

Weak module amenability for the second dual of a Banach algebra

M. SHABANI SOLTANMORADI, D. EBRAHIMI BAGHA, AND
O. POURBAHRI RAHPEYMA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the weak module amenability of Banach algebras which are Banach modules over another Banach algebra with compatible actions. We show that for every module derivation $D : A \mapsto (\frac{A}{J_A})^*$ if $D^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \subseteq WAP(\frac{A}{J_A})$, then weak module amenability of \mathcal{A}^{**} implies that of \mathcal{A} . Also we prove that under certain conditions for the module derivation D , if \mathcal{A}^{**} is weak module amenable then \mathcal{A} is also weak module amenable.

1. Introduction

The concept of amenability for Banach algebras was introduced by B. E. Johnson [13]. He showed that the group algebra $L^1(G)$ is amenable if and only if G as a group is amenable. Subsequently, various generalizations of this notion – such as Banach modules – were studied by a number of authors (see [1, 5, 6, 10, 16]). Amini [1] used this fact and developed the concept of module amenability for a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} to the case where there is an extra \mathfrak{A} -module structure on \mathcal{A} . He showed that for an inverse semigroup S with the set of idempotents E , $l^1(S)$ is $l^1(E)$ -module amenable if and only if S is amenable.

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -module. A *derivation* $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is a bounded linear operator such that, $D(ab) = a.D(b) + D(a).b$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Also, a derivation D is said to be *inner* if there exists $x \in X$ such that $D(a) = a.x - x.a$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be *amenable* if every derivation from \mathcal{A} into each dual Banach \mathcal{A} -module is inner [13].

Received April 9, 2021.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 46H25, 43A07.

Key words and phrases. Module amenability, Banach algebra, the second module dual of a Banach algebra.

<https://doi.org/10.12097/ACUTM.2021.25.19>

Corresponding author: O. Pourbahri Rahpeyma

A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is called *weakly amenable* [2] if every derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ is inner. F. Gourdeau has shown that the amenability of \mathcal{A}^{**} implies the amenability of \mathcal{A} [12]. However, for weak amenability this result is not proved yet.

Problem: Let \mathcal{A}^{**} be a weakly amenable Banach algebra. Can we conclude that \mathcal{A} is also weakly amenable?

The above problem has been solved in certain settings. For example, in each of the following cases the above problem has a positive answer.

- 1) \mathcal{A} is a left ideal in \mathcal{A}^{**} [11].
- 2) \mathcal{A} is a dual Banach algebra [4, 10].
- 3) Every derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ satisfies $D^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \subseteq WAP(\mathcal{A})$ [9].
- 4) \mathcal{A} is a right ideal of \mathcal{A}^{**} with $\mathcal{A}^{**} \square \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{**}$ [9].

In Section 2, we prove that if \mathcal{A} is a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module and $J_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the closed ideal of \mathcal{A} generated by $\{(a.\alpha)b - a(\alpha.b) : a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ and every derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*$ satisfies $D^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \subseteq WAP(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})$, then weak module amenability of \mathcal{A}^{**} implies weak module amenability of \mathcal{A} . The case $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{C}$ yields our main theorem. In fact this result can be considered as an extension of [9, Theorem 2.1]. Also, we show that if \mathcal{A} is a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module, such that $J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^{**} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}} = \frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}}$ and $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{**} \subseteq \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}}$, then weak module amenability of \mathcal{A}^{**} implies weak module amenability of \mathcal{A} . This result can be considered as an extension of [9, Theorem 2.4].

2. Main results

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathfrak{A} be Banach algebras and let \mathcal{A} be a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module such that

$$(\alpha.a)b = \alpha.(ab), (ab).\alpha = a(b.\alpha) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

If X is a Banach \mathcal{A} -module and a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module with compatible actions, such that

$$\alpha.(a.x) = (\alpha.a).x, (a.x).\alpha = a.(x.\alpha) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}),$$

and with similar operations for right actions, then X is called an \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module. If, moreover,

$$\alpha.x = x.\alpha \quad (\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in X),$$

then X is called a *commutative \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module*.

If X is a (commutative) Banach \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module so is X^* , with the following actions:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha.f, x \rangle &= \langle f, x.\alpha \rangle, \langle f.\alpha, x \rangle = \langle f, \alpha.x \rangle \\ \langle a.f, x \rangle &= \langle f, x.a \rangle, \langle f.a, x \rangle = \langle f, a.x \rangle \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, f \in X^*). \end{aligned}$$

Let X and Y be \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -modules, and let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\alpha.x) &= \alpha.\phi(x), \quad \phi(x.\alpha) = \phi(x).\alpha \\ \phi(a.x) &= a.\phi(x), \quad \phi(x.a) = \phi(x).a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}).\end{aligned}$$

Then ϕ is called a *module bihomomorphism*.

