

Coefficients bounds for a family of bi-univalent functions defined by Horadam polynomials

BASEM A. FRASIN¹, YERRAGUNTA SAILAJA², SONDEKOLA R. SWAMY³,
AND ABBAS K. WANAS⁴

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we determine upper bounds for the first two Taylor–Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for a certain family of holomorphic and bi-univalent functions defined by using the Horadam polynomials. Also, we solve Fekete–Szegő problem of functions belonging to this family. Further, we point out several special cases of our results.

1. Introduction

Denote by \mathcal{A} the collection of holomorphic functions in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ that have the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

Further, assume that S stands for the sub-collection of the set \mathcal{A} containing functions in U satisfying (1) which are univalent in U .

According to the Koebe One-Quarter Theorem [7] every function $f \in S$ has an inverse f^{-1} defined by $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$ ($z \in U$) and $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$ ($|w| < r_0(f)$, $r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}$), where

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots. \quad (2)$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in U if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in U . Let Σ stand for the class of bi-univalent functions in U given by (1). In fact, Srivastava et al. [20] have actually revived the study of holomorphic and bi-univalent functions in recent years, it was followed

Received August 23, 2021.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45, 30C50.

Key words and phrases. Bi-univalent function, upper bound, Fekete–Szegő problem, Horadam polynomial, subordination.

<https://doi.org/10.12697/ACUTM.2022.26.02>

by such works as those by Bulut [6], Adegani and et al. [2], Güney et al. [9], Srivastava and Wanas [21] and others (see, for example [8, 19, 22]). We notice that the class Σ is not empty. For example, the functions z , $\frac{z}{1-z}$, $-\log(1-z)$ and $\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ are members of Σ . Until now, the coefficient estimate problem for each of the following Taylor–Maclaurin coefficients $|a_n|$ ($n = 3, 4, \dots$) for functions $f \in \Sigma$ is still an open problem.

With a view to recalling the principle of subordination between holomorphic functions, let the functions f and g be holomorphic in U . We say that the function f is subordinate to g , if there exists a Schwarz function ω holomorphic in U with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$) such that $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$. This subordination is denoted by $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in U$). It is well known that (see [17]), if the function g is univalent in U , then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

Recently, Hörçum and Koçer [13] considered the Horadam polynomials $h_n(r)$, which are given by the following recurrence relation (see also Horadam and Mahon [12]):

$$h_n(r) = ph_{n-1}(r) + qh_{n-2}(r) \quad (r \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}; \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (3)$$

with $h_1(r) = a$ and $h_2(r) = br$, for some real constants a, b, p and q . The characteristic equation of repetition relation (3) is $t^2 - prt - q = 0$. This equation has two real roots $x = \frac{pr + \sqrt{p^2r^2 + 4q}}{2}$ and $y = \frac{pr - \sqrt{p^2r^2 + 4q}}{2}$.

Remark 1. By selecting the particular values of a, b, p and q , the Horadam polynomial $h_n(r)$ reduces to several polynomials. Some of them are illustrated below.

- (1) Taking $a = b = p = q = 1$, we obtain the Fibonacci polynomials $F_n(r)$.
- (2) Taking $a = 2$ and $b = p = q = 1$, we attain the Lucas polynomials $L_n(r)$.
- (3) Taking $a = q = 1$ and $b = p = 2$, we have the Pell polynomials $P_n(r)$.
- (4) Taking $a = b = p = 2$ and $q = 1$, we get the Pell–Lucas polynomials $Q_n(r)$.
- (5) Taking $a = b = 1$, $p = 2$ and $q = -1$, we obtain the Chebyshev polynomials $T_n(r)$ of the first kind.
- (6) Taking $a = 1$, $b = p = 2$ and $q = -1$, we have the Chebyshev polynomials $U_n(r)$ of the second kind.

These polynomials, the families of orthogonal polynomials and other special polynomials as well as their generalizations are potentially important in a variety of disciplines in many sciences, especially in mathematics, statistics and physics. For more information associated with these polynomials see [11, 12, 14].

The generating function of the Horadam polynomials $h_n(r)$ (see [13]) is given by

$$\Pi(r, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(r) z^{n-1} = \frac{a + (b - ap)rz}{1 - prz - qz^2}. \quad (4)$$

In fact, Srivastava et al. [18] have already applied the Horadam polynomials in a similar context involving analytic and bi-univalent functions. It was followed by such works as those by Abirami et al. [1], Al-Hawary et al. [10], Wanas and Yalçin [23].

