ACTA ET COMMENTATIONES UNIVERSITATIS TARTUENSIS DE MATHEMATICA Volume 25, Number 2, December 2021 Available online at https://ojs.utlib.ee/index.php/ACUTM

# $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Relative uniform convergence and Korovkin type approximation

## Sevda Yildiz

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, an interesting type of convergence named ideal relative uniform convergence for double sequences of functions has been introduced for the first time. Then, the Korovkin type approximation theorem via this new type of convergence has been proved. An example to show that the new type of convergence is stronger than the convergence considered before has been given. Finally, the rate of  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -relative uniform convergence has been computed.

# 1. Introduction

A compact subset of real numbers has been named S and the space of all continuous real-valued functions on S has been named C(S). Let  $\{L_n\}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators that maps C(S) to itself. Suppose that the sequence  $\{L_n(f_r)\}$  converges to  $f_r$  uniformly on S for the functions  $f_r: x \to x^r, r = 0, 1, 2$ . Then, Korovkin [20] established that this function sequence converges to f uniformly on S for every  $f \in C(S)$ . Afterwards Korovkin's result has been extended in many directions (see, e.g., [1, 8, 10, 13, 14, 18, 26]). Recently, Demirci and Orhan [6] have presented statistically relatively uniform convergence for single sequences and more recently, Okçu Şahin and Dirik [25] have suggested this notion for double sequences (see also [7]). The authors prove a Korovkin type theorem on C(S) via these new types of convergence, and many researchers have used these notions and their further generalizations for proving Korovkin type approximation theorems on different spaces (see for instance [5, 9, 12, 19, 22, 31]). In this paper, we define the notion of  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -relative uniform convergence that is a new

Received January 17, 2021.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 40A35, 40B05, 41A36.

*Key words and phrases.* Korovkin type theorem, positive linear operator, ideal relative convergence for double sequences.

https://doi.org/10.12697/ACUTM.2021.25.13

Corresponding author: Sevda Yıldız

and interesting type of convergence. We use this notion to prove a Korovkin type theorem and we present an application that shows our theorem being a non-trivial generalization of the classical and the ideal cases of the Korovkin's results. Finally, we study the rate of convergence via modulus of continuity.

## 2. Preliminaries

We begin by well known and important convergences for double sequences: Pringsheim convergence and statistical convergence.

Let N be the set of all natural numbers and  $x = \{x_{mn}\}$  be a double sequence. Then x is said to be convergent in Pringsheim's sense if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N = N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{mn} - l| < \varepsilon$  whenever m, n > N. Here l is the P-limit of x, it is denoted by  $P - \lim_{m,n} x_{mn} = l$ , and we call such an x Pringsheim convergent or, more simply, "P-convergent" (see [27]). Also,  $x = \{x_{mn}\}$  is said to be bounded if and only if there exists a positive number N such that  $|x_{mn}| \leq N$  for all  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . As it is known, in contrast to the case of a single sequence, a convergent double sequence need not be bounded.

In 1951, Fast [17] and Steinhaus [28] gave the now well known statistical convergence of single sequences, independently. Later on, this concept was extended to double sequences by Moricz [24]. Let  $D \subset \mathbb{N}^2$  be a twodimensional subset of positive integers and |D| denotes the cardinality of D, then the double natural density of D is given by

$$\delta_2(D) := P - \lim_{i,j} \frac{|\{m \le i, \ n \le j : (m,n) \in D\}|}{ij}$$

whenever the limit exists. The number sequence  $x = \{x_{mn}\}$  is statistically convergent to l provided that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set

$$D := D_{ij}(\varepsilon) := \{ m \le i, \ n \le j : \ |x_{mn} - l| \ge \varepsilon \}$$

has natural density zero; in that case we write  $st_2 - \lim_{m,n} x_{mn} = l$ . Obviously,

if a double sequence is P-convergent then it converges to the same value statistically, but a statistically convergent double sequence may not be P-convergent. In addition, please note that as in the case of P-convergence, a statistically convergent double sequence need not be bounded.