Let X be a commutative Banach \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module, then the projective tensor product $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} X$ is an \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module with the following actions:

$$\begin{aligned}a.(b \otimes x) &= (ab) \otimes x, \quad (b \otimes x).a = b \otimes (x.a) \\ \alpha.(b \otimes x) &= (\alpha.b) \otimes x, \quad (b \otimes x).\alpha = b \otimes (x.\alpha) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, x \in Y, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}).\end{aligned}$$

Now, define $\pi_X : \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} X \rightarrow X$ by

$$\pi_X(a \otimes x) = a.x \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X).$$

It is clear that π_X is an \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module bihomomorphism.

Let I_X be the closed \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -submodule of the projective tensor product $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} X$ generated by

$$\{(a.\alpha) \otimes x - a \otimes (\alpha.x) : a \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in X\}.$$

Also let J_X be the closed submodule of X generated by $\pi(I_X)$, that is,

$$J_X = \overline{\langle \pi_X(I_X) \rangle} = \overline{\{(a.\alpha).x - a.(\alpha.x) : a \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in X\}}.$$

In a particular case, when $X = \mathcal{A}$, $J_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the closed submodule in \mathcal{A} generated by $\{(a.\alpha)b - a(\alpha.b)\}$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 1. The closed \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -modules J_X^\perp of X^* and $J_{J_X^\perp}^\perp$ of X^{**} are called the *first and the second module dual* of X , respectively.

In the case when X is a commutative \mathfrak{A} -module, then $J_X^\perp = X^*$ and $J_{J_X^\perp}^\perp = X^{**}$.

Remark 1. Since $(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^* \simeq J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp$, we have

$$\langle \tilde{f}, a + J_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle = \langle f, a \rangle \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}),$$

when $f \in J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp$ is the corresponding element $\tilde{f} \in (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*$. Since $(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^{**} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}^{\perp\perp}}}$, we have

$$\langle \tilde{F}, \tilde{f} \rangle = \langle F, f \rangle \quad (\tilde{f} \simeq f \in J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp),$$

where $F + J_{\mathcal{A}^{\perp\perp}} \in \frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}^{\perp\perp}}}$ is the corresponding element $\tilde{F} \in (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^{**}$.

Note that $(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*$ is an \mathcal{A} -module, where the actions of \mathcal{A} on $(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*$ are defined by:

$$\langle \tilde{f}.a, b + J_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle = \langle \tilde{f}, ab + J_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle, \quad \langle a.\tilde{f}, b + J_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle = \langle \tilde{f}, ba + J_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \tilde{f} \in (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*).$$

Therefore the second module dual of \mathcal{A} is a closed submodule of $\frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}^{\perp\perp}}}$.

Definition 2. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathfrak{A} be two Banach algebras and X be a Banach \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module. A bounded linear map $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is a *module derivation* if D satisfies the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} D(ab) &= D(a).b + a.D(b), \\ D(a.\alpha) &= \alpha.D(a), \quad D(a.\alpha) = D(a).\alpha \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. [15, Theorem 2.4] *Let X^* be a commutative Banach \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module and $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$ be a module derivation, then $D(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq J_X^\perp$.*

Proof. For each $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $x \in X$, we have $(a.\alpha).x - a.(\alpha.x) \in J_X$. Hence

$$\langle D(b), (a.\alpha).x - a.(\alpha.x) \rangle = \langle D(b).(a.\alpha) - (D(b).a).\alpha, x \rangle = 0.$$

□

Motivated by Definition 2.1 and 2.3, the concept of module amenability was introduced and studied in [1, 2].

Definition 3. A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is called *weakly module amenable* (as an \mathfrak{A} -module) if $J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp$ is a commutative \mathfrak{A} -module and each linear module derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp$ is inner.