2. Main Results

We begin this section by defining the family $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$ as follows.

Definition 1. For $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$, a function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the family $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$ if it satisfies the subordinations

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^{\gamma} \left[(1 - \delta) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right]^{\lambda} \prec \Pi(r, z) + 1 - a$$

and

$$\left(\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} \right)^{\gamma} \left[(1 - \delta) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right]^{\lambda} \prec \Pi(r, w) + 1 - a,$$

where a is real constant and the function $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (2).

Remark 2. It should be remarked that the family $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$ is a generalization of well-known families considered earlier. These families are:

- (1) for $\gamma = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, the family $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$ reduces to the family $M_{\Sigma}(\delta, r)$ which was introduced by Magesh et al. [16];
- (2) for $\lambda = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, the family $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$ reduces to the family $S_{\Sigma}^*(r)$ which was studied by Srivastava et al. [18];
- (3) for $\gamma = 0$ and $\lambda = \delta = 1$, the family $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$ reduces to the family $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(r)$ which was considered by Magesh et al. [16];
- (4) for $\gamma = 0$, $\lambda = a = 1$, $b = p = 2$, $q = -1$ and $r \rightarrow t$, the family $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$ reduces to the family $H_{\Sigma}(\delta, t)$ which was given by Altinkaya and Yalçin [3];
- (5) for $\lambda = 0$, $\gamma = a = 1$, $b = p = 2$, $q = -1$ and $r \rightarrow t$, the family $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$ reduces to the family $S_{\Sigma}(t)$ which was introduced by Altinkaya and Yalçin [4];
- (6) for $\gamma = 0$, $\lambda = a = 1$, $b = p = 2$, $q = -1$, $r \rightarrow t$ and $\Pi(t, z) = \left(\frac{1}{1 - 2tz + z^2} \right)^{\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, the family $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$ reduces to the family $M_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \delta)$ which was investigated by Liu and Wang [15];

(7) for $\lambda = 0$, $\gamma = a = 1$, $b = p = 2$, $q = -1$, $r \rightarrow t$ and $\Pi(t, z) = \left(\frac{1}{1-2tz+z^2}\right)^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, the family $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$ reduces to the family $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\alpha)$ which was studied by Brannan and Taha [5].

Theorem 1. For $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be in the family $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|br| \sqrt{2|br|}}{\sqrt{|[(\gamma(\gamma+1) + \Upsilon(\lambda, \delta, \gamma))b - 2p\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)]br^2 - 2qa\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|br|}{2(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))} + \frac{b^2 r^2}{2\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(\lambda, \delta, \gamma) &= \lambda(\delta + 1)[2(\gamma + 1) + (\lambda - 1)(\delta + 1)], \\ \Phi(\lambda, \delta, \gamma) &= \gamma + \lambda(\delta + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$. Then there are two holomorphic functions $u, v : U \rightarrow U$ given by

$$u(z) = u_1 z + u_2 z^2 + u_3 z^3 + \dots \quad (z \in U) \quad (6)$$

and

$$v(w) = v_1 w + v_2 w^2 + v_3 w^3 + \dots \quad (w \in U), \quad (7)$$

with $u(0) = v(0) = 0$, $|u(z)| < 1$, $|v(w)| < 1$, $z, w \in U$ such that

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\gamma \left[(1-\delta)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \right]^\lambda = \Pi(r, u(z)) + 1 - a$$

and

$$\left(\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)}\right)^\gamma \left[(1-\delta)\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)}\right) \right]^\lambda = \Pi(r, v(w)) + 1 - a.$$

Or, equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\gamma \left[(1-\delta)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \right]^\lambda \\ = 1 + h_1(r) + h_2(r)u(z) + h_3(r)u^2(z) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)}\right)^\gamma \left[(1-\delta)\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \delta \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)}\right) \right]^\lambda \\ = 1 + h_1(r) + h_2(r)v(w) + h_3(r)v^2(w) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Combining (6), (7), (8) and (9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\gamma & \left[(1-\delta)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \delta\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \right]^\lambda \\ & = 1 + h_2(r)u_1z + [h_2(r)u_2 + h_3(r)u_1^2]z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)}\right)^\gamma & \left[(1-\delta)\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \delta\left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)}\right) \right]^\lambda \\ & = 1 + h_2(r)v_1w + [h_2(r)v_2 + h_3(r)v_1^2]w^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

