

Geometry of multilinear forms on l_1

SUNG GUEN KIM

ABSTRACT. We characterize extreme, exposed and smooth points in the Banach space $\mathcal{L}({}^n E)$ of continuous n -linear forms on E , and in its subspace $\mathcal{L}_s({}^n E)$ of symmetric n -linear forms on E when $E = l_1$ and $E = l_1^m$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n, m \geq 2$.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, we let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n, m \geq 2$. We write B_E for the closed unit ball of a real Banach space E , and the dual space of E is denoted by E^* . An element $x \in B_E$ is called an *extreme point* of B_E if $y, z \in B_E$ with $x = \frac{1}{2}(y + z)$ implies $x = y = z$. An element $x \in B_E$ is called an *exposed point* of B_E if there is $f \in E^*$ such that $f(x) = 1 = \|f\|$ and $f(y) < 1$ for every $y \in B_E \setminus \{x\}$. It is easy to see that every exposed point of B_E is an extreme point. An element $x \in B_E$ is called a *smooth point* of B_E if there is a unique $f \in E^*$ so that $f(x) = 1 = \|f\|$. We denote by $\text{ext } B_E, \text{exp } B_E$ and $\text{sm } B_E$ the set of extreme points, the set of exposed points and the set of smooth points of B_E , respectively. A mapping $P : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous n -homogeneous polynomial if there exists a continuous n -linear form T on the product $E \times \cdots \times E$ such that $P(x) = T(x, \dots, x)$ for every $x \in E$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}({}^n E)$ the Banach space of all continuous n -homogeneous polynomials from E into \mathbb{R} endowed with the norm $\|P\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |P(x)|$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}({}^n E)$ the Banach space of all continuous n -linear forms on E endowed with the norm $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x_k\|=1} |T(x_1, \dots, x_n)|$ and $\mathcal{L}_s({}^n E)$ denotes the closed subspace of all continuous symmetric n -linear forms on E . Notice that $\mathcal{L}({}^n E)$ is identified with the dual of n -fold projective tensor product $\hat{\otimes}_{\pi, n} E$. With this identification, the action of a continuous n -linear form T as a bounded linear functional on $\hat{\otimes}_{\pi, n} E$ is given by

Received August 15, 2020.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A22.

Key words and phrases. Extreme points, exposed points and smooth points.

<https://doi.org/10.12697/ACUTM.2021.25.04>

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k x^{(1),i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{(n),i}, T \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k T(x^{(1),i}, \dots, x^{(n),i}).$$

Notice also that $\mathcal{L}_s(^n E)$ is identified with the dual of n -fold symmetric projective tensor product $\hat{\otimes}_{s,\pi,n} E$. With this identification, the action of a continuous symmetric n -linear form T as a bounded linear functional on $\hat{\otimes}_{s,\pi,n} E$ is given by

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n!} \left(\sum_{\sigma} x^{\sigma(1),i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{\sigma(n),i} \right), T \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k T(x^{(1),i}, \dots, x^{(n),i}),$$

where σ goes over all permutations on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. For more details about the theory of polynomials and multilinear mappings on Banach spaces, we refer to [6].

Let us say a little bit about the history of classification problems of the extreme points, the exposed points, and the smooth points of the unit ball of continuous n -homogeneous polynomials on a Banach space.