Lemma 2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module, then $J_{J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp}^\perp$ is a closed ideal of \mathcal{A}^{**} .*

Proof. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, f \in J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp$ and $F \in J_{J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp}^\perp$. If $a^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$, there exists a bounded net a_i in \mathcal{A} such that $w^*\text{-lim } a_i = a^{**}$. Since $J_{J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp}$ is an \mathcal{A} -module, $a_i((a.\alpha)f - a(\alpha.f)) \in J_{J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp}$ for each i . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F \square a^{**}, (a.\alpha)f - a(\alpha.f) \rangle &= \langle F, a^{**}((a.\alpha)f - a(\alpha.f)) \rangle \\ &= \lim \langle F, a_i((a.\alpha)f - a(\alpha.f)) \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F \square a^{**} \in J_{J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp}^\perp$. Similarly $a^{**} \square F \in J_{J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp}^\perp$. □

Remark 2. If \mathcal{A} is an \mathfrak{A} -module Banach algebra, then $J_{J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp}^\perp \cong \frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}^{\perp\perp}}}$.

Proof. Let $f \in J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (a.\alpha)f - a(\alpha.f), b \rangle &= \langle f, b(a.\alpha) \rangle - \langle \alpha.f, ba \rangle \\ &= \langle f, (ba).\alpha \rangle - \langle f, (ba).\alpha \rangle = \langle f, (ba).\alpha - (ba).\alpha \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. Therefore, $(a.\alpha)f - a(\alpha.f) = 0$.

Since $(a.\alpha)f - a(\alpha.f)$ is a basic member of $J_{J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp}$, we have $J_{J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp} = 0$. Thanks to lemma 2.6, $J_{J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp}^\perp$ is a closed ideal in $\frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}^{\perp\perp}}}$, so that $J_{J_{\mathcal{A}}^\perp}^\perp \cong \frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}^{\perp\perp}}}$. □

For a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , let $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}}$ be a Banach \mathcal{A} -module whose left and right module actions are

$$\pi_1 : \mathcal{A} \times \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}}, \quad \pi_1(a, b + J_{\mathcal{A}}) = ab + J_{\mathcal{A}}$$

and

$$\pi_2 : \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}}, \quad \pi_2(b + J_{\mathcal{A}}, a) = ba + J_{\mathcal{A}}$$

for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

We denote $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}}$ with the above operations by $(\pi_1, \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}}, \pi_2)$. Then $(\pi_2^{r**}, (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*, \pi_1^*)$ is a Banach \mathcal{A} -module [8], which is called the dual of $(\pi_1, \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}}, \pi_2)$. Here $\pi_2^{r**} : \mathcal{A} \times (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^* \longrightarrow (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*$ and $\pi_1^* : (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^* \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*$ are given by $\pi_2^{r**}(a, f) = a.f, \pi_1^*(f, a) = f.a$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}, f \in (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*$). Since $(\pi_2^{r***}, (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^{***}, \pi_1^{****})$ is the second dual of $(\pi_2^{r**}, (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*, \pi_1^*)$ (as a Banach \mathcal{A} -module), $(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^{***}$ is an \mathcal{A}^{**} -module.

Lemma 3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module, X be a Banach \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module and $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$ be a module derivation. Then $D^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \longrightarrow X^{**}$ is a module derivation.*

Proof. By [15, Lemma 2.9]. □

Remark 3. [15, Remark 3] Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, then

$$(J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp})^{**} \cong (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^{***} \cong (\frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}^{**}}^{\perp}})^*.$$

For a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , we have

$$\widehat{(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*} \cong \widehat{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp}} \subseteq (\frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}^{**}}^{\perp}})^* \cong J_{\mathcal{A}^{**}}^{\perp}.$$

Proof. If $f \in J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp}$, then $f|_{J_{\mathcal{A}}} = 0$. We will show that $\hat{f} \in J_{\mathcal{A}^{**}}^{\perp}$. Take some $a^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$, let a_i be a bounded net in \mathcal{A} such that w^* - $\lim a_i = a^{**}$, and let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{f}, (a.\alpha)a^{**} - a(\alpha.a^{**}) \rangle &= \langle (a.\alpha)a^{**} - a(\alpha.a^{**}), f \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \langle (a.\alpha)\hat{a}_i - a(\alpha.\hat{a}_i), f \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \langle (a.\alpha)\widehat{a_i} - a(\alpha.a_i), f \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \langle f, (a.\alpha)a_i - a(\alpha.a_i) \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since \hat{f} is linear and continuous, $\hat{f} \in J_{\mathcal{A}^{**}}^{\perp}$. □

Definition 4. The collection of all $f \in J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp}$ such that $a^{**} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} \mapsto \langle b^{**} \square a^{**} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}, f \rangle$ is w^* -continuous on $J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp}$ for every $b^{**} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} \in J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp}$ is denoted by $WAP(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})$.