It is quite well-known that if $|u(z)| < 1$ and $|v(w)| < 1$, $z, w \in U$, then

$$|u_i| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |v_i| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (12)$$

Comparing the corresponding coefficients in (10) and (11), after simplifying, we have

$$(\gamma + \lambda(\delta + 1))a_2 = h_2(r)u_1, \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))a_3 \\ & + \frac{1}{2}[\gamma(\gamma - 1) + \lambda(\delta + 1)(2\gamma + (\lambda - 1)(\delta + 1)) - 2(\gamma + \lambda(3\delta + 1))]a_2^2 \\ & = h_2(r)u_2 + h_3(r)u_1^2, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$-(\gamma + \lambda(\delta + 1))a_2 = h_2(r)v_1 \quad (15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))(2a_2^2 - a_3) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}[\gamma(\gamma - 1) + \lambda(\delta + 1)(2\gamma + (\lambda - 1)(\delta + 1)) - 2(\gamma + \lambda(3\delta + 1))]a_2^2 \\ & = h_2(r)v_2 + h_3(r)v_1^2. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It follows from (13) and (15) that

$$u_1 = -v_1 \quad (17)$$

and

$$2(\gamma + \lambda(\delta + 1))^2 a_2^2 = h_2^2(r)(u_1^2 + v_1^2). \quad (18)$$

If we add (14) to (16), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\gamma(\gamma + 1) + \lambda(\delta + 1)(2\gamma + 1) + (\lambda - 1)(\delta + 1)]a_2^2 \\ & = h_2(r)(u_2 + v_2) + h_3(r)(u_1^2 + v_1^2). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Substituting the value of $u_1^2 + v_1^2$ from (18) in the right hand side of (19), we deduce that

$$a_2^2 = \frac{h_2^3(r)(u_2 + v_2)}{h_2^2(r)(\gamma(\gamma + 1) + \Upsilon(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)) - 2h_3(r)\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)}. \quad (20)$$

where $\Upsilon(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)$ and $\Phi(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)$ are given by (5).
Further computations using (3), (12) and (20) give

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|br| \sqrt{2|br|}}{\sqrt{[(\gamma(\gamma+1) + \Upsilon(\lambda, \delta, \gamma))b - 2p\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)]br^2 - 2qa\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)}}.$$

Next, if we subtract (16) from (14), we can easily see that

$$4(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))(a_3 - a_2^2) = h_2(r)(u_2 - v_2) + h_3(r)(u_1^2 - v_1^2). \quad (21)$$

In view of (17) and (18), we get from (21)

$$a_3 = \frac{h_2(r)(u_2 - v_2)}{4(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))} + \frac{h_2^2(r)(u_1^2 + v_1^2)}{2\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)}.$$

Thus applying (3), we obtain

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|br|}{2(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))} + \frac{b^2r^2}{2\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

In the next theorem, we discuss the ‘‘Fekete–Szegő problem’’ for the family $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$.

Theorem 2. *For $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ and $r, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be in the family $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$. Then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|br|}{2(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))}, \\ \text{for } |\mu - 1| \leq \frac{|[(\gamma(\gamma+1) + \Upsilon(\lambda, \delta, \gamma))b - 2p\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)]br^2 - 2qa\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)|}{4b^2r^2(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))}, \\ \frac{2|br|^3|\mu - 1|}{|[(\gamma(\gamma+1) + \Upsilon(\lambda, \delta, \gamma))b - 2p\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)]br^2 - 2qa\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)|}, \\ \text{for } |\mu - 1| \geq \frac{|[(\gamma(\gamma+1) + \Upsilon(\lambda, \delta, \gamma))b - 2p\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)]br^2 - 2qa\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)|}{4b^2r^2(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from (20) and (21) that

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \mu a_2^2 &= \frac{h_2(r)(u_2 - v_2)}{4(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))} + (1 - \mu)a_2^2 = \frac{h_2(r)(u_2 - v_2)}{4(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))} \\ &\quad + \frac{h_2^3(r)(u_2 + v_2)(1 - \mu)}{h_2^2(r)(\gamma(\gamma + 1) + \Upsilon(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)) - 2h_3(r)\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)} \\ &= h_2(r) \left[\left(\psi(\mu, r) + \frac{1}{4(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))} \right) u_2 + \left(\psi(\mu, r) - \frac{1}{4(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))} \right) v_2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(\mu, r) = \frac{h_2^2(r)(1 - \mu)}{h_2^2(r)(\gamma(\gamma + 1) + \Upsilon(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)) - 2h_3(r)\Phi^2(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)}.$$

According to (3), we find that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|br|}{2(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))}, & 0 \leq |\psi(\mu, r)| \leq \frac{1}{4(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))}; \\ 2|br| |\psi(\mu, r)|, & |\psi(\mu, r)| \geq \frac{1}{4(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))}. \end{cases}$$

After some computations, we have proved the statement of Theorem 2. \square

Putting $\mu = 1$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 1. For $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be in the family $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, r)$. Then

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{|br|}{2(\gamma + \lambda(2\delta + 1))}.$$

Remark 3. Our theorems give the known results for special families which are described in (1)–(5) of Remark 2.

