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#### Abstract

We prove that the diametral strong diameter 2 property of a Banach space (meaning that, in convex combinations of relatively weakly open subsets of its unit ball, every point has an "almost diametral" point) is stable under 1 -sums, i.e., the direct sum of two spaces with the diametral strong diameter 2 property equipped with the 1-norm has again this property.


All Banach spaces considered in this note are over the real field. The closed unit ball and the unit sphere of a Banach space $X$ will be denoted by $B_{X}$ and $S_{X}$, respectively. Whenever referring to a relative weak topology, we mean such a topology on the closed unit ball of the space under consideration.

Diameter 2 properties for a Banach space mean that certain subsets of its unit ball (e.g., slices, nonempty relatively weakly open subsets, or convex combinations of weakly open subsets) have diameter equal to 2 . In recent years, these properties have been intensively studied (see, e.g., [1-11] for some typical results and further references).

To clarify the gap between the well-studied Daugavet property [12] and known diameter 2 properties, the diametral diameter 2 properties were introduced and studied in the recent preprint [7]. In particular, the stability under $p$-sums of diametral diameter 2 properties was analyzed. The question whether the 1-sum of two Banach spaces enjoying the diametral strong diameter 2 property also has this property, was posed as an open problem in [7]. Below, we shall answer this question in the affirmative.

[^0]Definition (see [7]). A Banach space $X$ is said to have the diametral strong diameter 2 property (DSD2P) if, given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, relatively weakly open subsets $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ of $B_{X}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in[0,1]$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}=1, x \in$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} U_{i}$, and $\varepsilon>0$, there is a $u \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} U_{i}$ satisfying

$$
\|x-u\| \geq\|x\|+1-\varepsilon .
$$

Theorem. Suppose that Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$ have the DSD2P. Then also the 1-sum $X \oplus_{1} Y$ has the $D S D 2 P$.

Our proof of Theorem makes use of the following observation:
$(\bullet)$ in Definition, one may assume that the element $x$ is of the form $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}$ where $x_{i} \in S_{X} \cap U_{i}$.
For ( $\bullet$ ), first notice that the space $X$ may be assumed to be infinite dimensional (because clearly no finite dimensional space can have the DSD2P) and the sets $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ to be convex (because, since $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} u_{i}$ where $u_{i} \in U_{i}$, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, it suffices to consider in the role of $U_{i}$ a convex relatively weakly open neighbourhood $V_{i}$ of $u_{i}$ satisfying $V_{i} \subset U_{i}$ ). Now, for ( $\bullet$ ), it suffices to observe that
(o) every $a \in U_{i}$ can be written in the form $a=\left(1-\mu_{i}\right) y_{i}+\mu_{i} z_{i}$ where $\mu_{i} \in[0,1]$ and $y_{i}, z_{i} \in S_{X} \cap U_{i}$,
because, if ( $\circ$ ) holds, then the element $x$ can be written as

$$
x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(1-\mu_{i}\right) y_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \mu_{i} z_{i}
$$

and (by the convexity of $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ )

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(1-\mu_{i}\right) U_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \mu_{i} U_{i} \subset \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} U_{i}
$$

It remains to prove (o). Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and let $a \in U_{i},\|a\|<1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}, x_{1}^{*}, \ldots, x_{m}^{*} \in X^{*}$, and $\delta>0$ be such that

$$
U_{i} \supset\left\{b \in B_{X}:\left|x_{j}^{*}(b)-x_{j}^{*}(a)\right|<\delta, j=1, \ldots, m\right\} .
$$

Choose a non-zero $c \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{m} \operatorname{ker} x_{j}^{*}$ (such a $c$ exists when the space $X$ is infinite dimensional), and consider the function $f(t)=\|a+t c\|, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $f(0)=\|a\|<1$ and $f(t) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \pm \infty]{ } \infty$, there are $s, t \in(0, \infty)$ such that $f(-s)=f(t)=1$, but now $y_{i}:=a-s c, z_{i}:=a+t c$, and $\mu_{i}:=\frac{s}{s+t}$ do the job.

Proof of Theorem. Put $Z:=X \oplus_{1} Y$, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $W_{1}, \ldots W_{n}$ be relatively weakly open subsets of $B_{Z}$, let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in[0,1]$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}=1$, and let $z=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} z_{i}$ where $z_{i}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in S_{Z} \cap W_{i}$. We must find a
$w=(u, v) \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} W_{i}$ so that $\|z-w\| \geq\|z\|+1-\varepsilon$, i.e., putting $x:=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}$ and $y:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{i}$ (now one has $z=(x, y)$ ),

$$
\|x-u\|+\|y-v\| \geq\|x\|+\|y\|+1-\varepsilon
$$

For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, putting

$$
\widehat{x}_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{x_{i}}{\left\|x_{i}\right\|}, & \text { if } x_{i} \neq 0, \\
0, & \text { if } x_{i}=0,
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{y}_{i}= \begin{cases}\frac{y_{i}}{\left\|y_{i}\right\|}, & \text { if } y_{i} \neq 0 \\
0, & \text { if } y_{i}=0\end{cases}\right.
$$