The concept of uniform convergence of a sequence of functions relative to a scale function was first given by Moore in [23]. Then, Chittenden [3] gave a definition, which is equivalent to the definition given by Moore for single sequences and Okçu Şahin and Dirik [25] extended this idea to double sequences as follows:

**Definition 1** (see [25]). A double function sequence  $\{f_{m,n}\}$  defined on any compact subset of the real two-dimensional space converges to the limit function f relatively uniformly if there exists a function  $\sigma(x, y)$  defined on any compact subset of the real two-dimensional space (which in the literature is called a scale function) such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an integer  $n_{\varepsilon}$ such that for  $m, n > n_{\varepsilon}$  the inequality

$$\left|f_{mn}\left(x,y\right) - f\left(x,y\right)\right| < \varepsilon \left|\sigma\left(x,y\right)\right|$$

holds uniformly in (x, y). The double sequence  $\{f_{m,n}\}$  is said to be convergent uniformly relative to the scale function  $\sigma$ , briefly, relatively uniformly convergent.

Kostyrko et al. [21] have defined  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence using the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . This type of convergence can be seen as a general form of statistical convergence. A class  $\mathcal{I}$  of subsets of X, a non-empty set, is called an *ideal* in X if and only if (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ , (ii)  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  implies  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$  and (iii) for each  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and  $B \subset A$  we have  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ . If  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for each  $x \in X$  then an ideal is called *admissible*. If  $\mathcal{I}$  is a *non-trivial ideal* in X (i.e.  $X \notin \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I} \neq \{\emptyset\}$ ) then the family of sets  $\mathcal{F} = \{U \subset X : (\exists A \in \mathcal{I}) (U = X \setminus A)\}$  is a *filter* in X and we call such a filter the filter associated with the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . A non-trivial ideal  $\mathcal{I}_2$ of  $\mathbb{N}^2$  is called *strongly admissible* if  $\{i\} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{N} \times \{i\}$  belong to  $\mathcal{I}_2$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is obvious that a strongly admissible ideal is admissible too. Let

$$\mathcal{I}_{2}^{0} = \left\{ B \subset \mathbb{N}^{2} : \left( \exists m\left(B\right) \in \mathbb{N} \right) \left( i, j \ge m\left(B\right) \Rightarrow \left(i, j\right) \notin B \right) \right\},\$$

then  $\mathcal{I}_2^0$  is a non-trivial strongly admissible ideal ([4]) and clearly  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is strongly admissible if and only if  $\mathcal{I}_2^0 \subset \mathcal{I}_2$ .

For the rest of the paper, we use  $\mathcal{I}_2$  as a non-trivial strongly admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}^2$ .

Now, we recall the ideal convergences for the sequences of functions and then we introduce our new type of convergence.

**Definition 2** (see [15]). A double sequence  $\{f_{m,n}\}$  is said to be *ideal* pointwise convergent to a function f on a set  $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , written in short as  $\mathcal{I}_2 - f_{mn} \to f$  on  $S^2$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $(x, y) \in S^2$ ,

$$\left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : |f_{mn}(x,y) - f(x,y)| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$
(1)

**Definition 3** (see [16]). A double sequence  $\{f_{m,n}\}$  is said to be *ideal* uniformly convergent to a function f on a set  $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , written in short as  $\mathcal{I}_2 - f_{mn} \Rightarrow f$  on  $S^2$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \sup_{(x,y) \in S^2} |f_{mn}(x,y) - f(x,y)| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$
(2)

**Definition 4.** A double sequence  $\{f_{m,n}\}$  is said to be *ideal relatively* uniformly convergent to a function f on a set  $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  if there exists a

function  $\sigma(x, y)$ , called a scale function, with  $|\sigma(x, y)| \neq 0$  such that

$$\left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \sup_{(x,y) \in S^2} \left| \frac{f_{mn}(x,y) - f(x,y)}{\sigma(x,y)} \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$
(3)

We denote this by  $\mathcal{I}_2 - f_{mn} \rightrightarrows f \ (S^2; \sigma).$ 

It is worth noting that, if we take  $\mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{I}_2^0$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{I}_2^{\delta}$ , the set of all subsets of  $\mathbb{N}^2$  with double natural density zero, then we get the concepts of relative uniform convergence and statistical relative uniform convergence of the double sequence of functions, respectively ([25]).

In view of the above definitions, we immediately have the following result.

**Lemma 1.**  $f_{mn} \Rightarrow f$  on  $S^2$  implies  $\mathcal{I}_2 - f_{mn} \Rightarrow f$  on  $S^2$ , which also implies  $\mathcal{I}_2 - f_{mn} \Rightarrow f$   $(S^2; \sigma)$ .

However, an example can be provided to show that the converse of Lemma 1 is not always true. The following is such an example.

