b_{n,m}}{m+1} + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right).$$

5. Proofs of main results

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By using the integral representation of $s_k(f; x)$, we have

$$s_k(f;x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \frac{\sin(k+1/2)t}{\sin(t/2)} dt.$$

From (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} t_n^{B \cdot A}(f;x) - f(x) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m b_{n,m} a_{m,k} (s_k(f;x) - f(x)) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m b_{n,m} a_{m,k} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \frac{\sin(k+1/2)t}{\sin(t/2)} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m b_{n,m} a_{m,k} \frac{\sin(k+1/2)t}{\sin(t/2)} dt \\ &= \int_0^\pi \phi(t) (B \cdot A)_n(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\pi/(n+1)} \phi(t) (B \cdot A)_n(t) dt + \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^\pi \phi(t) (B \cdot A)_n(t) dt \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$
(12)

Now, using Lemma 4.2, the equality $1/\sin(t/2) = O(\pi/t)$ for $0 < t \le \pi/(n+1)$, and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{1}| &\leq \int_{0}^{\pi/(n+1)} |\phi(t)(B \cdot A)_{n}(t)| \, dt = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi/(n+1)} |\phi(t)|| (B \cdot A)_{n}(t)| \, dt \\ &= O\left(\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi/(n+1)} \frac{|\phi(t)| \sin^{\beta}(t/2)}{\omega(t)} \cdot \frac{(n+1)\omega(t)}{\sin^{\beta}(t/2)} \, dt\right) \\ &= O\left[(n+1) \left\{ \int_{0}^{\pi/(n+1)} \left(\frac{|\phi(t)| \sin^{\beta}(t/2)}{\omega(t)} \right)^{p} \, dt \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi/(n+1)} \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{\sin^{\beta}(t/2)} \right)^{q} \, dt \right\}^{1/q} \right] \\ &= O_{x} \left[(n+1)^{1-1/p} \omega(\pi/(n+1)) \left\{ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi/(n+1)} t^{-q\beta} \, dt \right\}^{1/q} \right] \\ &= O_{x} \left[(n+1)^{1-1/p} \omega(\pi/(n+1))(n+1)^{\beta-1/q} \right] \\ &= O_{x} \left(\omega(\pi/(n+1))(n+1)^{\beta+1-1/p-1/q} \right) \\ &= O_{x} \left(\omega(\pi/(n+1))(n+1)^{\beta} \right), \end{aligned}$$

in view of condition (7), mean value theorem for integrals, $0 < \beta < 1 - 1/p$, and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$.

Again, by Lemma 4.3, the equality $1/{\sin(t/2)} = O\left(\pi/t\right)$ for $\pi/(n+1) < t \le \pi,$ and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} |\phi(t)(B \cdot A)_n(t)| \, dt \\ &= \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^2(n+1)} dt + \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^2} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_{n,m}}{(m+1)} dt \\ &= I_{21} + I_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{split} I_{21} &= O\left[\int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^{2}(n+1)} dt\right] \\ &= O\left[(n+1)^{-1} \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} \frac{t^{-\gamma}|\phi(t)|\sin^{\beta}(t/2)}{\omega(t)} \cdot \frac{\omega(t)}{t^{-\gamma+2}\sin^{\beta}(t/2)} dt\right] \\ &= O\left[(n+1)^{-1} \left\{\int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} \left(\frac{t^{-\gamma}|\phi(t)|\sin^{\beta}(t/2)}{\omega(t)}\right)^{p} dt\right\}^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left\{\int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{t^{-\gamma+2}\sin^{\beta}(t/2)}\right)^{q} dt\right\}^{1/q}\right] \\ &= O_{x}\left[(n+1)^{\gamma-1/p-1} \left\{\int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{t}t^{-(-\gamma+\beta+1)}\right)^{q} dt\right\}^{1/q}\right] \\ &= O_{x}\left[(n+1)^{\gamma-1/p-1}\omega(\pi/(n+1))(n+1)\left\{\int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi}t^{-q(-\gamma+\beta+1)} dt\right\}^{1/q}\right] \\ &= O_{x}\left[(n+1)^{\gamma-1/p}\omega(\pi/(n+1))(n+1)^{-\gamma+\beta+1-1/q}\right] \\ &= O_{x}\left[(n+1)^{\gamma-1/p}\omega(\pi/(n+1))(n+1)^{\beta}\right], \end{split}$$

and, similarly,

$$I_{22} = O\left[\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{b_{n,m}}{(m+1)} \left\{ \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} \left(\frac{t^{-\gamma} |\phi(t)| \sin^{\beta}(t/2)}{\omega(t)} \right)^{p} dt \right\}^{1/p} \times \left\{ \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{t^{-\gamma+2} \sin^{\beta}(t/2)} \right)^{q} dt \right\}^{1/q} \right]$$

$$= O_x \left[\sum_{m=0}^n \frac{b_{n,m}}{(m+1)} (n+1)^{\gamma-1/p} \left\{ \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{t} t^{-(-\gamma+\beta+1)} \right)^q dt \right\}^{1/q} \right]$$

$$= O_x \left[\sum_{m=0}^n \frac{b_{n,m}}{(m+1)} (n+1)^{\gamma-1/p} \omega(\pi/(n+1))(n+1)(n+1)^{-\gamma+\beta+1-1/q} \right]$$

$$= O_x \left(\sum_{m=0}^n \frac{b_{n,m}}{(m+1)} \omega(\pi/(n+1))(n+1)^{\beta+1} \right),$$

in view of conditions (6) and (8), mean value theorem for integrals, $1/p < \gamma < \beta + 1/p$, and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$.