We let $l_p^n = \mathbb{R}^n$ for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ equipped with the l_p -norm. Choi et al. ([2], [3], [4]) classified $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{P}(2l_p^2)}$ and $\text{sm } B_{\mathcal{P}(2l_p^2)}$ for $p = 1, 2$. Choi and Kim [5] classified $\text{sm } B_{\mathcal{P}(2l_1)}$. Greu [7] classified the sets $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{P}(2l_p^2)}$ for $1 < p < 2$ or $2 < p < \infty$. Kim et al. [26] showed that if E is a separable real Hilbert space with $\dim(E) \geq 2$, then, $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{P}(2E)} = \text{exp } B_{\mathcal{P}(2E)}$. Kim [8] classified $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{P}(2l_p^2)}$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Kim ([10], [12]) characterized $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{P}(2d_*(1,w)^2)}$ and $\text{sm } B_{\mathcal{P}(2d_*(1,w)^2)}$, where $d_*(1,w)^2 = \mathbb{R}^2$ with an octagonal norm $\|(x,y)\|_w = \max\{|x|, |y|, \frac{|x|+|y|}{1+w}\}$ for $0 < w < 1$. Kim [16] classified $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{P}(2d_*(1,w)^2)}$ and showed that $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{P}(2d_*(1,w)^2)} \neq \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{P}(2d_*(1,w)^2)}$. Recently, Kim ([17], [21]) classified $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{P}(2\mathbb{R}_{h(\frac{1}{2})}^2)}$ and $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{P}(2\mathbb{R}_{h(\frac{1}{2})}^2)}$, where $\mathbb{R}_{h(\frac{1}{2})}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2$ with a hexagonal norm $\|(x,y)\|_{h(\frac{1}{2})} = \max\{|y|, |x| + \frac{1}{2}|y|\}$.

Parallel to the classification problems of $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{P}(^n E)}$, $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{P}(^n E)}$, and $\text{sm } B_{\mathcal{P}(^n E)}$ it seems to be very natural to study the, classification problems of extreme and exposed points of the unit ball of continuous (symmetric) multilinear forms on a Banach space.

Kim [9] classified $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(2l_\infty^2)}$, $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(2l_\infty^2)}$ and $\text{sm } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(2l_\infty^2)}$. It was shown that $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(2l_\infty^2)} = \text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(2l_\infty^2)}$. Kim ([11], [13], [14], [15]) classified $\text{ext } B_X$ and $\text{exp } B_X$, where $X = \mathcal{L}(2d_*(1,w)^2)$ or $\mathcal{L}_s(2d_*(1,w)^2)$. Kim ([18], [19]) also classified $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(2l_\infty^3)}$, $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(3l_\infty^2)}$ and $\text{sm } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(3l_\infty^2)}$. It was shown that $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(2l_\infty^3)} = \text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(2l_\infty^3)}$ and $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(3l_\infty^2)} = \text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(3l_\infty^2)}$. Kim [20] characterized $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(2l_\infty^n)}$ and $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(2l_\infty^n)}$, and showed that $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}(2l_\infty^n)} = \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(2l_\infty^n)}$ and $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(2l_\infty^n)} = \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(2l_\infty^n)}$. Kim [22] characterized $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(2l_\infty^3)}$ and $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}(2l_\infty^3)}$. Kim [25] characterized $\text{sm } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_\infty^2)}$.

Kim [24] studied $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(2l_\infty)}$. Cavalcante et al. [1] characterized $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(nl_\infty^m)}$. Recently, Kim [23] classified $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(nl_\infty^2)}$ and $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_\infty^2)}$. It was shown that $|\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(nl_\infty^2)}| = 2^{(2^n)}$ and $|\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_\infty^2)}| = 2^{n+1}$, and that $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}(nl_\infty^2)} = \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(nl_\infty^2)}$ and $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_\infty^2)} = \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_\infty^2)}$.

In this paper, we characterize the extreme and exposed points of the unit balls of $\mathcal{L}(nl_1)$ and $\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)$. We also characterize the smooth points of the unit balls of $\mathcal{L}(nl_1^m)$ and $\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1^m)$ for $m \geq 2$.

2. The unit ball of $\mathcal{L}(nl_1)$

In this section we characterize $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(nl_1)}$, $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}(nl_1)}$ and $\text{sm } B_{\mathcal{L}(nl_1^m)}$ for $n, m \geq 2$. First, we present an explicit formulae for the norm of $T \in \mathcal{L}(nl_1)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(nl_1)$ with*

$$T \left(\left(x_j^{(1)} \right), \dots, \left(x_j^{(n)} \right) \right) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}} a_{j_1 \dots j_n} x_{j_1}^{(1)} \dots x_{j_n}^{(n)} \quad (1)$$

for some $a_{j_1 \dots j_n} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\|T\| = \sup \{ |a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| : j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N} \}$.