**Example 1.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{I}_2^{\delta}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{I}_2^{\delta}$  be an infinite set. For each  $(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , define  $g_{mn} : [0,1]^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g_{mn}(x,y) = \begin{cases} mn^2 xy^2, & (m,n) \in B, \\ \frac{3mn^2 xy^2}{2+m^2 n^3 x^2 y^4}, & (m,n) \notin B. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Then it is seen to be  $\mathcal{I}_2^{\delta} - g_{mn} \rightrightarrows g = 0 \; ([0,1]^2;\sigma),$ 

$$\sigma(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0, \\ \frac{1}{xy^2}, & (x,y) \in (0,1] \times (0,1], \end{cases}$$
(5)

however  $\{g_{mn}\}$  is neither ideally (or statistically) uniform convergent nor classically uniform convergent to the function g = 0 on the interval  $[0, 1]^2$ .

## 3. Ideal relative Korovkin type approximation

In this section, we handle the notion of  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -relative uniform convergence to prove a Korovkin type approximation theorem for a double sequence of positive linear operators defined on  $C(S^2)$ . Note that  $C(S^2)$  is a Banach space with the norm ||.|| defined by  $||f|| := \sup_{(x,y)\in S^2} |f(x,y)|, f \in C(S^2)$ .

Let *L* be a linear operator from  $C(S^2)$  into itself. We denote the value of L(f) at a point  $(x, y) \in S^2$  by L(f(s, t); x, y) or L(f; x, y). In addition, throughout this section, we use the test functions  $e_0(x, y) = 1$ ,  $e_1(x, y) = x$ ,  $e_2(x, y) = y$  and  $e_3(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ .

Before we continue, let us remind the classical and statistical forms of a Korovkin's type theorem.

**Theorem 1** (see [30]). Let  $\{L_{mn}\}$  be a double sequence of positive linear operators acting from  $C(S^2)$  into itself. Then, for all  $f \in C(S^2)$ ,

$$L_{mn}(f) \rightrightarrows f \quad on \ S^2$$

if and only if

$$L_{mn}(e_r) \rightrightarrows e_r \text{ on } S^2, \ r = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

**Theorem 2** (see [11]). Let  $\{L_{mn}\}$  be a double sequence of positive linear operators acting from  $C(S^2)$  into itself. Then, for all  $f \in C(S^2)$ ,

$$st_2 - L_{mn}(f) \rightrightarrows f$$
 on  $S^2$ 

if and only if

$$st_2 - L_{mn}(e_r) \rightrightarrows e_r \text{ on } S^2, \ r = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Now, we give the main approximation result of this section.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\{L_{mn}\}$  be a double sequence of positive linear operators acting from  $C(S^2)$  into itself and let  $\sigma, \sigma_r$  be the scale functions (possibly unbounded). Then, for all  $f \in C(S^2)$ ,

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - L_{mn}\left(f\right) \rightrightarrows f \quad (S^2; \sigma) \tag{6}$$

if and only if

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - L_{mn}(e_r) \rightrightarrows e_r \ (S^2; \sigma_r), \ r = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

$$\tag{7}$$

*Proof.* In view of the hypotheses, since  $e_r \in C(S^2)$  for each r = 0, 1, 2, 3, the condition (7) follows from the condition (6). The chief point is in giving the proof of the converse part. In the first step, by the continuity of f on  $S^2$ , f is bounded on  $S^2$  and we can write

$$|f(x,y)| \le K_f,$$

where  $K_f = ||f||$ . Also, since f is continuous on  $S^2$ , we write that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x,y) - f(s,t)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $(x,y) \in S^2$  satisfying  $|x-s| < \delta$  and  $|y-t| < \delta$ . Also, we get for all  $(x,y), (s,t) \in S^2$  satisfying  $|x-s| > \delta$  and  $|y-t| > \delta$  that

$$|f(x,y) - f(s,t)| \le \frac{2K_f}{\delta^2} \left\{ (x-s)^2 + (y-t)^2 \right\}.$$

Hence, we get for  $(x, y), (s, t) \in S^2$  that

$$|f(x,y) - f(s,t)| < \varepsilon + \frac{2K_f}{\delta^2} \left\{ (x-s)^2 + (y-t)^2 \right\}$$