there are relatively weakly open neighbourhoods $U_{i} \subset B_{X}$ and $V_{i} \subset B_{Y}$ of $\widehat{x}_{i}$ and $\widehat{y}_{i}$, respectively, such that $\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\| U_{i}\right) \times\left(\left\|y_{i}\right\| V_{i}\right) \subset W_{i}$. Indeed, letting $m \in \mathbb{N}, z_{j}^{*}=\left(x_{j}^{*}, y_{j}^{*}\right) \in S_{Z^{*}}, j=1, \ldots, m$, and $\delta>0$ be such that

$$
W_{i} \supset\left\{w \in B_{Z}:\left|z_{j}^{*}(w)-z_{j}^{*}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|<\delta, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

and defining

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{i} & :=\left\{u \in B_{X}:\left|x_{j}^{*}(u)-x_{j}^{*}\left(\widehat{x}_{i}\right)\right|<\delta, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}, \\
V_{i} & :=\left\{v \in B_{Y}:\left|y_{j}^{*}(v)-y_{j}^{*}\left(\widehat{y}_{i}\right)\right|<\delta, j=1, \ldots, m\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

one has, whenever $u \in U_{i}$ and $v \in V_{i}$, for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid z_{j}^{*}\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\| u,\right. & \left.\left\|y_{i}\right\| v\right)-z_{j}^{*}\left(z_{i}\right)\left|=\left|z_{j}^{*}\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\| u,\left\|y_{i}\right\| v\right)-z_{j}^{*}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right|\right. \\
& =\left|x_{j}^{*}\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\| u\right)+y_{j}^{*}\left(\left\|y_{i}\right\| v\right)-x_{j}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)-y_{j}^{*}\left(y_{i}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|x_{j}^{*}\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\| u\right)+y_{j}^{*}\left(\left\|y_{i}\right\| v\right)-x_{j}^{*}\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\| \widehat{x}_{i}\right)-y_{j}^{*}\left(\left\|y_{i}\right\| \widehat{y}_{i}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\left\|x_{i}\right\| x_{j}^{*}\left(u-\widehat{x}_{i}\right)+\left\|y_{i}\right\| y_{j}^{*}\left(v-\widehat{y}_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{i}\right\|\left|x_{j}^{*}\left(u-\widehat{x}_{i}\right)\right|+\left\|y_{i}\right\|\left|y_{j}^{*}\left(v-\widehat{y}_{i}\right)\right| \\
& <\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\|+\left\|y_{i}\right\|\right) \delta=\left\|z_{i}\right\| \delta \\
& =\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
\alpha:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\| \quad \text { and } \quad \beta:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left\|y_{i}\right\| .
$$

Notice that

$$
\alpha+\beta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\|+\left\|y_{i}\right\|\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left\|z_{i}\right\|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}=1
$$

We only consider the case when both $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$. (The case when $\alpha=0$ or $\beta=0$ can be handled similarly and is, in fact, simpler.)

For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, letting

$$
\alpha_{i}:=\frac{\lambda_{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\|}{\alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{i}:=\frac{\lambda_{i}\left\|y_{i}\right\|}{\beta}
$$

one has $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in[0,1]$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}=1$. Since $X$ and $Y$ have the DSD2P, observing that

$$
\frac{x}{\alpha}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\|}{\alpha} \widehat{x}_{i} \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} U_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{y}{\beta}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i}\left\|y_{i}\right\|}{\beta} \widehat{y}_{i} \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} V_{i}
$$

there are $u_{0} \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} U_{i}$ and $v_{0} \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} V_{i}$ such that

$$
\left\|\frac{x}{\alpha}-u_{0}\right\| \geq \frac{1}{\alpha}\|x\|+1-\varepsilon \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\frac{y}{\beta}-v_{0}\right\| \geq \frac{1}{\beta}\|y\|+1-\varepsilon
$$

Finally, putting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u:=\alpha u_{0} \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha \alpha_{i} U_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\| U_{i} \\
& v:=\beta v_{0} \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta \beta_{i} V_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left\|y_{i}\right\| V_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

one has

$$
(u, v) \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\| U_{i}\right) \times\left(\left\|y_{i}\right\| V_{i}\right)\right) \subset \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} W_{i}
$$

and

$$
\|x-u\|+\|y-v\| \geq\|x\|+\|y\|+(\alpha+\beta)(1-\varepsilon)=\|x\|+\|y\|+1-\varepsilon
$$

as desired.
Thus the stability of the diametral strong diameter 2 property under 1 and $\infty$-sums is similar to that of the Daugavet property. In fact, among all 1-unconditional sums of two Daugavet spaces only the 1 - and $\infty$-sum have the Daugavet property. Whether the diametral strong diameter two property and the Daugavet property coincide remains an open question.
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