Definition 5. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module, then \mathcal{A} is called *ideal Arens regular* if $m \square n = m \diamond n$ for any $m, n \in J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp}$.

Remark 4. If \mathcal{A} is a commutative \mathfrak{A} -module then $J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} = 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}^{**} = J_{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp}}^{\perp}$. This shows that our definition is compatible with the original definition of Arens regularity [3].

Now we are ready to state the first main result of this section.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module and \mathcal{A}^{**} be weak module amenable. For every module derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*$ if $D^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \subseteq WAP(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})$, then \mathcal{A} is weak module amenable.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}}$ be defined by $\varphi(a + J_{\mathcal{A}}) = \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. We prove that φ is well-defined. Let $a_1 + J_{\mathcal{A}} = a_2 + J_{\mathcal{A}}$ for $a_1 + J_{\mathcal{A}}, a_2 + J_{\mathcal{A}} \in \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}}$, then we have $a_1 - a_2 \in J_{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore, for each $f \in J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp}$ we have

$$\langle \widehat{a_1 - a_2}, f \rangle = \langle f, a_1 - a_2 \rangle = 0,$$

thus $\widehat{a_1 - a_2} \in J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}$. Hence $\hat{a}_1 + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} = \hat{a}_2 + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}$. Now let $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp}$ be a module derivation. By Remark 3, we may assume that $\varphi^* \circ D^{**}$ is a map from \mathcal{A}^{**} to $(\frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}})^*$. Hence, we have to show that $\varphi^* \circ D^{**}$ is a module derivation. By Lemma 3, $D^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow (J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp})^{**}$ is a module derivation, i.e.,

$$D^{**}(a^{**} \square b^{**}) = \pi_1^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**}) + \pi_2^{r**r****}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**}))$$

for every $a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence for each $a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\varphi^* \circ D^{**}(a^{**} \square b^{**}) = \varphi^*(\pi_1^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**})) + \varphi^*(\pi_2^{r**r****}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**}))).$$

Let a_i, b_j be bounded nets in \mathcal{A} such that $w^*\text{-}\lim_i a_i = a^{**}$ and $w^*\text{-}\lim_j b_j = b^{**}$. For each $a + J_{\mathcal{A}} \in \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi^*(\pi_2^{r**r****}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**}))), a + J_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle &= \langle \pi_2^{r**r****}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**})), \varphi(a + J_{\mathcal{A}}) \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \lim_j \langle \pi_2^{r**r****}(\hat{a}_i, D^{**}(\hat{b}_j)), \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \lim_j \langle D^{**}(\hat{b}_j), \pi_2(\hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}, \hat{a}_i) \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \lim_j \langle D^{**}(\hat{b}_j), \widehat{a a_i} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \lim_j \langle D^{**}(\hat{b}_j), \varphi(\pi_2(a + J_{\mathcal{A}}, a_i)) \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \lim_j \langle \varphi^*(D^{**}(\hat{b}_j)), \pi_2^r(a_i, a + J_{\mathcal{A}}) \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \lim_j \langle \pi_2^{r**r****}(a_i, \varphi^*(D^{**}(\hat{b}_j))), a + J_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi_2^{r**r****}(a^{**}, \varphi^*(D^{**}(b^{**}))), a + J_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\varphi^*(\pi_2^{r^*r^{***}}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**}))) = \pi_2^{r^*r^{***}}(a^{**}, \varphi^*(D^{**}(b^{**}))).$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi^*(\pi_1^{***}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**})), a + J_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle &= \langle \pi_1^{***}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**}), \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} \rangle \\ &= \lim_j \lim_i \langle \pi_1^*(D(\hat{a}_i), \hat{b}_j), \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} \rangle \\ &= \lim_j \lim_i \langle D(\hat{a}_i), \pi_1(\hat{b}_j, \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}) \rangle \\ &= \lim_j \lim_i \langle D(\hat{a}_i), \widehat{b_j a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} \rangle \\ &= \lim_j \lim_i \langle D(\hat{a}_i), \varphi(b_j a + J_{\mathcal{A}}) \rangle \\ &= \lim_j \langle D^{**}(a^{**}), \varphi(b_j a + J_{\mathcal{A}}) \rangle \\ &= \langle D^{**}(a^{**}), \varphi(b^{**} a + J_{\mathcal{A}}) \rangle \\ &= \langle D^{**}(a^{**}), \varphi(\pi_1^{***}(b^{**}, a + J_{\mathcal{A}})) \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi^*(D^{**}(a^{**})), \pi_1^{***}(b^{**}, a + J_{\mathcal{A}}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi_1^{***}(\varphi^*(D^{**}(a^{**})), b^{**}), a + J_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\varphi^*(\pi_1^{***}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**})) = \pi_1^{***}(\varphi^*(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**})).$$