Proof. Note that, for $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n})| \leq \|T\|$. Hence,

$$\|T\| \geq \sup \{ |a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| : j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

On the other hand we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \sup \left\{ \left| T \left(\left(x_j^{(1)} \right), \dots, \left(x_j^{(n)} \right) \right) \right| : \left\| \left(x_j^{(k)} \right) \right\|_1 = 1, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| \left| x_{j_1}^{(1)} \right| \dots \left| x_{j_n}^{(n)} \right| : \left\| \left(x_j^{(k)} \right) \right\|_1 = 1, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \{ |a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| : j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N} \} \\ &\quad \times \sup \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left| x_j^{(1)} \right| \dots \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left| x_j^{(n)} \right| : \left\| \left(x_j^{(k)} \right) \right\|_1 = 1, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \right\} \\ &= \sup \{ |a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| : j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N} \}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we characterize all extreme points of the unit ball of $\mathcal{L}(nl_1)$.

Theorem 2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(nl_1)$ be defined by (1) and let $\|T\| = 1$. Then $T \in \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(nl_1)}$ if and only if $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| \leq 1$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Necessity. Assume the contrary. Then there are $j'_1, \dots, j'_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}| < 1$. Let $S_{j'_1 \dots j'_n} \in \mathcal{L}(^n l_1)$ be defined by

$$S_{j'_1 \dots j'_n} \left((x_j^{(1)}), \dots, (x_j^{(n)}) \right) = x_{j'_1}^{(1)} \cdots x_{j'_n}^{(n)}. \quad (2)$$

Choose $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $|a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}| + \epsilon_0 < 1$ and set $R^\pm = T \pm \epsilon_0 S_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}$. By Theorem 1, we have $\|R^\pm\| = 1$. Since $T = \frac{1}{2}(R^+ + R^-)$, T is not extreme. This is a contradiction. Hence, $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Sufficiency. Suppose that $T = \frac{1}{2}(T_1 + T_2)$ for some $T_j \in \mathcal{L}(^n l_1)$ with $\|T_j\| = 1$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 \left((x_j^{(1)}), \dots, (x_j^{(n)}) \right) &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}} b_{j_1 \dots j_n} x_{j_1}^{(1)} \cdots x_{j_n}^{(n)}, \\ T_2 \left((x_j^{(1)}), \dots, (x_j^{(n)}) \right) &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}} c_{j_1 \dots j_n} x_{j_1}^{(1)} \cdots x_{j_n}^{(n)} \end{aligned}$$

for some $b_{j_1 \dots j_n}, c_{j_1 \dots j_n} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|b_{j_1 \dots j_n}| \leq 1, |c_{j_1 \dots j_n}| \leq 1$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $a_{j_1 \dots j_n} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{j_1 \dots j_n} + c_{j_1 \dots j_n})$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_{j_1 \dots j_n} = b_{j_1 \dots j_n} = c_{j_1 \dots j_n}$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $T = T_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Hence $T \in \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(^n l_1)}$. \square

The following theorem shows that every extreme point of the unit ball of $\mathcal{L}(^n l_1)$ is exposed.

Theorem 3. *The equality $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}(^n l_1)} = \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(^n l_1)}$ holds.*

Proof. Let $T \in \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(^n l_1)}$. By Theorem 2, the equality (1) holds for some $a_{j_1 \dots j_n} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a bijection. Define $f \in \mathcal{L}(^n l_1)^*$ by

$$f(S) := \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{1}{2^{\phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}} \text{sign}(a_{j_1 \dots j_n}) S(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n}).$$

Then, by Theorem 2,

$$f(T) = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{1}{2^{\phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}} |a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{1}{2^{\phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}} = 1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= f(T) \leq \|f\| \\ &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{1}{2^{\phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}} |S(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n})| : S \in \mathcal{L}(^n l_1), \|S\| = 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{1}{2^{\phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|f\| = 1$.

Claim: $f(S) < 1$ for every $S \in B_{\mathcal{L}(^n l_1)}$ with $S \neq T$.