Since  $L_{mn}$  is linear and positive, we have that

$$|L_{mn}(f;x,y) - f(x,y)| \leq L_{mn}(|f(x,y) - f(s,t)|;x,y) + |f(x,y)| |L_{mn}(e_0;x,y) - e_0(x,y)|$$

$$\leq L_{mn}(\varepsilon + \frac{2K_f}{\delta^2} \left\{ (x-s)^2 + (y-t)^2 \right\}; x, y) \\ + K_f \left| L_{mn} \left( e_0; x, y \right) - e_0(x, y) \right|$$

holds for every  $(x, y) \in S^2$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also, using the square of difference and considering the linearity and positivity of  $L_{mn}$  again, the following inequality is obtained from the above inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{mn}(f;x,y) - f(x,y)| &\leq \varepsilon + \left\{ \varepsilon + K_f + \frac{4K_f}{\delta^2} E^2 \right\} |L_{mn}(e_0;x,y) - e_0(x,y)| \\ &+ \frac{4K_f}{\delta^2} E |L_{mn}(e_1;x,y) - e_1(x,y)| \\ &+ \frac{4K_f}{\delta^2} E |L_{mn}(e_2;x,y) - e_2(x,y)| \\ &+ \frac{2K_f}{\delta^2} |L_{mn}(e_3;x,y) - e_3(x,y)|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $E := \max\{|x|, |y|\}$ . Now choose  $\sigma(x, y) = \max\{|\sigma_r(x, y)|; r = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and multiply both sides of the above inequality by  $\frac{1}{|\sigma(x,y)|}$ , the last inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{L_{mn}(f;x,y) - f(x,y)}{\sigma(x,y)} \right| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|\sigma(x,y)|} + K \left\{ \left| \frac{L_{mn}(e_0;x,y) - e_0(x,y)}{\sigma_0(x,y)} + \frac{L_{mn}(e_1;x,y) - e_1(x,y)}{\sigma_1(x,y)} \right| + \left| \frac{L_{mn}(e_2;x,y) - e_2(x,y)}{\sigma_2(x,y)} \right| + \left| \frac{L_{mn}(e_3;x,y) - e_3(x,y)}{\sigma_3(x,y)} \right| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K := \max\left\{\varepsilon + K_f + \frac{4K_f}{\delta^2}E^2, \frac{4K_f}{\delta^2}E, \frac{2K_f}{\delta^2}\right\}$ . Thus, taking supremum over  $(x, y) \in S^2$ , we have

$$\sup_{(x,y)\in S^{2}} \left| \frac{L_{mn}(f;x,y) - f(x,y)}{\sigma(x,y)} \right| \\
\leq \sup_{(x,y)\in S^{2}} \frac{\varepsilon}{|\sigma(x,y)|} + K \left\{ \sup_{(x,y)\in S^{2}} \left| \frac{L_{mn}(e_{0};x,y) - e_{0}(x,y)}{\sigma_{0}(x,y)} \right| \\
+ \sup_{(x,y)\in S^{2}} \left| \frac{L_{mn}(e_{1};x,y) - e_{1}(x,y)}{\sigma_{1}(x,y)} \right| + \sup_{(x,y)\in S^{2}} \left| \frac{L_{mn}(e_{2};x,y) - e_{2}(x,y)}{\sigma_{2}(x,y)} \right| \\
+ \sup_{(x,y)\in S^{2}} \left| \frac{L_{mn}(e_{3};x,y) - e_{3}(x,y)}{\sigma_{3}(x,y)} \right| \right\}.$$
(8)

Now, let r > 0 be given. Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\sup_{(x,y)\in S^2} \frac{\varepsilon}{|\sigma(x,y)|} < r$ . Then

$$D := \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \sup_{(x,y) \in S^2} \left| \frac{L_{mn}(f;x,y) - f(x,y)}{\sigma(x,y)} \right| \ge r \right\}$$

and

$$D_r := \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \sup_{(x,y) \in S^2} \left| \frac{L_{mn}(e_r; x, y) - e_r(x, y)}{\sigma_r(x, y)} \right| \ge \frac{r - \sup_{(x,y) \in S^2} \frac{\varepsilon}{|\sigma(x,y)|}}{3K} \right\}$$

r = 0, 1, 2, 3. In view of (8), clearly  $D \subset \bigcup_{r=0}^{3} D_r$  and by (7),  $D_r \in \mathcal{I}_2$  for r = 0, 1, 2, 3. Hence, by the definition of an ideal  $\bigcup_{r=0}^{3} D_r \in \mathcal{I}_2, D \in \mathcal{I}_2$ .

Therefore we get

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - L_{mn}(f) \rightrightarrows f(S^2; \sigma).$$

Thus, we reach our required result.

If the scale function is replaced by a nonzero constant, the following result immediately follows from our Theorem 3.