Hence $\varphi^* \circ D^{**}$ is a derivation. For each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $a^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$, we have

$$\varphi^* \circ D^{**}(\alpha \cdot a^{**}) = \alpha \cdot \varphi^* \circ D^{**}(a^{**}), \quad \varphi^* \circ D^{**}(a^{**} \cdot \alpha) = \varphi^* \circ D^{**}(a^{**}) \cdot \alpha.$$

Thus $\varphi^* \circ D^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow (\frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}^{**}}})^*$ is a module derivation.

As \mathcal{A}^{**} is weakly module amenable, there exist $F \in (\frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}^{**}}})^*$ such that $\varphi^* \circ D^{**} = \delta_F$. Let $f = \varphi^*(F) \in (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*$. It follows that $D = \delta_f$; i.e D is inner. Therefore \mathcal{A} is weakly module amenable. \square

Corollary 1. *Let \mathcal{A} be Banach \mathfrak{A} -module which is ideal Arens regular. Suppose that every module derivation from \mathcal{A} to $(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*$ is weakly compact. If \mathcal{A}^{**} is weakly module amenable, then so is \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Since \mathcal{A} is ideal Arens regular, we have $WAP(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}}) = (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*$. Let $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^*$ be a module derivation. Since D is weakly compact, $D^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \subseteq (\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})^* = WAP(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}})$. By Theorem 1, the weak module amenability of \mathcal{A}^{**} implies that of \mathcal{A} . \square

Corollary 2. [9, Corollary 2.1] *Let \mathcal{A} be Arens regular and suppose that every derivation from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{A}^* is weakly compact. If \mathcal{A}^{**} is weakly amenable, then so is \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Take $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{C}$ in Corollary 1. □

We are ready to state the second main result of this section.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module such that $J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^{**} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}} = \frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}}$ and $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{**} \subseteq \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}}$. If \mathcal{A}^{**} is weakly module amenable, then so is \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Let $\varphi : \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}}$ be defined by $\varphi(a + J_{\mathcal{A}}) = \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Applying the same argument that was used in the proof of Theorem 1, we see that φ is well defined. We prove that $\varphi^* \circ D^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow (\frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}})^*$ is a module derivation.

Let $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp}$ be a module derivation. By Lemma 3, $D^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow (J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp})^{**}$ is a module derivation, i.e.

$$D^{**}(a^{**} \square b^{**}) = \pi_1^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**}) + \pi_2^{r**r****}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**})) \quad (a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Thus, for each $a^{**}, b^{**} \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\varphi^* \circ D^{**}(a^{**} \square b^{**}) = \varphi^*(\pi_1^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**})) + \varphi^*(\pi_2^{r**r****}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**}))) \quad (1)$$

By the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\varphi^*(\pi_2^{r**r****}(a^{**}, D^{**}(b^{**}))) = \pi_2^{r**r****}(a^{**}, \varphi^*(D^{**}(b^{**}))).$$

For proving the second part of the equation (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi^*(\pi_1^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**})), a + J_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle &= \langle \pi_1^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**}), \varphi(a + J_{\mathcal{A}}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi_1^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**}), \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} \rangle \\ &= \langle D^{**}(a^{**}), \pi_1^{****}(b^{**}, \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\pi_1^{****}(b^{**}, \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}) = \varphi(\pi_1^{****}(b^{**}, \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp})). \quad (2)$$

For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D^{**}(a^{**}), \pi_1^{****}(b^{**}, \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}) \rangle &= \langle D^{**}(a^{**}), \varphi(\pi_1^{****}(b^{**}, \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp})) \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi^*(D^{**}(a^{**})), \pi_1^{****}(b^{**}, \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi_1^{****} \circ \varphi^*(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**}), \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\varphi^*(\pi_1^{****}(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**})) = \pi_1^{****}(\varphi^*(D^{**}(a^{**}), b^{**})).$$

Proof of the claim (2):