Further, we have

$$(n+1)^{\beta}\omega(\pi/(n+1)) + \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{b_{n,m}}{m+1}(n+1)^{\beta+1}\omega(\pi/(n+1))$$

 $= \omega(\pi/(n+1))(n+1)^{\beta} \left[1 + \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{b_{n,m}}{m+1}(n+1)\right]$
 $\geq 2\omega(\pi/(n+1))(n+1)^{\beta},$

thus

$$(n+1)^{\beta}\omega(\pi/(n+1)) = O\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{b_{n,m}}{m+1}(n+1)^{\beta+1}\omega(\pi/(n+1))\right).$$
 (13)

Finally, from (12)–(13) we get

$$|t_n^{B \cdot A}(f;x) - f(x)| = O_x \left(\sum_{m=0}^n \frac{b_{n,m}}{m+1} (n+1)^{\beta+1} \omega(1/(n+1)) \right),$$

in view of Note 3.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Following the proof of Theorem 3.1, by Hölder's inequality for $p = 1, q = \infty$, we have

$$|I_{1}| = O\left[(n+1)\int_{0}^{\pi/(n+1)} \frac{|\phi(t)|\sin^{\beta}(t/2)}{\omega(t)}dt \\ \times \underset{0 < t \le \pi/(n+1)}{\operatorname{ess sup}} \left|\frac{\omega(t)}{\sin^{\beta}(t/2)}\right|\right] \\ = O_{x}\left[(n+1)(n+1)^{-1}\underset{0 < t \le \pi/(n+1)}{\operatorname{ess sup}} \left|\frac{\omega(t)}{t^{\beta}}\right|\right] \\ = O_{x}\left(\omega(\pi/(n+1))(n+1)^{\beta}\right),$$
(14)

in view of conditions (9) and (10).

Similarly,

$$I_{21} = O\left[(n+1)^{-1} \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} \frac{t^{-\gamma} |\phi(t)| \sin^{\beta}(t/2)}{\omega(t)} dt \\ \times \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\pi/(n+1) < t \le \pi} \left| \frac{\omega(t)}{t^{-\gamma+2} \sin^{\beta}(t/2)} \right| \right] \\ = O_x \left[(n+1)^{\gamma-1-1} \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \left(\frac{(n+1)^{2+\beta-\gamma}}{\pi^{2+\beta-\gamma}}\right) \right] \\ = O_x \left(\omega(\pi/(n+1))(n+1)^{\beta} \right),$$
(15)

and

$$I_{22} = O\left[\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{b_{n,m}}{(m+1)} \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^{\pi} \frac{t^{-\gamma} |\phi(t)| \sin^{\beta}(t/2)}{\omega(t)} dt \\ \times \underset{\pi/(n+1) < t \le \pi}{\text{ess sup}} \left| \frac{\omega(t)}{t^{-\gamma+2} \sin^{\beta}(t/2)} \right| \right] \\ = O_{x}\left[\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{b_{n,m}}{(m+1)} (n+1)^{\gamma-1} \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \left(\frac{(n+1)^{2+\beta-\gamma}}{\pi^{2+\beta-\gamma}}\right) \right] \\ = O_{x}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{b_{n,m}}{(m+1)} \omega(\pi/(n+1))(n+1)^{\beta+1}\right),$$
(16)

in view of the decreasing nature of $\omega(t)/t^{\beta+2-\gamma}$, and condition (11). Using (14)–(16), we get

$$\left| t_n^{B \cdot A}(f; x) - f(x) \right| = O_x \left(\sum_{m=0}^n \frac{b_{n,m}}{m+1} (n+1)^{\beta+1} \omega(1/(n+1)) \right),$$

in view of Note 3.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the reviewers for their valuable suggestions for improving the paper. This research is supported by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India (Award No. 09/143(0821)/2012-EMR-I) in the form of fellowship to the second author.

References

 X. Z. Krasniqi, On the degree of approximation of continuous functions that pertains to the sequence-to-sequence transformation, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 7(2) (2010), Art. 13, 10 pp.

- [2] X. Z. Krasniqi, Slight extensions of some theorems on the rate of pointwise approximation of functions from some subclasses of L^p, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. Math. 17 (2013), 89–101.
- [3] W. Lenski and B. Szal, Approximation of functions belonging to the class $L^p(\omega)_{\beta}$ by linear operators, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. Math. **13** (2009), 11–24.
- [4] W. Lenski and B. Szal, Approximation of integrable functions by general linear operators of their Fourier series, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 28 (2012), 1119–1134.
- [5] M. L. Mittal, A sufficient condition for (F_1) -effectiveness of the C^1T -method, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **220** (1998), 434–450.
- [6] U. Singh and S. K. Srivastava, Trigonometric approximation of functions belonging to certain Lipschitz classes by C¹ · T operator, Asian-Eur. J. Math. 7(4) (2014), 1–13.
- [7] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ROORKEE, ROORKEE, UTTARAKHAND 247667, INDIA

E-mail address: usingh2280@yahoo.co.in *E-mail address*: sainisoshal25@gmail.com