**Corollary 1** (see [2]). Let  $\{L_{mn}\}$  be a double sequence of positive linear operators acting from  $C(S^2)$  into itself. Then, for all  $f \in C(S^2)$ ,

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - L_{mn}(f) \rightrightarrows f \quad on \ S^2$$

if and only if

$$I_2 - L_{mn}(e_r) \rightrightarrows e_r \text{ on } S^2, \ r = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Now, we give an example that shows that our main theorem is a non-trivial generalization of the classical and the ideal cases of the Korovkin results.

**Example 2.** Consider the following Bernstein operators (see [29]) given by

$$B_{mn}(f;x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{l=0}^{n} f\left(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{l}{n}\right) \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{l} x^{k} (1-x)^{m-k} y^{l} (1-y)^{n-l},$$
(9)

where  $(x, y) \in S^2 = [0, 1]^2$ ;  $f \in C(S^2)$ . Using these polynomials, we introduce the following positive linear operators on  $C(S^2)$ :

$$L_{mn}(f; x, y) = (1 + g_{mn}(x, y)) B_{mn}(f; x, y), \qquad (10)$$

where  $g_{mn}(x, y)$  is given by (4). Now, observe that

 $L_{mn}(e_0; x, y) = (1 + g_{mn}(x, y)) e_0(x, y),$  $L_{mn}(e_1; x, y) = (1 + g_{mn}(x, y)) e_1(x, y),$ 

$$L_{mn}(e_2; x, y) = (1 + g_{mn}(x, y)) e_2(x, y),$$
  

$$L_{mn}(e_3; x, y) = (1 + g_{mn}(x, y)) \left[ e_3(x, y) + \frac{x - x^2}{m} + \frac{y - y^2}{n} \right].$$

In the view of  $\mathcal{I}_2^{\delta} - g_{mn} \rightrightarrows g = 0$   $(S^2; \sigma), \sigma(x, y)$  is given by (5), we conclude that

$$\mathcal{I}_2^{\delta} - L_{mn}(e_r) \rightrightarrows e_r(S^2; \sigma)$$
 for each  $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

Thus, by Theorem 3, we immediately see that

$$\mathcal{I}_{2}^{\delta} - L_{mn}\left(f\right) \rightrightarrows f \left(S^{2};\sigma\right) \text{ for all } f \in C\left(S^{2}\right).$$

Unfortunately, since  $\{g_{mn}\}$  is neither ideally (or statistically) uniform convergent nor classically uniform convergent to the function g = 0 on the interval  $S^2$ , we see that Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 do not work for our operators defined by (10). Consequently, it is shown that our version is more general than those given before.

## 4. Rate of $\mathcal{I}_2$ -relative uniform convergence

This section is devoted to computing the rate of  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -relative uniform convergence. Let us remind that the modulus of continuity of a function  $f \in C(S^2)$  is defined by

$$\omega(f,\delta) = \sup_{\sqrt{(x-s)^2 + (y-t)^2} \le \delta} |f(x,y) - f(s,t)| \quad (\delta > 0), \ f \in C(S^2).$$

We start with the following definitions.

**Definition 5.** Let  $\{a_{mn}\}$  be a positive non-increasing double sequence. We say that a sequence  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -relatively uniform convergent to f with the rate of  $o(a_{mn})$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \sup_{(x,y) \in S^2} \left| \frac{f_{mn}(x,y) - f(x,y)}{\sigma(x,y)} \right| \ge \varepsilon a_{mn} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2,$$

and this is written in short as

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - (f_{mn} - f) = o(a_{mn}) \ (S^2; \sigma).$$

**Definition 6.** Let  $\{a_{mn}\}$  be a positive non-increasing double sequence. We say that a sequence  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -relatively uniform bounded with the rate of  $O(a_{mn})$  if there exists a positive number K such that

$$\left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \sup_{(x,y) \in S^2} \left| \frac{f_{mn}(x,y)}{\sigma(x,y)} \right| \ge K a_{mn} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2,$$

and this is written in short as

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - (f_{mn}) = O(a_{mn}) \ (S^2; \sigma)$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\{f_{mn}\}$  and  $\{g_{mn}\}$  be double sequences of functions belonging to  $C(S^2)$ . Assume that  $\{\alpha_{mn}\}\$  and  $\{\beta_{mn}\}\$  are positive non-increasing double sequences such that  $\mathcal{I}_2 - (f_{mn} - f) = o(\alpha_{mn}) (S^2; \sigma_0)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2 - (g_{mn} - g) = o(\beta_{mn}) (S^2; \sigma_1), |\sigma_r(x, y)| > 0$  and  $\sigma_r(x, y)$  is unbounded r = 0, 1. Then the following statements hold:

- (i)  $\mathcal{I}_2 (f_{mn} + g_{mn}) (f + g) = o(\max\{\alpha_{mn}, \beta_{mn}\}) (S^2; \max\{|\sigma_r(x, y)|\})$
- (i)  $\mathcal{I}_{2} (f_{mn} f)(g_{mn} g) = o(\alpha_{mn}\beta_{mn}) (S^{2}; \sigma_{0}(x, y) \sigma_{1}(x, y)),$ (iii)  $\mathcal{I}_{2} (\lambda(f_{mn} f)) = o(\alpha_{mn}) (S^{2}; \sigma_{0}(x, y)) \text{ for any real number } \lambda,$ (iv)  $\mathcal{I}_{2} \sqrt{|f_{mn} f|} = o(\alpha_{mn}) (S^{2}; \sqrt{|\sigma_{0}(x, y)|}).$

Furthermore, actually, a similar statement holds true when "o" is replaced by "O".

*Proof.* (i) Assume that  $\mathcal{I}_2 - (f_{mn} - f) = o(\alpha_{mn}) (S^2; \sigma_0)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2 - (g_{mn} - g)$  $= o(\beta_{mn}) (S^2; \sigma_1)$ . Also, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  define

$$H := \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \sup_{(x,y)\in S^2} \left| \frac{(f_n + g_n)(x,y) - (f + g)(x,y)}{\sigma(x,y)} \right| \ge \varepsilon \gamma_{mn} \right\},$$
  

$$H_0 := \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \sup_{(x,y)\in S^2} \left| \frac{f_n(x,y) - f(x,y)}{\sigma_0(x,y)} \right| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \alpha_{mn} \right\},$$
  

$$H_1 := \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \sup_{(x,y)\in S^2} \left| \frac{g_n(x,y) - g(x,y)}{\sigma_1(x,y)} \right| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \beta_{mn} \right\},$$

where  $\gamma_{mn} = \max \{ \alpha_{mn}, \beta_{mn} \}$  for every  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  and  $\sigma(x,y) = \max\{|\sigma_r(x,y)|; r=0,1\}$ . Then observe that  $H \subset H_0 \cup H_1$ . By assumption,  $H_0, H_1 \in \mathcal{I}_2$ , so that by the definition of an ideal,  $H_0 \cup H_1 \in \mathcal{I}_2$ ,  $H \in \mathcal{I}_2$  which completes the proof of (i). The proofs of (ii), (iii) and (iv) are similar to the proof of (i). Hence, we omit them. 

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\{f_{mn}\}$  and  $\{g_{mn}\}$  be function sequences belonging to  $C(S^2)$ satisfying  $0 \leq f_{mn} \leq g_{mn}$ . Assume that  $\{\alpha_{mn}\}$  is a positive non-increasing sequence such that  $\mathcal{I}_2 - g_{mn} = o(\alpha_{mn})$  (S<sup>2</sup>;  $\sigma$ ), then  $\mathcal{I}_2 - f_{mn} = o(\alpha_{mn})$  $(S^2;\sigma), |\sigma(x,y)| > 0$  and  $\sigma(x,y)$  is unbounded. Moreover, the result holds when "o" is replaced by "O".

*Proof.* Since  $0 \leq f_{mn}(x,y) \leq g_{mn}(x,y)$  for every  $(x,y) \in S^2$  and any  $(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , we have for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \sup_{(x,y)\in S^2} \left| \frac{f_{mn}(x,y)}{\sigma(x,y)} \right| \ge \varepsilon \alpha_{mn} \right\}$$
$$\subset \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \sup_{(x,y)\in S^2} \left| \frac{g_{mn}(x,y)}{\sigma(x,y)} \right| \ge \varepsilon \alpha_{mn} \right\}.$$

Using that  $\mathcal{I}_2 - g_{mn} = o(\alpha_{mn})$  (S<sup>2</sup>;  $\sigma$ ), we obtain the result.