It is enough to show that if $f(S) = 1$ for some $S \in B_{\mathcal{L}(^n l_1)}$, then $S = T$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{1}{2^{\phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}} |a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1 = f(S) \\ &= \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{1}{2^{\phi(j_1, \dots, j_n)}} \text{sign}(a_{j_1 \dots j_n}) S(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$S(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n}) = a_{j_1 \dots j_n} = T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n})$$

for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the n -linearity, $S = T$. Therefore, f exposes T . Hence $T \in \text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}(^n l_1)}$. \square

We characterize all smooth points of the unit ball of $\mathcal{L}(^n l_1^m)$ for $n, m \geq 2$.

Theorem 4. *Let $n, m \geq 2$, and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(^n l_1^m)$ with $\|T\| = 1$ and*

$$T\left(\left(x_j^{(1)}\right), \dots, \left(x_j^{(n)}\right)\right) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_n \leq m} a_{j_1 \dots j_n} x_{j_1}^{(1)} \cdots x_{j_n}^{(n)}.$$

Then $T \in \text{sm } B_{\mathcal{L}(^n l_1^m)}$ if and only if there are $j'_1, \dots, j'_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$1 = \left| a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n} \right| > |a_{j_1 \dots j_n}|$$

for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \neq (j'_1, \dots, j'_n)$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(^n l_1^m)^*$ be such that $f(T) = 1 = \|f\|$. As $\mathcal{L}(^n l_1^m)^* = \otimes_{\pi, n} l_1^m$, there are $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f^{(1), i}, \dots, f^{(n), i} \in l_1^m$ such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^k f^{(1), i} \otimes \dots \otimes f^{(n), i}.$$

We claim that $f = \text{sign}\left(a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}\right) \left(e_{j'_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j'_n}\right)$. Indeed, let $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ be such that $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \neq (j'_1, \dots, j'_n)$, and let $S_{j_1 \dots j_n} \in \mathcal{L}(^n l_1^m)$ be defined by

$$S_{j_1 \dots j_n} \left(\left(x_j^{(1)}\right), \dots, \left(x_j^{(n)}\right) \right) = x_{j_1}^{(1)} \cdots x_{j_n}^{(n)}. \quad (3)$$

Choose $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| + \epsilon_0 < 1$ and set $R^\pm = T \pm \epsilon_0 S_{j_1 \dots j_n}$. By Theorem 1 we have $\|R^\pm\| = 1$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \max \left\{ |f(R^\pm)| \right\} = \max \left\{ |f(T) \pm \epsilon_0 f(S_{j_1 \dots j_n})| \right\} \\ &= |f(T)| + \epsilon_0 |f(S_{j_1 \dots j_n})| = 1 + \epsilon_0 |f(S_{j_1 \dots j_n})|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f(S_{j_1 \dots j_n}) = 0$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \neq (j'_1, \dots, j'_n)$. Hence

$$\left| a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n} \right| = 1 = f(T) = a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n} f(S_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}),$$

which shows that $f(S_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}) = \text{sign}(a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n})$. Thus, for $S \in \mathcal{L}({}^n l_1^m)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(S) &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m} S(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) f(S_{j_1 \dots j_n}) \\ &= S(e_{j'_1}, \dots, e_{j'_n}) f(S_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}) = S(e_{j'_1}, \dots, e_{j'_n}) \text{sign}(a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}) \\ &= \text{sign}(a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}) (e_{j'_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j'_n})(S). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f is uniquely determined and $T \in \text{sm } B_{\mathcal{L}({}^n l_1^m)}$.

Necessity. By Theorem 1,

$$1 = \|T\| = \max \{ |a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| : 1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_n \leq m \}.$$

Hence there are $j'_1, \dots, j'_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $1 = |a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}|$.

Claim: $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| < 1$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \neq (j'_1, \dots, j'_n)$.

