

Eesti ja soome-ugri keeleteaduse ajakiri
Journal of Estonian and Finno-Ugric Linguistics
ESUKA – JEFUL

Instructions for the Reviewer

Revised 17.04.2021

Please have a look at the manuscript soon after receiving it and return the review as soon as possible (but no later than four weeks after receiving the paper). To submit your review, please, log in to the online editorial system at <https://ojs.utlib.ee/index.php/jeful/>.

In the Review Form you are asked to give the editors recommendation for the decision by selecting an option from a drop-down menu.

- **Accept Submission** – the manuscript can be accepted for publication as it is
- **Revision Required** – the manuscript can be accepted for publication with minor revisions
- **Resubmit for Review** – the manuscript may be accepted after major revising, but the revised version must go through another round of reviewing
- **Decline Submission** – the manuscript should be rejected
- *Resubmit Elsewhere* – the manuscript should be rejected from ESUKA – JEFUL because it does not fall in the scope of this journal
- *See Comments* – if none of the above choices are applicable, the reviewer’s recommendation should be elaborated in the “comments to the editor” section

Please elaborate on your decision in the comments section. The main review text should be entered in the “Comments to the Author” section. Please build your review on constructive criticism and avoid emotionally loaded statements. When reviewing the manuscript please address the following points:

- Does this manuscript fit the scope of ESUKA – JEFUL? In the case the manuscript is submitted to a special issue does it fit the theme?
- What is the impact of this work? What is the main strength and what is the main weakness of the manuscript?
- Is the theoretical framework adequate and the survey of the previous research sufficient?
- Is the methodology and the data sufficiently described and appropriate for this study?
- Are the research questions clearly defined? Does the analysis emerge from the research questions and are the conclusions based on the results of the analysis?
- Is the manuscript clearly structured?
- Is the use of language appropriate and is the formatting generally in line with ESUKA – JEFUL [style sheet](#)?

You may attach supplementary files to your review (e.g. figures, tables, etc.). When doing so please make sure you remove any personal information from the metadata of the files that could reveal your identity to the authors. If you do wish to reveal your identity to the authors you may do so by signing your name in the “Comments to the Author” section.

If you have any additional comments to the Editor that should not be seen by the author, please enter them in the “Comments to the Editor” section. Filling this section is not mandatory and you do not have to repeat the text entered in the “Comments to the Author” section. If your recommendation was “Resubmit for Review”, please let the editors know whether or not you would be willing to review this manuscript again.