Let $f \in J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp}$. Since $\hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} \in \frac{\mathcal{A}^{**}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}}$, there exist members $d^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ and $c \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} = d^{**} \square c + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}$ and $c \square \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp} \in \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}}$, hence

$$\langle \pi_1^{****}(b^{**}, \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}), f \rangle = \langle \pi_1^{****}(b^{**}, d^{**} \square c + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}), f \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_i \lim_j \langle \pi_1(\hat{b}_i, \hat{d}_j \square c + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}), f \rangle \\
&= \lim_i \lim_j \langle \widehat{b_i d_j c} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}, f \rangle \\
&= \lim_i \lim_j \langle (b_i d_j c + J_{\mathcal{A}}), \varphi^*(f) \rangle \\
&= \lim_i \lim_j \langle \varphi^*(f), b_i d_j c + J_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \varphi(\pi_1^{***}(b^{**}, \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}), f) \rangle &= \langle \pi_1^{***}(b^{**}, \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}), \varphi^*(f) \rangle \\
&= \langle \pi_1^{***}(b^{**}, d^{**} \square c + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}), \varphi^*(f) \rangle \\
&= \lim_i \lim_j \langle \pi_1(\hat{b}_i, \hat{d}_j \square c + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}), \varphi^*(f) \rangle \\
&= \lim_i \lim_j \langle \widehat{b_i d_j c} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}, \varphi^*(f) \rangle \\
&= \lim_i \lim_j \langle \widehat{b_i d_j c} + J_{\mathcal{A}}, \varphi^*(f) \rangle \\
&= \lim_i \lim_j \langle \varphi^*(f), b_i d_j c + J_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\pi_1^{***}(b^{**}, \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp}) = \varphi(\pi_1^{***}(b^{**}, \hat{a} + J_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp\perp})).$$

□

Corollary 3. [9, Theorem 2.4] *Let \mathcal{A} be a right ideal in \mathcal{A}^{**} and suppose that $\mathcal{A}^{**} \square \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{**}$. If \mathcal{A}^{**} is weakly amenable, then \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable.*

Proof. Take $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{C}$ in Theorem 2. □

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the reviewer's valuable comments that improved the manuscript.

References

- [1] M. Amini, *Module amenability for semigroup algebras*, Semigroup Forum **69** (2004), 302–312.
- [2] M. Amini and A. Bodaghi, *Module amenability and weak module amenability for second dual of Banach algebras*, Cham. J. Math. **2** (2010), 57–71.
- [3] R. Arens, *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 839–848.
- [4] S. Barootkoob and H. R. Ebrahimi Vishki, *Lifting derivations and n -weak amenability of the second dual of a Banach algebra*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **83** (2011), 122–129.
- [5] J. B. Conway, *A course in Functional Analysis*, Springer–Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [6] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebra and Automatic Continuity*, Clarendon, Oxford, 2000.

- [7] H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani, and N. Grønbæk, *Derivations into iterated dual of Banach spaces*, *Studia Math.* **128** (1998), 19–54.
- [8] H. G. Dales, A. Rodrigues-Palacios, and M. V. Velasco, *The second transpose of a derivation*, *J. London Math. Soc.* **64** (2001), 707–721.
- [9] M. Eshaghi Gordji and M. Filali, *Weak amenability of the second dual of a Banach algebra*, *Studia Math.* **182** (2007), 205–213.
- [10] F. Ghahramani and J. Laali, *Amenability and topological center of the second dual of Banach algebras*, *Bull. Austral. Soc.* **85** (2002), 191–197.
- [11] F. Ghahramani, R. J. Loy, and G. A. Willis, *Amenability and weak amenability of the second conjugate Banach algebras*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 1489–1497.
- [12] F. Gourdeau, *Amenability and the second dual of a Banach algebra*, *Studia Math.* **125** (1997), 75–81.
- [13] B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebra*, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1972).
- [14] S. Mohammadzadeh and H. R. E. Vishki, *Arens regularity of module actions and the second adjoint of a derivation*, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **77** (2008), 465–476.
- [15] O. Pourbahri Rahpeyma, A. Kamel Mirmostafae, and D. Ebrahimi Bagha, *Weak module amenability of module dual Banach algebra*, *Honam Math.* (to appear)
- [16] V. Runde, *Lectures on Amenability*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1774. Springer, Berlin, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, CENTRAL TEHRAN BRANCH,,
ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: mehرداد_sh554@yahoo.com

E-mail address: e_bagha@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, CHALOUS BRANCH,, ISLAMIC
AZAD UNIVERSITY, CHALOUS, IRAN.

E-mail address: omidpourbahri@yahoo.com