Now, we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\{L_{mn}\}$  be a double sequence of positive linear operators acting from  $C(S^2)$  into itself. Also, let  $\{\alpha_{mn}\}$  and  $\{\beta_{mn}\}$  be positive nonincreasing double sequences. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(a)  $\mathcal{I}_2 - (L_{mn}(e_0) - e_0) = o(\alpha_{mn}) \ (S^2; \sigma_0),$ (b)  $\mathcal{I}_2 - \omega(f, \delta_{mn}) = o(\beta_{mn}) \ (S^2; \sigma_1), \text{ where } \delta_{mn}(x, y) = \sqrt{L_{mn}(\varphi_{(x,y)}; x, y)}$ with  $\varphi_{(x,y)}(s, t) = (x - s)^2 + (y - t)^2.$ 

Then we have, for all  $f \in C(S^2)$ ,

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - (L_{mn}(f) - f) = o(\gamma_{mn}) \ (S^2; \sigma),$$

where  $\gamma_{mn} = \max\{\alpha_{mn}, \beta_{mn}, \alpha_{mn}\beta_{mn}\}$  and

 $\sigma(x,y) = \max \{ |\sigma_0(x,y)|, |\sigma_1(x,y)|, |\sigma_0(x,y)\sigma_1(x,y)| \}, |\sigma_r(x,y)| > 0$ and  $\sigma_r(x,y)$  is unbounded, r = 0, 1. It can be readily seen that, a similar result holds when "o" is replaced by "O".

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C(S^2)$  and  $(x, y) \in S^2$ . Since  $L_{mn}$  is linear, positive and also, using the property

$$\omega(f, \sqrt{\varphi_{(x,y)}(s,t)}) \le \left(1 + \frac{\varphi_{(x,y)}(s,t)}{\delta^2}\right) \omega(f,\delta)$$

of the modulus of continuity, we get, for any  $\delta$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} &|L_{mn}(f;x,y) - f(x,y)| \\ &\leq L_{mn}(|f(x,y) - f(s,t)|;x,y) + |f(x,y)| |L_{mn}(e_0;x,y) - e_0(x,y)| \\ &\leq L_{mn}(\omega(f,\sqrt{\varphi_{(x,y)}(s,t)});x,y) + |f(x,y)| |L_{mn}(e_0;x,y) - e_0(x,y)| \\ &\leq \omega(f,\delta)L_{mn}(\left(1 + \frac{\varphi_{(x,y)}(s,t)}{\delta^2}\right);x,y) \\ &+ |f(x,y)| |L_{mn}(e_0;x,y) - e_0(x,y)| \\ &\leq \omega(f,\delta) \left\{ L_{mn}(e_0;x,y) + \frac{1}{\delta^2}L_{mn}\left(\varphi_{(x,y)}(s,t);x,y\right) \right\} \\ &+ |f(x,y)| |L_{mn}(e_0;x,y) - e_0(x,y)| . \end{aligned}$$
ting  $\delta := \delta_{mn}(x,y) = \sqrt{L_{mn}(\varphi_{(x,y)};x,y)}$  we may write that

$$|L_{mn}(f;x,y) - f(x,y)| \leq 2\omega(f,\delta_{mn}) + \omega(f,\delta_{mn}) |L_{mn}(e_0;x,y) - e_0(x,y) + M |L_{mn}(e_0;x,y) - e_0(x,y)|,$$

where M = ||f||. In view of the above inequality, we may write

$$\sup_{(x,y)\in S^2} \left|\frac{L_{mn}(f;x,y) - f(x,y)}{\sigma(x,y)}\right|$$

198

Set

$$\leq 2 \sup_{(x,y)\in S^2} \frac{\omega(f,\delta_{mn})}{|\sigma_1(x,y)|} \\ + \sup_{(x,y)\in S^2} \frac{\omega(f,\delta_{mn})}{|\sigma_1(x,y)|} \sup_{(x,y)\in S^2} \left| \frac{L_{mn}(e_0;x,y) - e_0(x,y)}{\sigma_0(x,y)} \right| \\ + M \sup_{(x,y)\in S^2} \left| \frac{L_{mn}(e_0;x,y) - e_0(x,y)}{\sigma_0(x,y)} \right|.$$

Hence, using the conditions (a) and (b), Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 the proof is complete.