If not, then there are $i'_1, \dots, i'_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $(i'_1, \dots, i'_n) \neq (j'_1, \dots, j'_n)$ and $1 = |a_{i'_1 \dots i'_n}|$. Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}({}^n l_1^m)^*$ be defined by

$$f_1 = \text{sign}(a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}) (e_{j'_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j'_n}), \quad f_2 = \text{sign}(a_{i'_1 \dots i'_n}) (e_{i'_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i'_n}). \quad (4)$$

Then

$$f_1 \neq f_2, \quad f_j(T) = 1 = \|f_j\| \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, we have proved the claim. \square

Theorem 5. *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $T \in \mathcal{L}({}^n l_1)$ be defined by (1) such that $\|T\| = 1$. Suppose that $|a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}| = 1 = |a_{i'_1 \dots i'_n}|$ for some $(j'_1, \dots, j'_n) \neq (i'_1, \dots, i'_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Then $T \notin \text{sm } B_{\mathcal{L}({}^n l_1)}$.*

Proof. Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}({}^n l_1)^*$ be defined by (4). Then,

$$f_1 \neq f_2, \quad f_j(T) = 1 = \|f_j\| \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Therefore, $T \notin \text{sm } B_{\mathcal{L}({}^n l_1)}$. \square

3. The unit ball of $\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)$

In this section we characterize $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)}$, $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)}$ and $\text{sm } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1^m)}$ for $n, m \geq 2$. The first theorem characterizes all extreme points of the unit ball of $\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)$.

Theorem 6. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)$ be defined by (1) and let $\|T\| = 1$. Then $T \in \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)}$ if and only if $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| \leq 1$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Sufficiency. Assume the contrary. There are $j'_1, \dots, j'_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}| < 1$. Let $S_{j'_1 \dots j'_n} \in \mathcal{L}(nl_1)$ be defined by (2). Choose $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $|a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}| + \epsilon_0 < 1$. Let $L^\pm \in \mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)$ be defined by

$$L^\pm = T \pm \frac{\epsilon_0}{n!} \sum_{\sigma} S_{j'_{\sigma(1)} \dots j'_{\sigma(n)}},$$

where σ is a permutation on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. By Theorem 1 we have $\|L^\pm\| = 1$. Since $T = \frac{1}{2}(L^+ + L^-)$, T is not extreme. This is a contradiction. Hence, $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The proof of necessity is identical to the proof of necessity of Theorem 2. \square

We show that every extreme point of the unit ball of $\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)$ is exposed.

Theorem 7. *The equality $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)} = \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)}$ is true.*

Proof. It is identical to that for Theorem 3. \square

The following theorem shows a relation between the spaces $\mathcal{L}(nl_1)$ and $\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)$.

Theorem 8. *Let $n, m \geq 2$. Then:*

- (a) $\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)} = \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(nl_1)} \cap \mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)$;
- (b) $\text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)} = \text{exp } B_{\mathcal{L}(nl_1)} \cap \mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)$.

Proof. The statement (a) follows from Theorems 2 and 6, and (b) follows from Theorems 3 and 7 in view of (a). \square

We characterize all smooth points of the unit ball of $\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1^m)$ for $n, m \geq 2$.

Theorem 9. *For $n, m \geq 2$, let $T \in \mathcal{L}_s(nl_1^m)$ be the same as in Theorem 4. Then $T \in \text{sm } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_1^m)}$ if and only if there are $j'_1, \dots, j'_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that*

$$1 = \left| a_{j'_{\sigma(1)} \dots j'_{\sigma(n)}} \right| > |a_{j_1 \dots j_n}|$$

for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \neq (j'_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, j'_{\sigma(n)})$, where σ is a permutation on $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}_s(nl_1^m)^*$ be such that $f(T) = 1 = \|f\|$. We claim that

$$f = \text{sign} \left(a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n} \right) \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma} \left(e_{j'_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j'_{\sigma(n)}} \right).$$

Indeed, let $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ be such that $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \neq (j'_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, j'_{\sigma(n)})$ for every permutation σ . Let $S_{j_1 \dots j_n} \in \mathcal{L}(nl_1^m)$ be defined by (3). Choose $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| + \epsilon_0 < 1$. Let $L^{\pm} \in \mathcal{L}_s(nl_1)$ be defined by

$$L^{\pm} = T \pm \frac{\epsilon_0}{n!} \sum_{\sigma} S_{j_{\sigma(1)} \dots j_{\sigma(n)}}.$$