References

- [1] C. Abirami, N. Magesh, and J. Yamini, *Initial bounds for certain classes of bi-univalent functions defined by Horadam polynomials*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. (2020), Art. ID 7391058, 8 pp.
- [2] E. A. Adegani, S. Bulut, and A. A. Zireh, *Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **55** (2018), 405–413.
- [3] Ş. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, *Chebyshev polynomial coefficient bounds for a subclass of bi-univalent functions*, (2017), 7 pp., arXiv :1605.08224v2.
- [4] Ş. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, *On the Chebyshev polynomial coefficient problem of some subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Gulf J. Math. **5** (2017), 34–40.
- [5] D. A. Brannan and T. S. Taha, *On Some classes of bi-univalent functions*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. **31** (1986), 70–77.
- [6] S. Bulut, *Coefficient estimates for general subclasses of m -fold symmetric analytic bi-univalent functions*, Turk. J. Math. **40** (2016), 1386–1397.
- [7] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **259**, Springer Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [8] B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, *New subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 1569–1573.
- [9] H. Ö. Güney, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and J. Sokół, *Subclasses of bi-univalent functions related to shell-like curves connected with Fibonacci numbers*, Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Mathematica **10**(2018), 70–84.
- [10] T. Al-Hawary, A. Amourah and B. A. Frasin, *Fekete–Szegő inequality for bi-univalent functions by means of Horadam polynomials*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. **27**, (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40590-021-00385-5>.
- [11] A. F. Horadam, *Jacobsthal Representation Polynomials*, Fibonacci Quart. **35** (1997), 137–148.
- [12] A. F. Horadam and J. M. Mahon, *Pell and Pell-Lucas polynomials*, Fibonacci Quart. **23** (1985), 7–20.
- [13] T. Hörgum and E. G. Koçer, *On some properties of Horadam polynomials*, Int. Math. Forum **4** (2009), 1243–1252.

- [14] T. Koshy, *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers with Applications*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, 2001.
- [15] X. F. Li and A. P. Wang, *Two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Int. Math. Forum **7** (2012), 1495–1504.
- [16] N. Magesh, J. Yamini and C. Abirami, *Initial bounds for certain classes of bi-univalent functions defined by Horadam Polynomials*, (2018), 14 pp., arXiv:1812.04464v1.
- [17] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **225**, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York and Basel, 2000.
- [18] H. M. Srivastava, Ş. Altinkaya, and S. Yalçın, *Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with the Horadam polynomials*, Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci. **43** (2019), 1873–1879.
- [19] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, and F. Ghanim, *Coefficient estimates for some general subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Afr. Mat. **28** (2017), 693–706.
- [20] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, and P. Gochhayat, *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **23** (2010), 1188–1192.
- [21] H. M. Srivastava and A. K. Wanas, *Initial Maclaurin coefficient bounds for new subclasses of analytic and m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions defined by a linear combination*, Kyungpook Math. J. **59** (2019), 493–503.
- [22] A. K. Wanas, *Applications of (M, N) -Lucas polynomials for holomorphic and bi-univalent functions*, Filomat **34** (2020), 3361–3368.
- [23] A. K. Wanas and S. Yalçın, *Horadam polynomials and their applications to new family of bi-univalent functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points*, Proyecciones **40** (2021), 107–116.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, AL AL-BAYT UNIVERSITY, MAFRAQ, JORDAN

E-mail address: bafrasin@yahoo.com

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RV COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, BENGALURU - 560 059, KARNATAKA, INDIA,

E-mail address: sailajay@rvce.edu.in

³DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, RV COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, BENGALURU - 560 059, KARNATAKA, INDIA

E-mail address: mailtoswamy@rediffmail.com

⁴DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF AL-QADISIYAH, IRAQ

E-mail address: abbas.kareem.w@qu.edu.iq