### References

- C. Bardaro, A. Boccuto, K. Demirci, I. Mantellini, and S. Orhan, Triangular Astatistical approximation by double sequences of positive linear operators, Results Math. 68(3-4) (2015), 271–291.
- [2] C. Belen and M. Yıldırım, Generalized A-statistical convergence and a Korovkin type approximation theorem for double sequences, Miskolc Math. Notes 14(1) (2013), 31–39.
- [3] E. W. Chittenden, On the limit functions of sequences of continuous functions converging relatively uniformly, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1919), 179–184.
- [4] P. Das, P. Kostyrko, W. Wilczynski, and P. Malik, *I* and *I*<sup>\*</sup>-convergence of double sequences, Math. Slovaca 58(5) (2008), 605-620.
- [5] K. Demirci, A. Boccuto, S. Yıldız, and F. Dirik, *Relative uniform convergence of a sequence of functions at a point and Korovkin-type approximation theorems*, Positivity 24(1)(2020), 1–11.
- [6] K. Demirci and S. Orhan, Statistically relatively uniform convergence of positive linear operators, Results Math. 69 (2016), 359–367.
- [7] K. Demirci and S. Orhan, Statistical relative approximation on modular spaces, Results Math. 71 (2017), 1167–1184.
- [8] K. Demirci and S. Orhan, Statistical e-convergence of Bögel-type continuous functions, in: Operator Theory, Operator Algebras, and Matrix Theory. Birkhäuser, Cham, 2018, pp. 123–130.
- [9] K. Demirci, S. Orhan, and B. Kolay, *Relative almost convergence and approximation theorems*, Sinop Uni. J. Nat. Sci. 1(2) (2016), 114–122.
- [10] F. Dirik, A. Aral, and K. Demirci,  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of positive linear operators on  $L_p$  weighted spaces, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. **10**(1) (2008), 75–81.
- [11] F. Dirik and K. Demirci, Korovkin type approximation theorem for functions of two variables in statistical sense, Turkish J. Math. 34(1) (2010), 73-83.
- [12] F. Dirik and P. O. Şahin, Statistically Relatively A-summability of convergence of double sequences of positive linear operators, Sinop Uni. J. Nat. Sci. 2(1) (2017), 59-66.
- [13] O. Duman, A Korovkin type approximation theorems via *I*-convergence, Czechoslovak Math. J. 57(1) (2007), 367–375.
- [14] S. Dutta, S. Akdağ, and P. Das, Korovkin type approximation theorem via  $A_2^{\mathcal{I}}$ -summability methods, Filomat **30**(10) (2016), 2663–2672.
- [15] E. Dündar and B. Altay, *I<sub>2</sub>-convergence of double sequences of functions*, Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **3**(1) (2015), 111–121.
- [16] E. Dündar and B. Altay, *I*<sub>2</sub>-uniform convergence of double sequences of functions, Filomat **30**(5) (2016), 1273–1281.
- [17] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951), 241-244.

- [18] A. D. Gadjiev and C. Orhan, Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 32 (2002), 129–138.
- [19] U. Kadak, Relative weighted almost convergence based on fractional-order difference operator in multivariate modular function spaces, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM 113(3) (2019), 2201–2220.
- [20] P. P. Korovkin, Linear Operators and Approximation Theory, Hindustan Publ. Co., Delhi, 1960.
- [21] P. Kostyrko, T. Salat, and W. Wilczynski, *I-convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange 26 (2000), 669–685.
- [22] S. A. Mohiuddine, B. Hazarika, and M. A. Alghamdi, Ideal relatively uniform convergence with Korovkin and Voronovskaya types approximation theorems, Filomat 33(14) (2019), 4549–4560.
- [23] E. H. Moore, An introduction to a form of general analysis, The New Haven Mathematical Colloquium, Yale University Press, New Haven, 1910.
- [24] F. Moricz, Statistical convergence of multiple sequences, Arch. Math. 81 (2003), 82-89.
- [25] P. Okçu Şahin and F. Dirik, Statistical relative uniform convergence of double sequences of positive linear operators, Appl. Math. E-Notes 17 (2017), 207–220.
- [26] S. Orhan, T. Acar, and F. Dirik, Korovkin type theorems in weighted L<sub>p</sub> spaces via statistical A-summability, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat. (N.S.) 62(2) (2016), 537-546.
- [27] A. Pringsheim, Zur theorie der zweifach unendlichen zahlenfolgen, Math. Ann. 53 (1900), 289–321.
- [28] H. Steinhaus, Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymtotique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951) 73–74.
- [29] D. D. Stancu, A method for obtaining polynomials of Bernstein type of two variables, Amer. Math. Monthly 70(3) (1963), 260–264.
- [30] V. I. Volkov, On the convergence of sequences of linear positive operators in the space of two variables, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. 115 (1957), 17–19.
- [31] B. Yılmaz, K. Demirci, and S. Orhan, *Relative modular convergence of positive linear operators*, Positivity **20**(3) (2016), 565–577.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SINOP UNIVERSITY SINOP, TURKEY *E-mail address*: sevdaorhan@sinop.edu.tr