By Theorem 1, we have $\|L^{\pm}\| = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \max\{|f(L^{\pm})|\} = \max \left\{ \left| f(T) \pm \frac{\epsilon_0}{n!} f \left(\sum_{\sigma} S_{j_{\sigma(1)} \dots j_{\sigma(n)}} \right) \right| \right\} \\ &= |f(T)| + \frac{\epsilon_0}{n!} \left| f \left(\sum_{\sigma} S_{j_{\sigma(1)} \dots j_{\sigma(n)}} \right) \right| = 1 + \frac{\epsilon_0}{n!} \left| f \left(\sum_{\sigma} S_{j_{\sigma(1)} \dots j_{\sigma(n)}} \right) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f \left(\sum_{\sigma} S_{j_{\sigma(1)} \dots j_{\sigma(n)}} \right) = 0$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \neq (j'_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, j'_{\sigma(n)})$ for every permutation σ . Hence,

$$\left| a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n} \right| = 1 = f(T) = a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n} \frac{1}{n!} f \left(\sum_{\sigma} S_{j'_{\sigma(1)} \dots j'_{\sigma(n)}} \right),$$

which shows that $\frac{1}{n!} f \left(\sum_{\sigma} S_{j'_{\sigma(1)} \dots j'_{\sigma(n)}} \right) = \text{sign} \left(a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n} \right)$. Thus, for $S \in \mathcal{L}(nl_1^m)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(S) &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m} S(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) \frac{1}{n!} f \left(\sum_{\sigma} S_{j_{\sigma(1)} \dots j_{\sigma(n)}} \right) \\ &= S \left(e_{j'_1}, \dots, e_{j'_n} \right) \frac{1}{n!} f \left(\sum_{\sigma} S_{j'_{\sigma(1)} \dots j'_{\sigma(n)}} \right) = S \left(e_{j'_1}, \dots, e_{j'_n} \right) \text{sign} \left(a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n} \right) \\ &= \text{sign} \left(a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n} \right) \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma} \left(e_{j'_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j'_{\sigma(n)}} \right) (S). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f is uniquely determined and $T \in \text{sm } B_{\mathcal{L}(nl_1^m)}$.

Necessary. By Theorem 1,

$$1 = \|T\| = \max\{|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| : 1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_n \leq m\}.$$

Hence, there are $j'_1, \dots, j'_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $1 = |a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}|$. Note that since T is symmetric, $1 = |a_{j'_{\sigma(1)} \dots j'_{\sigma(n)}}|$ for every permutation σ on $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Claim: $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| < 1$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \neq (j'_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, j'_{\sigma(n)})$ for every permutation σ on $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

If not, then there are $i'_1, \dots, i'_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $1 = |a_{i'_1 \dots i'_n}|$ and $(i'_1, \dots, i'_n) \neq (j'_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, j'_{\sigma(n)})$ for every permutation σ on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}_s({}^n l_1^m)^*$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \text{sign}(a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}) \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma} \left(e_{j'_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j'_{\sigma(n)}} \right), \\ f_2 &= \text{sign}(a_{i'_1 \dots i'_n}) \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma} \left(e_{i'_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i'_{\sigma(n)}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Then,

$$f_1 \neq f_2, \quad f_j(T) = 1 = \|f_j\| \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, we have proved the claim. \square

Theorem 10. For $n \geq 2$, let $T \in \mathcal{L}_s({}^n l_1)$ be the same as in Theorem 5. Suppose that $|a_{j'_1 \dots j'_n}| = 1 = |a_{i'_1 \dots i'_n}|$ for some $(j'_1, \dots, j'_n), (i'_1, \dots, i'_n)$ satisfying $(i'_1, \dots, i'_n) \notin \left\{ (j'_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, j'_{\sigma(n)}) : \sigma \text{ is a permutation on } \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}$. Then $T \notin \text{sm } B_{\mathcal{L}_s({}^n l_1)}$.

Proof. Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}_s({}^n l_1)^*$ be defined by (5). Then

$$f_1 \neq f_2, \quad f_j(T) = 1 = \|f_j\| \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Therefore, $T \notin \text{sm } B_{\mathcal{L}_s({}^n l_1)}$. \square

Acknowledgements. The author is thankful to the referees for careful reading and for suggestions that led to a better presented paper.

References

- [1] W. V. Cavalcante, D. M. Pellegrino, and E. V. Teixeira, *Geometry of multilinear forms*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **22** (2) (2020), 1950011, 26 pp.
- [2] Y. S. Choi, H. Ki, and S. G. Kim, *Extreme polynomials and multilinear forms on l_1* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **228** (1998), 467–482.
- [3] Y. S. Choi and S. G. Kim, *Extreme polynomials on c_0* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **29** (1998), 983–989.
- [4] Y. S. Choi and S. G. Kim, *The unit ball of $\mathcal{P}({}^2 l_2^2)$* , Arch. Math. (Basel) **71** (1998), 472–480.
- [5] Y. S. Choi and S. G. Kim, *Smooth points of the unit ball of the space $\mathcal{P}({}^2 l_1)$* , Results Math. **36** (1999), 26–33.

- [6] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, London, 1999.
- [7] B. C. Grecu, *Geometry of 2-homogeneous polynomials on l_p spaces, $1 < p < \infty$* , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **273** (2002), 262–282.
- [8] S. G. Kim, *Exposed 2-homogeneous polynomials on $\mathcal{P}(^2l_p^2)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$)*, *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* **107** (2007), 123–129.
- [9] S. G. Kim, *The unit ball of $\mathcal{L}_s(^2l_\infty^2)$* , *Extracta Math.* **24** (2009), 17–29.
- [10] S. G. Kim, *The unit ball of $\mathcal{P}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$* , *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* **111** (2) (2011), 79–94.
- [11] S. G. Kim, *Extreme bilinear forms of $\mathcal{L}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$* , *Kyungpook Math. J.* **53** (2013), 625–638.
- [12] S. G. Kim, *Smooth polynomials of $\mathcal{P}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$* , *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* **113A** (1) (2013), 45–58.
- [13] S. G. Kim, *The unit ball of $\mathcal{L}_s(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$* , *Kyungpook Math. J.* **53** (2013), 295–306.
- [14] S. G. Kim, *Exposed symmetric bilinear forms of $\mathcal{L}_s(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$* , *Kyungpook Math. J.* **54** (2014), 341–347.
- [15] S. G. Kim, *Exposed bilinear forms of $\mathcal{L}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$* , *Kyungpook Math. J.* **55** (2015), 119–126.
- [16] S. G. Kim, *Exposed 2-homogeneous polynomials on the two-dimensional real predual of Lorentz sequence space*, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **13** (2016), 2827–2839.
- [17] S. G. Kim, *Extreme 2-homogeneous polynomials on the plane with a hexagonal norm and applications to the polarization and unconditional constants*, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **54** (2017), 362–393.
- [18] S. G. Kim, *The geometry of $\mathcal{L}_s(^3l_\infty^2)$* , *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **32** (2017), 991–997.
- [19] S. G. Kim, *The unit ball of $\mathcal{L}_s(^2l_\infty^3)$* , *Comment. Math. (Prace Mat.)* **57** (2017), 1–7.
- [20] S. G. Kim, *Extreme bilinear forms on \mathbb{R}^n with the supremum norm*, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **77** (2018), 274–290.
- [21] S. G. Kim, *Exposed polynomials of $\mathcal{P}(^2\mathbb{R}_{h(\frac{1}{2})}^2)$* , *Extracta Math.* **33** (2) (2018), 127–143.
- [22] S. G. Kim, *The unit ball of the space of bilinear forms on \mathbb{R}^3 with the supremum norm*, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **34** (2019), 487–494.
- [23] S. G. Kim, *Extreme and exposed points of $\mathcal{L}(^n l_\infty^2)$ and $\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_\infty^2)$* , *Extracta Math.* **34** (2)(2020), 127–135.
- [24] S. G. Kim, *Extreme points of the space $\mathcal{L}(^2 l_\infty)$* , *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **35** (3) (2020), 799–807.
- [25] S. G. Kim, *Smooth points of $\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_\infty^2)$* , *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **57** (2) (2020), 443–447.
- [26] S. G. Kim and S. H. Lee, *Exposed 2-homogeneous polynomials on Hilbert spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003), 449–453.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DAEGU 702-701, SOUTH KOREA

E-mail address: `sgk317@knu.ac.kr`